



ASSESSMENT SHEET

			100100	VILIVI SI	<u> </u>		
STUDENT:			DATE:				
			WEEK:				
STUDENT ID:	MC10/000/		COURSE	:			
	T	READING	COMMEN	TS:]	
READING LISTENING							
PART A	PART A						
PART B PART B		LISTENING COMMENTS:					
PART C	PART C						
WRITING							
PATIENT Educator Comments:							
RE-SUBMISSION							
SPEAKING	<u> </u>						
PATIENT	Educator Comment	s:					
RE-BOOKING							
	<u> </u>					• • • • • • •	
Educator Nam	ne: Matt Christie						
Educator Sign			Date:				

WRITING Assessment Criteria and Level Descriptors (from September 2018) (public version)

Band	Overall Task Fulfilment	Appropriateness of Language	Comprehension of Stimulus	Linguistic Features (Grammar & Cohesion)	Presentation Features (Spelling, Punctuation & Layout)
6	All aspects of the task effectively fulfilled.	 Entirely appropriate register, tone and lexis for the content. Material is clearly and logically organised. 	Complete understanding of stimulus material and task instructions. Selects all relevant material from the stimulus notes.	 Wide range of grammatical structures used accurately and flexibly. Fluent linking of ideas by appropriate cohesive devices. 	 High level of accuracy in spelling and punctuation. Layout of letter is appropriate.
5	 Generally fulfils the task, though occasional minor lapses/errors may indicate some limitations. Answer may be slightly too long or too short. 	 Mostly appropriate register, tone and lexis for the context. A few inaccuracies do not impede communication. Organisation is mostly clear, with minor lapses in sequencing. 	 Almost complete understanding of stimulus material and task instructions. Occasional omissions or irrelevancies do not impede communication. 	 Mostly accurate use of a range of grammatical structures. Generally appropriate use of cohesive devices. Occasional errors are not intrusive. 	 Mostly accurate spelling and punctuation. Occasional errors are not intrusive. Layout of letter is appropriate.
4	 Able to manage the task, but restricted in range and flexibility by lapses or errors. Answer may be too long or too short. 	Generally appropriate register, tone and lexis for the context, but errors are noticeable. Inappropriate organisation of information sometimes causes strain.	Generally accurate understanding of stimulus material and task instructions. Omissions or irrelevancies are noticeable (i.e. includes too little or too much information).	Uses a fair range of grammatical structures. Errors are noticeable and at times reflect limited grammatical resources. Inappropriate or insufficient cohesive devices sometimes cause strain.	 Inaccuracies in spelling and punctuation are sometimes intrusive. Layout of letter is mostly appropriate.
3	 Able to fulfil some task requirements, but significantly limited by frequent lapses/errors and/or restricted linguistic resources. Answer may be far too long or far too short. 	 Frequently inappropriate register, tone and lexis for the context. Poor organisation of material causes serious strain. 	Basic errors in comprehension of stimulus material and task instructions. Frequent inaccuracies and/or inappropriate selection of material.	Limited range of grammatical structures. Errors are frequent and intrusive, reflecting inadequate resources of grammar. Minimal or inappropriate use of cohesive devices.	 Limited control of spelling and punctuation. Frequent inaccuracies are intrusive. Layout of letter may be inappropriate.
2	 Extremely limited communication due to constant errors and/or severely restricted linguistic resources. Very brief/significantly unfinished. 	Often unintelligible. No evidence of organisation.	Almost entirely misunderstands task and stimulus material.	Very limited grammatical resources, even in simple sentences. No attempt to use cohesive devices.	Very limited control of spelling and punctuation. Layout of letter is inappropriate.
1	Almost non-existent.	Almost unintelligible.	No evidence of understanding task or stimulus material.	Impossible to follow, consisting of strings or isolated words and phrases.	Limited in all respects.
0	Candidate does not provide any	response.			



CCUMATIONAL ENGLISHTEST SPEAKING Assessment Criteria and Level Descriptors (public version)

Band	Overall Communicative Effectiveness	Intelligibility	Fluency	Appropriateness	Resources of Grammar and Expression
6	Highly effective communication confidently maintained throughout.	 Pronunciation is easily understood and prosodic features (stress, intonation, rhythm) are used effectively. L1 accent has no effect on intelligibility. 	Completely fluent speech at normal speed. Any hesitation is appropriate and not a sign of searching for words or structures.	 Entirely appropriate register, tone and lexis for the context. No difficulty at all in explaining technical matters in lay terms. 	 Rich and flexible. Wide range of grammar and vocabulary used accurately and flexibly. Confident use of idiomatic speech.
5	Generally effective communication, though occasional minor lapses/errors may indicate some limitations.	 Easily understood. Communication is not impeded by a few pronunciation or prosodic errors and/or noticeable L1 accent. Minimal strain for the listener. 	 Fluent speech at normal speed, with only occasional repetition or self-correction. Hesitation may occasionally indicate searching for words or structures, but is generally appropriate. 	 Mostly appropriate register, tone and lexis for the context. Occasional lapses are not intrusive. 	 Wide range of grammar and vocabulary generally used accurately and flexibly. Occasional errors in grammar or vocabulary are not intrusive.
4	Able to maintain the interaction, but restricted in range and flexibility by lapses or errors.	Easily understood most of the time. Pronunciation or prosodic errors and/or L1 accent at times cause strain for the listener.	Uneven flow, with some repetition, especially in longer utterances. Some evidence of searching for words, which does not cause serious strain. Delivery may be staccato or too fast/slow.	 Generally appropriate register, tone and lexis for the context, but somewhat restricted and lacking in complexity. Lapses are noticeable and at times reflect limited resources of grammar and expression. 	Sufficient resources to maintain the interaction. Inaccuracies in vocabulary and grammar, particularly in more complex sentences, are sometimes intrusive. Meaning is generally clear.
3	Able to manage some interaction, but communication is significantly impeded by frequent lapses/errors and/or restricted linguistic resources.	 Produces some acceptable features of spoken English. Difficult to understand because errors in pronunciation/stress/intonation and/or L1 accent cause serious strain for the listener. 	 Very uneven. Frequent pauses and repetitions indicate searching for words or structures. Excessive use of fillers and difficulty sustaining longer utterances cause serious strain for the listener. 	Some evidence of appropriate register, tone and lexis, but lapses are frequent and intrusive, reflecting inadequate resources of grammar and expression.	 Limited vocabulary and control of grammatical structures, except very simple sentences. Persistent inaccuracies are intrusive.
2	Extremely limited communication due to constant errors and/or severely restricted linguistic resources.	Often unintelligible. Frequent errors in pronunciation/stress/intonation and/or L1 accent cause severe strain for the listener.	Extremely uneven. Long pauses, numerous repetitions and self-corrections make speech difficult to follow.	Mostly inappropriate register, tone and lexis for the context.	Very limited resources of vocabulary and grammar, even in simple sentences. Numerous errors in word choice.
1	Totally inadequate.	Almost entirely unintelligible.	Impossible to follow, consisting of isolated words and phrases and self- corrections, separated by long pauses.	Entirely inappropriate register, tone and lexis for the context.	Limited in all respects.
0	Candidate does not provide any response.				

STUDENT PROGRESS REPORT

Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Disappointed
Comments:				