Gary Windom (#86775) 1 Public Defender Addison Steele (#192534) 2 Deputy Public Defender County of Riverside 3 4200 Orange Street Riverside, California 92501 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Telephone: (951) 955-6000 Attorney for defendant Robbie Catchings

Defendant.

DEC 28 2007

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Case No.: SWF006186 Plaintiff, NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR REDUCTION TO MISDEMEANOR PERSUANT TO PENAL CODE SECTION 17(b). VS. ROBBIE CATCHINGS January 7, 2008 DATE: TIME: 8:30 a.m. DOB 02.20.69, DEPT: Dept. 61, Judge Couzens

ROD PACHECO, DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, DEPUTY TO: DISTRICT ATTORNEY DAVID TAHAN; AND THE CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 7, 2008, or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, defendant Robbie Catchings through his attorney the Public Defender by Deputy Public Defender Addison Steele will move the court to reduce both counts of the Information to a misdemeanors pursuant to California Penal Code Section 17(b).

Dated: December 28, 2007

Respectfully submitted GARY WINDOM PUBLIC DEFENDER

Addisøn Steele Deputy Public Defender Attorney for Robbie Catchings

27

28

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO REDUCE CHARGE TO MISDEMEANOR

ı

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

In November of 2003 Mr. Catchings was an inmate in the Riverside County Southwest Detention awaiting trial on his case in which he was acquitted of all counts. The Southwest jail is racially segregated and the correctional officers have developed an informal trustee system where they assign one inmate from each racial group in each pod to keep the peace within each racial group. Mr. Catchings had been so designated. On November 10, 2003, Mr. Catchings engaged in an argument with Bryant Griffin over Mr. Griffin staying on the telephones for too long because one person using more than his allotted time on the telephones can lead to violence between inmates in the pod. The telephones are right in front of the correctional officers' booth about twenty to twenty-five feet away. The argument was so subdued that none of the correctional officers even noticed it.

After the argument, Mr. Griffin went to take a shower. While he was in the shower he fell and hit his forehead causing a small cut above his left eye. He also had bruising on his left elbow and left leg which would be consistent with falling on his left side in the shower and inconsistent with being hit on the left side of his face and falling on the right side of his body from the force of the blow. Another inmate, Michael Washington, saw Mr. Griffin enter the shower uninjured, saw him fall, and saw that he had the injury over his eye when he left the shower. Mr. Griffin then went to Correctional Officer Alonso Tovar and reported that he had fallen in the shower and needed medical attention. C.O. Tovar then took Mr. Griffin aside and asked him if something different had happened. Mr. Griffin then realized that he could take over Mr. Catchings' job as the informal trustee by reporting that Mr. Catchings had hit him—causing Mr. Catchings to be moved to a different pod, and at the same time get revenge because a "homeboy" of Mr. Catchings' was snitching on his (Mr. Griffin's) brother. In order to

accomplish these two ends Mr. Griffin told C.O. Tovar that Mr. Catchings had hit him a single time and that "he did not want to testify in the case."

C.O. Tovar wrote in his Report that he then talked to Mr. Griffin's cellmate, Jasper Bates. He wrote in his report that he personally talked to Mr. Bates and that he said he saw a single punch from Catchings on Mr. Griffin. Mr. Bates was subsequently called at the preliminary hearing in this case and testified that he never even talked to C.O. Tovar, but that he had talked to a different correctional officer that suggested that he say that he witnessed Mr. Catchings punch Mr. Griffin and that he would be rewarded for telling that story with extra commissary items. Mr. Bates then declined the correctional officer's offer to make a false witness statement and went about his business only to find out at the preliminary hearing that statements were attributed to him that he did not make.

Another inmate, Robert Evans, talked to Mr. Griffin after the incident and asked him what had happened to his eye. Mr. Griffin told Mr. Evans that he had fallen in the shower but that the correctional officers thought that Mr. Catchings had hit him. When Mr. Evans further inquired as to why the correctional officers had false information, Mr. Griffin told him that a "homeboy" of Mr. Catchings "is snitching on his brother" and therefore, "Fuck him," referring to Mr. Catchings. Mr. Griffin was subsequently sent to prison and until recently was living in a secured housing unit at Pelican Bay State Prison. He gave a statement to a defense investigator that he intends to refuse to testify if called as a witness by the prosecution.

Mr. Catchings has been charged with one count of a violation of Penal Code § 243(d), battery with serious bodily injury and one count of a violation of Penal Code § 245(a)(1) with a special allegation of great bodily injury. There are also two prison priors, two five year serious offense priors and two strikes alleged. Mr. Catchings is facing a sentence of thirty-five years to life for this incident.¹

¹ Mr. Catchings has two five year serious prior conviction enhancements pursuant to Penal Code § 667(a) alleged that are also alleged as strike priors, as well as alleged as one year prison prior enhancements pursuant to Penal Code § 667.5(b). However the two prison prior allegations are from the same prison sentence as the two serious priors and the court cannot sentence Mr. Catchins for both of the priors, *People v. Jones* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 1142. Therefore his maximum sentence is thirty-five years to life, not thirty-seven years to life as it would appear with a cursory look at the charges.

Deputy District Attorney David Tahan has informed defense counsel that the photos of the alleged injury to Mr. Griffin were destroyed. There are now only grainy black and white photocopies of the injuries.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Mr. Catchings urges that the charges in counts one and two, an alleged violation of Penal Code §§ 243(d) and 245(a)(1), really are misdemeanors that the prosecutor should have charged as such. California Penal Code section 17(b) gives the court the authority to exercise its discretion and reduce a charge that is filed as a felony to a misdemeanor when the charged felony is a "wobbler," a crime that can be charged either as a misdemeanor or a felony. Penal Code § 17(b) reads as follows:

17(b) When a crime is punishable, in the discretion of the court, by imprisonment in the state prison or by fine or imprisonment in the county jail, it is a misdemeanor for all purposes under the following circumstances:

27

28

(1) After a judgment imposing a punishment other than imprisonment in the state prison.

(2) When the court, upon committing the defendant to the Youth Authority, designates the offense to be a misdemeanor.

(3) When the court grants probation to a defendant without imposition of sentence and at the time of granting probation, or on application of the defendant or probation officer thereafter, the court declares the offense to be a misdemeanor.

(4) When the prosecuting attorney files in a court having jurisdiction over misdemeanor offenses a complaint specifying that the offense is a misdemeanor, unless the defendant at the time of his or her arraignment or plea

objects to the offense being made a misdemeanor, in which event the complaint shall be amended to charge the felony and the case shall proceed on the felony complaint.

(5) When, at or before the preliminary examination or prior to filing an order pursuant to Section 872, the magistrate determines that the offense is a misdemeanor, in which event the case shall proceed as if the defendant had been arraigned on a misdemeanor complaint.

In deciding whether to exercise discretion under § 17 of the Penal Code, the Court may consider not only the offender's guilt, but also his or her potential for rehabilitation balanced against the community's need for protection, as well as the past life and habits of the defendant. (*People v. Smith* (1968) 259 Cal.App.2d 868, 873.) Relevant factors include the nature and circumstances of the offense, the defendant's appreciation of and attitude toward the offense, his or her traits of character, the protection of society, punishment of the defendant, encouraging the defendant to lead a law abiding life in the future and deterring him or her from future offenses, deterring others from criminal conduct by demonstrating its consequences, preventing the defendant from committing new crimes by isolating him or her for the period of incarceration, and securing restitution for the victims of crime. (*People v. Superior Court (Alvarez)* (1997) 14 Cal.4th 968, 978; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 410.)

The court's discretion when exercised under Penal Code § 17(b) is not reviewable by an appellate court when an appeal or writ is brought by the plaintiff. The prosecution can only appeal when an appeal is provided for by statute. *People v. Douglass* (1999) 20 Cal.4th 85, 89. The California Supreme Court made this rule absolutely clear in *People v. Williams* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 817; 28 Cal.Rptr.3d 29; 110 P.3d 1239. In that case, Willis Williams was, as Mr. Catchings is now, charged with violations of Penal Code §§ 245(a) and 243(d). At the conclusion of the preliminary hearing the judge reduced the charges to misdemeanors

pursuant to Penal Code § 17(b).2 The plaintiff attempted to reinstate the felony Complaint pursuant to Penal Code § 871.5, which was denied. The plaintiff in turn appealed the denial of that motion. The Williams court cited and quoted People v. Superior Court (Howard) (1968) 69 Cal.2d 491, 497-498 that the "restriction on the People's right to appeal . . . is a substantive limitation on review of trial court determinations in criminal trials" and that appellate "review at the request of the People necessarily imposes substantial burdens on an accused . . . " Ibid at p. 823. It is one hundred percent the court's discretion and only the court's discretion that determines whether the charges against Mr. Catchings are in fact misdemeanor conduct and that discretion cannot be second guessed.

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Ш

THE CASE AT HAND

In this matter, Mr. Catchings at worst was involved in a jail fight and at best was falsely accused by a fellow inmate in order for that inmate to secure some additional comfort while in jail. The evidence against Mr. Catchings is weak. He has already been in the Riverside County jail for more than five years, four months on a case in which he was acquitted of all charges.

IV

ROBBIE CATCHINGS

Mr. Catchings is thirty-eight years old (DOB 02/20/69). He was born at Dominguez Valley Hospital in Los Angeles. Robbie was the youngest of three children. His father, Freddy Catchings and his mother Evelyn Catchings separated when Robbie was about four years old because Freddy was beating his mother. The family eventually got to the point where they

² It appears that the judge misstated on record that the reduction was pursuant to Penal Code § 1385, however the Minutes correctly reflected that the reduction was pursuant to Penal Code § 17(b). People v. Williams, ibid at p. 821.

were hiding from Freddy because he would take Robbie and Michelle, Robbie's older sister, 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

without letting anyone know where they were. His mother had to work as many hours as possible as a night building janitor and as a cook so she could do her best to make ends meet for her family. About ten years after his parents separated his father was shot and killed in Compton.³ Robbie's mom always worked to keep a roof over her family's head and make sure they had food. She knows that they didn't have what the other kids may have had, but she's proud that she never ever went on public assistance. Robbie went to elementary school in South Los Angeles in the Eleven Eight East Coast Crips territory. By middle school Robbie and his friends were calling themselves Eleven Eight East Coast Crips. When Robbie was sixteen, his older brother Freddy Junior was sent to prison for life for murder. His brother getting sent to prison for life was particularly hard on Robbie because Freddy Junior, who was only four years older, was the only father figure that he had in his life.

Robbie dropped out of high school in the tenth grade because he was sent to Juvenile Hall. He attended school while in Juvenile Hall but did not graduate. Once Robbie turned eighteen he was just hanging out with the other young gang members in his neighborhood. By the time he was twenty-three years old he had been sentenced to prison for twelve years. Those strike crimes from when he was in his early twenties were the last violence on his record. When Robbie got out he decided to leave the Eleven Eights in his past. He started working as a mechanic. He then got the driving without the owner's permission conviction which stemmed from him driving a rental car that was kept beyond the contract. Robbie borrowed the car from a friend and didn't suspect that there was any problem with it because there were no indicia that it was stolen and it had a key. Up until that case, Robbie didn't realize that he had two strikes. Because he had pled to both strikes at the same time, he thought he only had one strike. He pled guilty because he could have gone to trial and risked a life sentence, or he could have accepted the District Attorney's generous offer, which was

³ Robbie's mother doesn't know the details of Freddy being shot other than it had something to do with a bar fight and Freddy getting shot in the mouth. Robbie is under the impression that his father was shot when he was four, not fourteen as is actually the case. His mother says that they just don't talk about his father because he beat her. She knows that the two older children were old enough to see and understand the abuse from her husband, but she thinks Robbie was too young to remember, however she also knows that Robbie's never asked her anything about his dad.

1

7

8

12 13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

23

24

22

25

27

28

26

obviously so generous because there were proof problems with the case, of striking both strikes with upper term of three years at fifty percent.

While Robbie was in prison he contacted the Federal Bureau of Investigation and offered his help because he knew they were investigating Paris "Old Man" Miller for a string of killings in South Los Angeles. According to testimony from Special Agent Patrick Sullivan at Robbie's two homicide trials, he was Robbie's handler and Robbie was working toward the goal of convicting Mr. Miller and then relocating to start his life over away from the Eleven Eight East Coast Crips. Robbie wore a wire in the Riverside County Jail and was placed in a cell with a homicide suspect in an attempt to get an admission from the suspect. Robbie was not given, nor did he ask for, compensation for working the FBI on that case. Once he was out of prison, Robbie was a paid operative for the FBI, although he was only paid as much as would meet his basic living expenses. His task was to eventually infiltrate the Eleven Eight East Coast Crips' highest levels in order to end the homicides in his neighborhood and gather enough evidence to convict Mr. Miller of the roughly six homicides the FBI believed he committed. Robbie was clearly making strides to improve his prospects. His homicide case for which he was acquitted stemmed from "Old Man" and Robbie's best friend George "Scooby" Bender shooting at Robbie over what he eventually came to believe was "Old Man" finding out that he was working for the FBI or in some other way was snitching to the detriment of the "Old Man." The jury acquitted Robbie of the murder of "Scooby," the attempted murder of another long time Eleven Eight East Coast Crip, Anthony "Red Capone" Lowe, and of being a felon in possession of a firearm, and therefore found that Robbie was defending himself and did not go to the scene armed.

Right now Robbie is working for Darnell Thompson, an independent trucker, loading and unloading trucks. He has prospects for getting work laying cement. He has met with a counselor at Maxine Waters vocational school at 109th Street in South Los Angeles. He also married Cassandra Catchings in 2003 while in jail and now has a much more settled life as a family man than when he was young and running with gang bangers. He has four step-daughters with Cassandra. The two oldest, Cionni and Sierra Shepard are eighteen year old

twins. The two youngest, Jeta and Jetia Shepard are fifteen year old twins. The two older girls are Riverside Community College students and Robbie goes with his wife every morning on their routine to drop them off at various times and places for all their classes.

CONCLUSION AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF

For the foregoing reasons, Mr. Catchings respectfully requests that the Court grant the within motion and reduce the charges in counts one and two against him from felonies to misdemeanors pursuant to section 17(b) of the Penal Code.

Dated: December 28, 2007

Respectfully Submitted,

Gary Windom, Public Defender

A. Addison Steele II

Deputy Public Defender

Attorney for Robbie Catchings

1 2 PROOF OF SERVICE 3 4 I, Addison Steele, declare: 5 6 7 That on December 28, 2007, I caused a copy of the within: 8 9 10 11 Clerk of the Superior Court 12 Riverside Superior Court 4100 Main Street 13 Riverside, CA 92501 14 15 Rod Pacheco District Attorney 16 4075 Main Street Riverside, CA 92501 17 18 19 Executed: December 28, 2007, at Riverside, California. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident or an employee of the County of Riverside; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action.

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR REDUCTION TO MISDMEANOR PERSUANT TO PENAL CODE SECTION 17(B) to be served on the following:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

ddison Steele/Declarant Attorney for Robbie Catchings SACO.

W C

.