#### IDAHO COLORECTAL CANCER ROUNDTABLE

## Data: Know Your Numbers April 26, 2018



## February Webinar



## **EIGHTYBY2018**



## Webinar Objectives

- Increase understanding of national quality measures
- Increase understanding of organizational data that may be available or could be collected
- Describe how to utilize data for quality improvement
- Identify ways to communicate data effectively



## Data in Support of Quality Improvement

#### Deanna Graham Chanda Sundara QI Consultants

04/26/2017



Quality Improvement Organizations

Sharing Knowledge. Improving Health Care. CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES





#### **Qualis Health**

- A leading national population health management organization
- The Medicare Quality Innovation Network Quality Improvement Organization (QIN-QIO) for Idaho and Washington

#### The QIO Program

• One of the largest federal programs dedicated to improving health quality at the local level



Quality Improvement Organizations

Sharing Knowledge. Improving Health Care. CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES



### **Objectives**

- Describe why is data important
- Discuss basics of measurement
- Understand the steps needed to improve your data
- Discuss challenges



## Four Pillars of Health Care Transformation

- Put the patient in the center
- Transparency and openness
- Elimination of waste and continuous improvement
- Collaboration and partnership



Historical State Transformation Evolving Future State

CMS support of healthy care *Delivery System Reform* will result in better care, smarter spending, and healthier people





## Data Reporting – It's Here

- With the Affordable Care Act and MACRA, movement away from fee for service into payment for quality
- Healthcare organizations will need to be able to:
  - Capture clinical quality data
  - Share data internally and externally for Quality Assurance and Quality Improvement
  - Report data to external entities (e.g., CMS, other health plans, to patients?)
- Health IT needed to measure and manage clinical outcomes to improve care
- Providers will be more accountable for care
- Data submission critical for reimbursement



## Dive into data

- Managing data quality needs to be a core business competency
- Reports must be fast, relevant and reliable
- Information must be available to guide better clinical decision making



## Workflow depends on data quality – Immunization example



**Q** ,

### Possible sources of Data

- BRFSS
- UDS
- HEDIS
- PQRS / MIPS Quality Measures



### **BRFSS Colorectal Cancer Screening**

2014

Respondents aged 50-75 who have fully met the USPSTF recommendation (variable calculated from one or more BRFSS questions) (Crude Prevalence)

View by: Overall





Response: Met USPSTF recommendations for testing

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Division of Population Health. BRFSS Prevalence & Trends Data [online]. 2015. [accessed Apr 20, 2017]. URL: https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/brfssprevalence/.



PR

### **CCS** Statistics

- HRSA Health Centers/UDS
  - 2015 Idaho 28.7%
  - 2015 National 38.3%
- HEDIS Commercial
  - 2015 National HMO 62.8%
  - 2015 National PPO 57.1%
- HEDIS Medicare
  - 2015 National HMO 67.4%
  - 2015 National PPO 66.7%
- PQRS
  - 2016 National 53.22%



## **Types of Measures**

- Outcome measure
  - How does system impact health, values, wellbeing, satisfaction of resident, staff, or business?
- Process measure
  - Are the process steps working as planned?
- Balance measure
  - Are changes designed to improve a system causing new problems elsewhere?



### **Measurement Basics**

- Integrate measurement into the daily routine
- Plot measures regularly
- Use a "family" of five to seven measures





## **Example Quality Measures**

- Outcome:
  - Screening Colonoscopy Adenoma Detection Rate
    - The percentage of patients age 50 years or older with at least one conventional adenoma or colorectal cancer detected during screening colonoscopy
- Process:
  - Colorectal Cancer Screening
    - Percentage of adults 50-75 years of age who had appropriate screening for colorectal cancer
- Balancing:
  - Number of new patients that were offered screening



## **Quality Improvement**

## IDEAS ARE TESTED ON A SMALL SCALE BY THE PEOPLE WHO DO THE WORK



## Planning a Successful QI Initiative

### First Steps:

- Set priorities for QI, pick an area to start
- Leadership must be involved
- Choose a team, make sure team understands their roles and responsibilities
- Train team on QI principles, such as Model for Improvement or PDSA cycles



## **Building Initial Data Reports**

### **Next: Building Reports out of EHR**

- Set data definitions
- Standardize data entry through workflow redesign efforts
- Assure workflow is working and data is being collected correctly
- Build Reports
- Validate reports



## Reports are validated before release



QI data reports are checked for validity prior to releasing to care teams for action

- Sample chart reviews
- Complementary reports
- Pilot release to a single care team



## Analyze Data Reports

#### Next: Analyze reports and plan for QI

- Review data
- Where are your care gaps (from evidencebased care, organizational priorities)?
- Investigate why the care gap exists and develop hypotheses to understand gap
- Choose QI focus and start planning for implementation



## **Actionable Data Reports**

Data reports need to be actionable to provide opportunities for care improvement Report: Adults age 50-80 who does not have an appropriate screening for colorectal cancer documented in the EHR

Care team reviews report using recommended guidelines during a dedicated QI time slot

Plan for a care team member to call patients meeting screening criteria and offers appropriate screening

Goal: 90% of adults age 50-80 appropriately screened for colorectal cancer



## Quality Improvement with a Strategy

#### Next: Plan QI Project

- Plan-Do-Study-Act cycles
- Make changes that address care gap hypotheses
- Measure the effects of the PDSAs (what happened, what were the positive impacts, were there any negative impacts?)
- Adopt, Adapt, Abandon the change



## Spread

#### **Next: Spread**

- Spread changes in the way that make sense for your organization
  - Other providers within the clinic
  - Other clinics



## Any QI Initiative...

...Starts with data

- So you know what you are dealing with
- So you can set goals
- So you can develop QI plans
- So you know if you are accomplishing your goals through your QI work



## The Challenges

- Submission of clinical data via EHR is future trend, but data quality and accuracy are challenges because of:
  - Multiple data definitions
  - Organizational culture
  - Vendor issues
  - Financial barriers





## **Data Definition Challenges**

- 1. Clinical concepts have multiple data definitions and different data rules
  - Mammograms for women over 50 (US Preventive Services Task Force) or over 40 (American Cancer Society and other orgs)?
  - Data specifications = measurement every two years, every year, other?
  - BP>140/90 or BP <u>></u> 140/90 for Hypertension?
  - How to count inactive or deceased patients?
  - How do you define diseases (e.g., which ICD codes to use)?





## **Organizational Culture Issues**



- Communications between staff health IT, quality improvement, and medical personnel
- The quality improvement/medical people don't speak technology
- Lack of shared vision for how health IT should support quality of care
- There is an underestimation of resources required to optimize health IT for quality of care purposes



#### Ideal Workflow across Health IT, QI, Medical





## Vendor Issues



- Inaccurate reports
- Understaffed support
- Expensive training
- Frequent upgrades = bugs, broken reports, etc
- Complicated Reporting = database skills need
- Requires major build for each report change



## **Financial Issues**

- Technology skills, EHRs, reporting software all can cost \$\$\$\$
- Training costs can be expensive and is often under budgeted
- The incentives (MU, PQRS) often don't cover the cost of the work
- We are building systems for pay for quality but we still live in a fee for service world





## Despite challenges...

- You can improve quality! It will take time and effort.
- Data needs to come first
- Data drives QI efforts
- Workflow is a critical component of data integrity











Chanda Sundara QI Consultant <u>chandas@qualishealth.org</u> 208-383-5939 Deanna Graham QI Principal <u>deannag@qualishealth.org</u> 208-383-5951

#### For more information: www.Medicare.QualisHealth.org/PIN

This material was prepared by Qualis Health, the Medicare Quality Innovation Network - Quality Improvement Organization (QIN-QIO) for Idaho and Washington, under contract with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), an agency of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The contents presented do not necessarily reflect CMS policy. ID/WA-HITC-QH-2889-04-17



### *Elizabeth Johnsen, MS* Director of Performance Improvement

### Family Medicine Residency of Idaho



**IDAHO** Colorectal Cancer Roundtable

# Closing Care Gaps with Clinical Quality Data

#### **50,000 Foot View**

## 2. Data Entry & Management

Roll out role-based training detailing points of data entry

e.g. Enli Dashboard or encounter forms

#### 1. Template Creation

Create or Identify encounter forms for each Care Control Panel measure

(e.g. Preventive Cancer Screenings, Immunizations, Diabetes, Tobacco, BMI, Depression)

#### **CPS** Database

Data repository that houses encounter forms, Enli Control Panel & feeds Enli Registry

Outstanding Clinical Outcomes among Critical Quality Success Measures will be achieved through implementation of a patient care management process that aligns role-based training, standard data entry & management workflows, pre-visit planning, registry care action and continuous measurement & improvement processes.

#### 3. Data Extraction/Registry Care Action

Education -> registry -> didactics

Nursing monitors Green, Yellow, Red status then follows protocols

Care Managers monitor and create campaigns for complex cases

#### 4. Performance Improvement

Identify Gaps (benchmarks set by Quality) Investigate & determine root causes Plan & Implement intervention Analyze results (compare pre/post results) Continue cycle until target is met

#### **Correcting Quality**

 Policy Management decision making
 Beneficiaries Empowerment & participation
 Quality monitoring of Health Outcomes, Practices, Satisfaction, Complaints

**Promoting Quality** 

 Determines Quality Requirements (e.g. UDS, MU, STARS, ACGME, Grants, SHIP, Private Payors)
 Promotes Standards & Guidelines
 Manages Quality Systems **Quality System** 

#### **10,000** Foot View



#### Where are we? Analyzing, Defining, Designing, Implementing, Evaluating

#### 5,000 Foot View

											Select Contra	act Chang	e Cluster	Eliz	abeth Johnsen 🗸	Help 🗸	Log Out 🕞	
Contract : none   Location : FMRI   EHR Last Queried: 12/14/2016 9:44 F															16 9:44 PM			
																💌 M	lessage	🖨 Print
Age	Gender	AAA	BMI	Breast CA	Cervical CA	Colon CA	Depression Screen	Diabetes Screen	Falls Risk	Osteo	Tob Use	Last Appt	Next A	ppt	PCP		Other Prov	Comm
21	F		<u>24.2</u>				Due PHQ-9			<u>Hiqh</u> <u>risk</u>	<u>Current</u>	4/15/2015			Nancy Snyder NP			
43	м		<u>68.4</u>				<u>Due</u> PHQ-2				<u>Never</u>	11/18/2016			Chelsea Carlson M	<u>ID</u>		
18	F		<u>20.3</u>				<u>5 (PHQ-9)</u>				Never	9/16/2016	12/30/2	2016	Amanda Dauten M	ID		
33	м		<u>27.2</u>				<u>Due</u> PHQ-2				<u>Current</u>	12/22/2015			Kacy Herron MD			
45	м		<u>158.2</u>				Due PHQ-2				Quit	4/4/2016			Anastasia Milliron	NP		
30	F		<u>19.7</u>				0 (PHQ-2)				Never	12/1/2016	12/21/2	2016	Babette Munting N	IP		
34	F		<u>36</u>				Due PHQ-2			<u>Hiqh</u> <u>risk</u>	<u>Current</u>	10/7/2016			Anastasia Milliron	NP		
34	F		<u>29.8</u>				Due PHQ-2				<u>Never</u>	3/18/2016			Chelsea Carlson N	<u>1D</u>		
29	м		Due				<u>Due</u> PHQ-2	2							Anastasia Milliron	NP		
31	F		<u>43</u>				Due PHQ-9					12/8/2016	<u>12/15/2</u>	2016	Dean Defrees MD			
24	м		<u>26.8</u>				Due PHQ-2				<u>Current</u>	12/11/2014			Babette Munting N	IP		
48	F		<u>20.9</u>		н		Due PHQ-2				<u>Never</u>	2/10/2016			Janae Krahn MD			
18	F		<u>21.3</u>				Due PHQ-9				<u>Never</u>	1/12/2016			Phoebe Gray NP			

V

 $\hat{}$ 

#### **Ground View – Chart Access, Nursing Training**



5. To see Pre Visit Notes without opening an Office visit:

#### **Ground View – Provider Training**



# PREVENTIVE & CLINICAL QUALITY MEASURES

A comparison of Uniform Data System Measures (UDS) & FMRI Residency Measures



## Outcomes

- People
  - Culture/Awareness
  - Working to license levels
- Process
  - Alignment w/ workflow
  - Communication
  - Reporting
- Tools
  - Review of current tools
  - Business case for implementation of new tools







Megan Czarniecki Megan.Czarniecki@cancer.org 208.422.0177

Charlene Cariou Charlene.Cariou@dhw.Idaho.gov 208.332.7344

Megan Mackey Megan.Mackey@dhw.Idaho.gov 208.334.5966

## Action Items

- Join Idaho CRC RT Member Listserv
- Sign and submit Letter of Support
- Know you NUMBERS! Share with your clinicians and Idaho Roundtable
  Megan.Mackey@dhw.Idaho.gov
- Register for June 28<sup>th</sup> webinar
   Screening Options: More than JUST a Scope!