Vol. 18 No. 11

Bible Baptist Church, P.O. Box 7135, Pensacola, FL 32534



Geocentricity vs. Heliocentricity

For some years now I have been carrying on a sporadic correspondence with Brother James Hanson, a saved professor, who lives in Cleveland, Ohio. From time to time he has sent me articles by *The Tychonian Society*, a group of highly educated astronomers and physicists who teach that Galileo was just as dumb as he looked (and acted). Along with him, Kepler and Copernicus share "honors." And, finally, Wilkins, Einstein, and "modern science."

The core of this matter is simple:

does the earth revolve around the sun, or does the sun revolve around the earth? There are many other factors that must be considered in either position, but*hose* are the positions. Modern science now is as firmly entrenched in the first view (Heliocentricity) as it is in evolution and spontaneous generation (accidently) out of inorganic matter (the odds against the latter being 1 out of 10^{13,000}). This, in itself, would cause a sane man to doubt any number of other propositions proposed by such a fanatically superstitious crowd Continued on page 3

THE POWER OF NEGATIVE THINKING

Scientists have a habit of bragging about their "laws," such as the laws of Biogenesis, the laws of Thermodynamics, the laws of Gravity, and so forth.

But there is one great universal law governing all history, and it deals with human nature. As far as I know, there is not one Evolutionist or Humanist on this planet who knows anything about it. This universal law is infallible and works in every case, with every experiment any man (or nation) has ever tried, through six millenniums of recorded history. The "batting average" of this infallible law has been 1,000 everytime the ball game finishes. It has proved to be true, empirically, on so many occasions that the law of averages no longer applies to it: there is no "average." This law is as fixed as any law any scientist hypothesized, or proved, since the dawn of recorded history.

We call this great, fixed, absolute scientific truth "The Principle of Human Collapse," or "Man is the Measure of Nothing."

All men die; they deteriorate, degenerate, and die. Of the two exceptions in history (Elijah and Enoch) one will die in the Tribulation, so the scientific law says, "It is appointed unto men once to die ... " It takes a supernatural intervention of the miraculous for a man to die twice (Eutychus, Lazarus, Jonah, Moses, etc.), or to escape dying (Enoch). Since no evolutionist or humanist believes in miracles, or supernatural "intervention," the law, for him, is one hundred percent.

We are not talking about catalyptic seizures or drugged patients in hospitals "reviving" after their heart beat, or pulse has stopped. We are talking about people in coffins, who have been buried.

Stars burn out, universes

collapse into black holes (according to the evolutionists and humanists!), magnetic fields decay, empires collapse, the environment goes to pieces, etc. Only the "power of negative thinking" can deal realistically with reality.

Now here is "The Principle of Human Collapse." Here is an historical record of seven opportunities that God has given man on "Planet Earth." He botched all seven, and will botch another one coming up. (If he were given a hundred he would "pig-out" on all one hundred.)

1. God gives a perfect man a perfect wife (Gen. 1, 2) and then gives them a perfect environment, which includes perfect food, perfect weather, perfect health, and perfect communion. What do they do with it? They chuck it in order to exercise "pro-choice," as "free moral agents." They lose the whole works.

Continued on page 4

Are You "Pro-Sin?"

For many years we have faithfully pointed out the dual nature of Roman Catholicism: it is a Political State and a Church. No matter who resents this identification, the fact remains; every pope is a temporal ruler over an earthly kingdom (the Vatican) with his political subversive agents planted inside every major government in the world.

If any Catholic would object to this statement, it would only reveal an intolerable ignorance on his part, and it would be a willful ignorance (see 2 Pet. 3) at that. Proof? Here you are right from a nation that has been Roman Catholic from the day the Spaniards occupied it in the sixteenth century. This is from *The Philippine Daily Inquirer* (Tuesday, Aug. 16, 1994) written by a Roman Catholic Continued on page 8

Continued on page 8