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## Kikori

- Town pop: 4,000
- District pop: 51,000, 10+ languages



## Kikori Elementary School, 2015

- Biggest school in district, roll: 400, attendance: 210
- Classes: Prep, G1, G2
- Interleaved classes: each child - only 5 days school per fortnight.
- Diverse linguistic backgrounds (migration)
- Teaching literacy only in English



## Data gathered (for remedial classes)

- 207 children
- Sex (gender)
- Age
- Class (grade)
- Village and school origins (if migrants)
- Languages spoken at home
- Measures of vocabulary knowledge LWC: English, Tok Pisin (TP), Hiri Motu (HM)
- Measures of literacy LWC: English, Tok Pisin (TP), Hiri Motu (HM)
- This paper: investigates interactions between literacy measures and other data


## Literacy

Test

- Letters (6 points)
- Words (6 points)
- Story (6 points)
- Score out of 18
- 15 => ok reader
- In 3 LWCs

English (Eng)
Tok Pisin (TP) Hiri Motu (HM)

| $\dagger$ | k | n | i | 0 | v |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | on |  | dog |  | leaf |  |
| house |  | talked |  | sitting |  |  |

The dog put his bones down and slept by the fire. In the night the bird came and stole them all.

| Tok Pisin |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ia | pik | wara |  |
| sikau |  | hatwok | pundaun |  |

Wanpela bikpela palai i go antap long kokonas.
Taim mi go antap na katim, kulau wantaim palai i pundaun long graun.

| Motu |  |  |  | $H \mathrm{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | au | niu | kaia |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| rabia |  | bamona | mailaia |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Tau ta ese vanagi matamata ta ia karaia, |  |  |  |  |
| to ia kwatua namonamo lasi dainai, |  |  |  |  |
| ranu ia goada neganai vanagi ia hure. |  |  |  |  |

## Literacy test results

- $\mathrm{n}=207$ (Prep: 72, Grade 1: 67, Grade 2: 68)
- Number who can read = 1
- English score = 15/18
- Tok Pisin score $=18 / 18$
- Hiri Motu score = 18/18
- (also could read vernacular(s))
- Number who cannot read = 206 (99.5\%)

English mean score $=3.0 / 18 \quad($ median $=2 / 18)$
Tok Pisin/Motu scores $=0$ (all 206 children)

## Mean (average) score of each grade



Medians

## Shocking!

- 68\% of Grade $\mathbf{2}$ still not learnt all the alphabet!
- likewise (from other surveys)
G3 36\%
G4 14\%
G5 6\%
still don't know all their letters.
- BUT even under these adverse conditions
$70 \%$ of all children scored $>0$; they must be learning something! What factors help or hinder?


## Effects of various factors that we measured ...

- sex (gender)
- migration
- age
- home languages
- multilingualism
- language of instruction
- language of literacy


## Gender and literacy - 54\% are girls!



94 boys, 113 girls
GENDER GAP INCREASING IN FAVOUR OF GIRLS (ORANGE)

## Girls do better at first




EXPLANATION:
GIRLS (ORANGE) DOING BETTER AT FIRST ... BOYS WHO DO POORLY START DROPPING OUT - THIS PUMPS UP THE BOYS' AVERAGE BY G2

## Rural School Survey Data (2012-2018)


40 schools 1629 students Prep - Grade 8
(out of 78 schools - 31 primary + elementary schools, \& 16 elementary schools) ${ }^{12}$

## Rural E-O schools - slightly more boys than girls




RURAL GIRLS ARE SOMETIMES NEEDED FOR HELPING TO MAKE SAGO

## Rural E-O schools - slightly less bad results, and much more even



## Migration - 52\% of kids are migrants!

MIGRANTS BEHAVIOUR SIMILAR TO GIRLS - MOTIVATED TO PERSIST WHILE LOCAL KIDS MORE LIKELY TO DROP OUT (??)


NUMBERS

## Migrants do better at first

THE LOCAL KIDS STILL THERE IN GRADE 2 ARE THOSE WHO ARE DOING BETTER


Age and Literacy

## Age and literacy in each class

Variation of mean scores by age in each class


## Age and literacy in each class

Score


## Comparing with the rural schools

 (those using English-only methodology)

TOWN

Outlier ages removed
$N=204$

Eng-only: Av Literacy Scores by Age in Each Class, District


## Language and literacy



Literacy Surveys 2012-2018
1629 students Prep - Grade 8

## Numbers speaking each language



## Language vocab test

- 10 items, 3 LWCs;
>= 50\% correct = "Pass"

English
wallaby
cuscus
paddle
tree
bird

Motu
Tok Pisin
sikau
kapul
pul
diwai
crocodil
huala
crab
drum
mosquito
prawn
pai
pisin
pukpuk
kuka
kundu
natnat
kindam

## Numbers speaking each language

Home Languages and Vocab Test Passes


QUITE A LOT OF
ㅁHome language
Vocab test pass

NB This graph ignores multilingualism

## Multi-lingualism

## Numbers of Children <br> Speaking Various Numbers of Languages



Number of languages spoken and literacy score


## Language Barriers



Number of languages spoken and literacy score showing proportions of children speaking English and not



Number of languages spoken and literacy score showing proportions of children speaking English and not




## Statistical Significance

## Distribution of English Literacy Scores (/18)

## for English and non-English speakers

(data scaled so peaks are 100) T-test


## What about the Outstanding Exception ...

Distribution of English Literacy Scores (/18) for English and non-English speakers
(data scaled so peaks are 100)


Wider comparison with other school surveys

- More of Kikori Primary School (Grades 3, 4, 5)
- Kikori district - 1461 additional children, 40 schools
- Vernacular literacy also tested

| $\boldsymbol{t}$ | k | n | i | o | v |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Koriki |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ai |  | ere |  | paku |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| marea |  | rokoa'i |  | enavaka'a |  |  |

Lare mo ore ua ou kuru'a, "Na lae upa'i area rokoa'i.
Ima ni va'o nai iku."

## English literacy survey, Kikori EP-G5 $\substack{2014 \\ 2018}_{2018}^{\substack{2}}$




SIGNIFICANT
ENGLISH LITERACY SCORES

## English literacy scores, district: EP-G8

LG-FIRST
$\mathrm{n}=277$
$\boldsymbol{\mu}=13.9$
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}=5.2$

ENG-ONLY
$\mathrm{n}=1000$
$\boldsymbol{\mu}=5.9$
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}=4.1$
T-test
p << 0.001
HIGHLY
SIGNIFICANT!
ENGLISH LITERACY AFTER VERNACULAR


56\%
can read
English
(11 x more successful)

5\%
can read English

## Vernac vs English literacy scores (for students who have done vernacular first)



## SUMMARY - for Kikori Elementary

- Female, Migrant, Timely Yearly Grade Advancement $\Leftrightarrow$ minor initial advantages.
- Knowing a Language of Instruction (LOI) helps a little.
- Knowing the Language of Literacy (LOL) helps more.
- But none of these are enough to acquire literacy at this school - too few teaching days for each child, too few speak LOL, no literacy in LOI.
- Learning to read in an Indigenous Language before starting English literacy has been hugely successful, 25-fold, but was done for only a small number of children!


## CONCLUSION

- Town schools - USE COMMON LANGUAGE OF CHILDREN
(1) Teach literacy in a common language of the majority of the children (e.g. Tok Pisin) as a start.
(2) That will prepare your children to successfully learn to read in the the national language (English for PNG).
(3) In PNG knowledge of Tok Pisin literacy will also help your children to communicate with many other non-English speaking people (and to read and write place names correctly).
- Indigenous language speaking communities - TAKE HEART!

By valuing your language and choosing to teach literacy in your language to your children, you can do two more good things:
(1) You can prepare your children to successfully learn to read in the national language (English for PNG) - more than 10 times more successfully than going straight for English.
(2) You can raise the status of your language in the eyes of your children, your community, and your country.

## THE END

## Comparing town to rural schools

 (those using English-only methodology)- Kikori town
- Main class age ranges
Prep
6-7
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { G1 } & 7-10 \\ \text { G2 } & 8-11\end{array}$
- Ages of peak literacy score averages

| Prep | 6 | $(2 / 18)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G1 | 7 | $(5 / 18)$ |
| G2 | 8 | $(6 / 18)$ |

11-12 (5-6/18)<br>11-12 (5-6/18)<br>10-16 (6-8/18)

HL-first: Av Literacy Scores by Age in Each Class, District


Eng-only: Av Literacy Scores by Age in Each Class, District

$N=631$

## RURAL

 ENG ONLYEnglish as a home language \& bridging too early to English

English literacy - English a home language, n=30


## Progress in stages of literacy



50 children know all letters
94 know some but not all letters
$\underline{63}$ children scored 0 in test (13 in grade 2)
207 children altogether

Age Main Range 6-10 years old Mean age-literacy scores 2-4


## SUMMARY

- Class - students at K make extremely slow progress (+1/18)
- possible to learn to read English, even in E-Prep for some, if start with Home Language literacy
- Sex - girls do slightly better in Prep at K (+1/18)
- boys at K drop out more quickly than girls
- boys doing about the same as girls, in other schools
- Migrants - migrants do slightly better in Prep at K (+0.5/18)
- non-migrants at K drop out more quickly than migrants
- Age - older students at K doing worse than younger
- years of failure is bad; need to learn basics quickly
- Multilinguals - no advantage unless languages
of instruction $(+4 / 18)$ or instruction $(+12 / 18)$ are included
- Language of literacy - most important factor
- huge advantage if language of literacy
is chosen to be a language that the child knows.
- transfer from vernacular literacy to English literacy
seems to work well (if vernacular literacy is done properly)
- only tiny fraction of students at $K$ have this advantage
- nowadays only a few rural schools utilize this advantage

