

## *TABLE Of CONTENTS*

### *Kp.org Dermatology . Office Visit*

<i>EXHIBIT</i>	<i>DESCRIPTION</i>	<i>COMMENTS</i>
A 1-4	<b>VISIT SUMMARY d.08.06.2015:</b> <b>NO</b> records for “Punch Biopsy” or Shave Biopsy” in Kp.org MR. e.g. Test Results; Past Visit Information; or Download my Health Summary for either a “punch or shave biopsy”. The only entry is the “wound culture” that was performed without my knowledge or consent. Additionally, there is <b>NO</b> entry for a “wound culture” or “shave biopsy” in <b>VISIT SUMMARY</b>	
B	[*] DIF Guidelines for performing a “wound culture” on a Blister I did <b>NOT</b> have a “wound” until the doctor cut ½ of the skin off of my “blister”	
C p.7	<b>Visit Summary</b> sent to my PCP	Encounter 203158683 d.08.06.2015 [see C p.13]
C p.8	<b>Nursing Notes Continued</b> <b>“Wound culture”, “shave biopsy”</b>	Encounter Visit d.08.06.2015 [*] Were not requested by PCP [see C p.13]
C p.9	<b>Encounter Vitals Continued</b> <b>[*] NO Plan Procedure for</b>	Plan Procedure for “punch biopsy” <b>“shave biopsy” or “wound culture”</b>
C p.10	<b>Progress Notes Continued</b>	Dermatology Consult
C p.11	<b>Progress Notes Continued</b> <b>[*] NO Plan Procedure for</b>	<b>Procedure Notes for “punch biopsy”</b> <b>“shave biopsy” or “wound culture”</b>
C p.12	<b>Progress Notes Continued</b> <b>d.08.06.2015: Orders complete</b>	<b>“Punch Biopsy” omitted</b>
	d.08.06.2015 Orders completed:	“Culture wound”
	d.08.07.2015 Orders completed:	“Skin related Pathology”; “shave biopsy”
C p.13	No Orders requested by PCP	Only Referral for Consultation submitted
D p.1	<b>Office Visit</b> d.08.06.2015	Progress Notes: Dermatology Consult
D p.2	<b>d.08.06.2015</b> <b>[*] No Procedure Notes for “wound culture” or “shave biopsy”</b>	Procedure Notes for <b>“punch biopsy”</b>
D p.3	Procedure Questionnaire for “punch biopsy” <b>[*] No Procedure Questionnaire for “wound culture of “shave biopsy”</b>	d.08.06.2015
D p.4	Visit Diagnosis: Rash – Orders Completed: “culture, wound”; “Skin Related Surgical Pathology” [?]; “shave biopsy”; <b>[*] “punch biopsy” – Omitted</b>	
D p.5	<b>ORDERS</b> placed d.08.06.2015: wound culture: Resulted <b>ORDERS</b> <b>d.08.07.2015:</b> skin related surgical pathology: Resulted [*] which biopsy? <b>ORDERS</b> [d. Unknown] shave biopsy: <b>154527004</b> [?] <b>ORDERS</b> <b>[*] “punch biopsy” d.08.06.2015 - Omitted</b> [*] See F p.2 “Orders Placed This Visit” e.g. <b>d.08.06.2015</b> [*] <b>Dates conflict</b>	

**KAISER Permanente, et. al**

**Negligence and Medical Malpractice Supporting Documentation**

**Available on Request and Forwarded on Behalf of now 74 Year-Old KAISER Member**

## *TABLE Of CONTENTS*

### *Kp.org Dermatology . Office Visit*

<i>EXHIBIT</i>	<i>DESCRIPTION</i>	<i>COMMENTS</i>
E p.1	Results. Results Notes . Order <b>154527003</b> [*] <b>This Result not viewable by the patient</b>	Skin Related Surgical Pathology <b>“punch biopsy”</b>
	Specimen Collected: d.08.07.2015 Specimen Submitted: d.08.07.2015	<b>My Office Visit was d.08.06.2015</b> “ “ “
E p.1-2	Final Diagnosis	<b>Consistent with Vasculitis Diagnosis</b>
E p.1a, p.2a	RESULTS . Results Information <b>Date: d.08.07.2015</b>	Skin “punch biopsy” right thigh Conflicts with <b>d.08.06.2015 of Office Visit</b>
F p.1	VISIT NOTES <b>d.08.06.2015</b>	<b>Does not include “wound culture” care</b>
F p. 2	ORDERS PLACED THIS VISIT “Skin Related Surgical Pathology” <b>“punch biopsy” omitted from Orders Placed This Visit</b>	“shave biopsy”, “culture wound”, [*] For which biopsy: punch or shave?
	[a] Order for the <b>“punch biopsy”</b> is not listed, and is the only surgical procedure that I consented to, and is the only procedure that Dr. Kopelman provided any wound care instructions for.	
	[b] Is the “Skin Related Surgical Pathology for the “shave biopsy”, the “wound culture” or the “punch biopsy” and “punch biopsy” is not included under Orders Placed This Visit for d.08.06.2015?	
	<b>[c] Orders listed under “ORDERS PLACED THIS VISIT” were ALL placed without my knowledge or consent</b>	
	<b>[d] There are NO entries in my Kp.org MR for a “shave biopsy” or “punch biopsy” on d.08.06.2015 i.e. Test Results; Past Visit information; Health Summary; or Download my Health Summary [see A p.3-4]</b>	
	[e] In the “wound culture” and the FINDINGS for the “punch biopsy” both list <b>specimen UNKNOWN</b> which is the unidentified <u>germs</u> that got into my bloodstream and tissues when Mr. Kopelman performed the “punch biopsy” and unauthorized “wound culture” [see B] and left both wounds exposed to UNKNOWN germs that would result negative in standard blood tests .	
	[f] WHEAREAS, when I had blood tests they were testing for <u>known</u> viruses and bacteria and infections and I tested negative because the <u>germs</u> that my body was incubating were UNKNOWN, but clearly there was a visible infection in my body.	
F p.3	AVS Print Information	

**KAISER Permanente, et. al**  
**Negligence and Medical Malpractice Supporting Documentation**  
**Available on Request and Forwarded on Behalf of now 74 Year-Old KAISER Member**



**TABLE Of CONTENTS**  
***Kp.org Dermatology . Office Visit***

<b>EXHIBIT</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>COMMENTS</b>
G	<b>SHAVE BIOPSY: Order 154527004 d.08.07.2015, 1:10 PM.</b> Date and Time Conflict	
	[*] <b>E p.1a Skin Punch Biopsy for that date and time</b>	
	[*] <b>F p.2 Orders Placed This Office Visit: d.08.06.2015</b>	
		“shave biopsy; “wound culture”; “skin related surgical pathology”
	[*] Where did Dr. Kopelman get the skin specimen for the “shave biopsy”	
	[*] With the exception of the <b>PUNCH BIOPSY: Order: 154527003</b> , there are no supporting Documents, Authorizations, Pathology Report or FINDINGS for the SHAVE BIOPSY, and whose skin was submitted?	
H p.1	Email d.08.10.2015 from Dr. Kopelman advising my unauthorized “ <b>wound culture</b> ” [swab] came back negative no bacterial growth.	
H p.2	Wound Culture swab and kit used for cultures	
H p.3	DIF Guidelines for Blisters	
H p.4	Picture of Blister that was negligently cultured	
H p.5	[*] Dr. Kopelman failed to disclose that the specimen origin was UNKNOWN which is an important factor because the lab had no previous “germ” factors to test or evaluate the source of the UNKNOWN contaminated germs from the specimen that began to spread throughout my bloodstream, mid and large arteries that was the source of the INFLAMMATION my body began to manufacture to fight the “germs” which I caught as a result of the NEGLIGENCE on part of Dr. Kopelman who negligently performed a <b>wound culture on a blister</b> , and also failed to assure that the surgical area was sterile including did not wear disposable surgical lab coats, or properly bandage the biopsy and improperly performed a wound culture of the blister on my left heel [*] See DIF Guidelines for culturing a blister, and she NEVER asked how long the blister began.	
I	OUTPT Consult LEVEL 2	[99243B]

EXHIBITS A thru I are being offered on my behalf in consideration to refute the Rational offered by Attorney, Jamaal W. Thomas, Principal Claims Examiner, in his letter d.02.24.2017 to deny my Claim, and therefore, am requesting Kaiser to reassess Mr. Thomas’s decision based on the evidence provided in addendum Emails 1 thru 4.

Respectfully submitted,

**KAISER Permanente, et. al**  
**Negligence and Medical Malpractice Supporting Documentation**  
**Available on Request and Forwarded on Behalf of now 74 Year-Old KAISER Member**