ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COUNTY OF SAN JUAN STATE OF NEW MEXICO

TOTAH CREDIT UNION,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 04-386-3

OISTRICT COURT

2007 FEB 16

COUNTY

 $\exists \Box$ 

### **KENNETH AND LYNETTE GOMEZ,**

Defendants.

### **MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT**

**COME NOW** Kenneth and Lynette Gomez, the defendants, (1) under substantive right addressed in § 38-1-1 NMSA; (2) derived under power of Article II, § 12, Const. N.M.; and (3) submitted pursuant to NMRA 1-057A<sup>1</sup>; the Gomezes state as grounds therefore and in support thereof the following:

1. At all times relevant, the Gomezes have been denied a constitutionally and statutorily competent court of law in that persons sitting and posing as judges of the Court in four separate civil causes that have been imposed upon them under conditions of involuntary servitude without recourse by being arrested, incarcerated, kidnapped, and held in contempt of the Court which gave effect to a criminal conspiracy for performing their civic duty exposing the criminal lawlessness being imposed upon them. See proceedings for the series of conspiracies in the four causes: (1) in the instant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 1-057. Declaratory judgments. A. Procedure. The procedure for obtaining a declaratory judgment shall be in accordance with these rules, and the right to trial by jury may be demanded under the circumstances and in the manner provided in Rules 1-038 and 1-039. The existence of another adequate remedy does not preclude a judgment for declaratory relief in cases where it is appropriate. The court may order a speedy hearing of an action for a declaratory judgment and may advance it on the calendar.



case for involuntary servitude, false arrest, false incarceration, kidnapping, being held in contempt of court, and failure of the attorneys to verify that the person sitting as judge in the case were qualified to sit; (2) in Moeller v. Gomez, CV 06-779-2 for involuntary servitude, for subordinating them to their opponent without regard to fairness and objectivity, and failure of the attorneys to verify that the person sitting as judge in the case were qualified to sit (3) MBNA America Bank, N.A. v. Gomez, CV-06-566-3 for involuntary servitude, and failure of the attorneys to verify that the person sitting as judge in the case were qualified to sit; and (4) Gomez v N.M., Case No. 30,167, N.M. Supreme Court for deceptively changing the case caption to hide the criminal conspiracy of denving the power of both constitutions and laws of New Mexico; see Article VI Clause 3, Const. U.S. requiring all state judges be "bound by oath"; see Article XIX §4, Article XX § 1, Article XXI § 10, and Article XXII § 19 the validity of surety bond laws as a condition for statehood, for the oath required, for the irrevocability of state laws related to being bound with personal surety bonds or like instruments to obey the duties of the oath required, and the requirement to give personal bond as addressed in **Bd. of Com'rs Guadalupe County v.** Fourth Judicial District Court, 29 N.M. 244 at 252, respectively.

2. Every time the personal surety bond instrument requirement was briefed in writing by the Gomezes, the person assigned posing as lawful judge deceptively referred to state self-insured liability bonds which had no relationship whatsoever to the faithful and diligent performance to the

obligations contained in the required oath to support the both constitutions and the laws of the State of New Mexico. Liability coverage is for misfeasance, malfeasance or nonfeasance in office after one has qualified for office, not for personal surety bond coverage. One never qualifies for office until a personal surety bond or its equivalent instrument is recorded with the New Mexico Secretary of State and with a verified copy of the oath taken binding them to faithful duties of the required oath. See §§ 10-2-1 to 12 NMSA.

- 3. No judge of the Eleventh Judicial District Court has fulfilled the requirements and thus been qualified to be judges for being bound by oath to faithfully and diligently perform the duties obliged by the oath as required by said Article VI clause 3, Const. U.S and Article XX, § 1, Const. N.M.
- 4. No order issued by the Court to the Gomezes, not otherwise being a competent court of law for lack of qualified judges has any validity. See constitutional powers and statutory authority contained in ¶¶ 1 and 2 above.
- 5. Each attorney representing the plaintiffs listed <u>Moeller</u>, <u>Totah Credit</u> <u>Union</u>, and <u>MBNA America Bank</u>, N.A. has joined with persons posing as lawful judges or justices in <u>Gomez</u> and the plaintiffs in a § 30-28-2 NMSA criminal conspiracy as: (1) racketeers pursuant to § 30-42-2 and 3 NMSA without recourse or remedies for the Gomezes in that there is no lawful state attorney general or judicial district attorney, (2) § 30-28-2 NMSA coconspirators forcing the Gomezes into subordinating themselves to unlawful demands of persons posing as lawful judges; (3) § 30-23-2 NMSA acquiring

public money for services not rendered as judges or justices forcing the Gomezes to subordinate themselves to such wayward services, (4) § 30-4-1 NMSA kidnapping by holding the Gomezes to illicit services against their will, and (5) § 30-16-6 NMSA fraud in excess of \$2500 by imposing attorney fees, court costs, collection fees, 14 per cent interest rate on unpaid assessments upon them all of which were imposed using court power and authority while the Gomezes were without recourse to remedies and after having exposed to the co-conspirators the reach of the criminal activities being imposed upon them.

- 6. NMRA 1-060(b)(6) authorizes the Gomezes to file an independent cause of action for fraud put upon the Court or for redress within the Court when competency has been achieved for conspiring criminally as above to deceive the Court for benefits which in-turn harmed the Gomezes. There being no lawful court of law anywhere with the State of New Mexico for such cause of action, and only the method employed herein that can be exercised to preserve the criminal course of conduct imposed upon them for their use when the Court can become competent with judges lawfully qualified for their office by being bound with some surety instrument guaranteeing the faithful and diligent performance of duties contained in their oath of office which is then placed on file in the Office of the New Mexico Secretary of State.
- Attached hereto is a personal \$ 20,000 Surety Bond instrument Number 18171225 entitled: "Official Bond and Oath" issued by Western Surety

Company, as No. 047291, and acquired by Lawrence Romero, Valencia County Sheriff dated January 1, 1987 after he was elected to office in November 1986 but before he was eligible to enter office as sheriff which was certified as a true copy February 2, 2007 and as being a lawfully completed surety bond as addressed in <u>Bd. of Com'rs Guadalupe County</u> on file in the Office of the Valencia County Clerk in Book 025, Page 342 and which was approved by the Thirteenth Judicial District Court Judge. See Attachment "1", included as an example of a valid surety instrument for all to view so there can be no mistake as to what the Gomez defendants have referred.

Wherefore, the Defendants Gomez pray for a competent court of law to declare that the judges during all times relevant, the attorneys participating in the above cited cases, and those court and sheriff personnel actively giving effect to the frauds put upon the Court jointly and severally conspired to acquire Court decisions against them which inflicted pain and suffering on them without recourse and held them to conditions of involuntary servitude by denying them the power of their substantive rights contained in both constitutions and the authority of laws enacted thereby to give those rights effect. See ¶ 1 above.

Further, the total amount in controversy involved in the conspiracies is as follows:

1. Totah Credit Union:	\$ 11,402.43
2. MBNA America Bank, N.A.	
Grand Total Amount in Controversy	\$ 47,402.43

Still Further, the Gomez defendants pray that each of the two attorneys participating in the conspiracies be assessed three times the total amount in controversy for each of the criminal acts, omissions, perjury of their oaths as attorneys, and denying the power of the constitutions and relevant laws giving those powers effect – a total of 84 distinct events inflicted upon them - by and through the frauds put upon the honorable courts for their course of conduct pursuant to §  $36-2-17^2$ .

Still further, those persons posing as judges be assessed the full value of the required bonds payable to the New Mexico State Treasurer and the amount in controversy for each of 23 events causing pain and suffering on the Gomez defendants.

**Finally,** the Gomez defendants notify the Court they shall institute impeachment proceedings during times relevant against each person posing as a judge in the New Mexico cases cited above with the United States House of Representatives Committee on the Judiciary, United States Congress, there being no procedure or lawful opportunity open to them within the State of New Mexico for such purposes.

Kenneth Gomez

4 CR 5095 Bloomfield, N.M. 87413

Respectfully submitted,

Lynétte Gomez 4 CR 5095 Bloomfield, N.M. 87413

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  36-2-17. [Deceit or collusion; damages; disbarment.] (1909) If an attorney is guilty of deceit or collusion or consents thereto with intent to deceive the court, judge or party, he shall forfeit to the injured party, treble damages to be recovered in a civil action, and may, if in the opinion of the board of bar examiners such conduct warrants it, be disbarred.

Case 1:10-cv-00594-JAP-LFG Document 14-3 Filed 07/05/10 Page 7 of 9

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a true and correct copy of the Motion for Declaratory Judgment was either hand-carried or sent by first class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this  $/\ell^{4/4}$  day, February, 2007 to the following:

F. D. Moeller, 424 West Broadway, Farmington, NM 87401 Dathan L. Weems, PO Box 40177, Albuquerque, NM 87196-0177 Clerk, Supreme Court of New Mexico, PO Box 848 Santa Fe, NM 87504-0848 Clerk, Eleventh Judicial District, San Juan County, hand-carried Clerk, Eleventh Judicial District, McKinley County, 207 West Hill St. Room 200, Gallup, NM 87301

Lows mille P Lynette Gomez

# Case 1:10-cv-00594-JAP-LFG Document 14-3 Filed 07/05/10 Page 8 of 9

New Mexico 04729 دى. مەربى :OMPA 1 2 01-18 State State 1 OFFICIAL BOND AND OATH 18171225 KNOW ALL MEN DY THESE PRESENTS BOND No. 34 Lawrence Romero That we, as Principal, and the WESTERN SURETY COMPANY, a corporation duly licensed to do business in the State of New Mexico, as Surety, are held and firmly bound unto the State of New Mexico, in the penal sum of Twenty Thrusand and no/100 2005 20,000.00 ) DOLLARS. lawful money of the United States, for the payment of which well and truly to be made, we bind ourlawful money or the Grance Disers, for the program overally by these presents. THE CONDITION OF THIS OBLIGATION IS SUCH, That whereas, the said Principal was on 12 10Vii, 10 86 duly , S elected CC appointed 3 rdthe day of in the second seco County of to the office of . Sherifi Valenc DAY C MAT ALS \_day\_ot January State of New Mexico, for a term commencing on the BK SEE Becaber 380 19\_82, and terminating on the 31at 20 or when his successor is duly elected or appointed and qualified: 1A OL 2012 RCIV STATE OF NEW MEXICO 15th 19 86 Dated this day of THEREFORE, if the above bounden Principal shall from the NOW dav of , 19\_87, well and faithfully perform all his duties as such officer Jamary during his term of office, and until his successor is elected or appointed and qualified, and shall exercise all possible diligence and care in the collection of all money which it is his duly by law to collect, and shall render true accounts of his office and his doings therein as required by law, and pay over all money that may come into his hands by virtue of his said office to the officers and persons authorized by law to receive the same, and carefully keep and preserve all books and papers and other property appertaining to his office, and deliver same to his successor in office when duly qualified, then this obligation 波 to be void, otherwise to remain in full force and effect. Provided, however, that the Surety shall have the right to terminate its Suretyship under this obligation by serving notice of its election so to do upon the officer or officers whose duty it is to approve this bond, thirty days prior to the date of such termination of Sugetyship, and thereafter the 1493 said Surety shall be discharged from any liability hereshder for any default of the Principal occurring after such termination of liability. 33, 2.3 1.5 ""h, Principal 2012:TEESEV 1,191,4 193 Countersigned W S 13 RN UB COMPANY 9 Моляти 377 Parter New ER-Asst. Secretary Mexico Resident Agent 199 J PÁR 12 h ( ) S. A. Martin ner understand I, the undersigned, do solennly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States, and the Constitution and the laws of the State of New Mexico and that I will faithfully she importably County Sheriff Stan of the sector the last con discharge all duties of the office of. so help me God. I further swear that I am not the holdey of any money Ane the State of New Mexico, or any County thereof that is not accounted for. WHAT A SANAL -Contraction (1) Magnituments of 10 Subscribed and sworn to before me this -325 day of \_ stanuar AHachment **Commission** Expires 1982 Notary Public Distant Continent 18

1

and and

-

1.5

÷.

ð

# Case 1:10-cv-00594-JAP-LFG Document 14-3 Filed 07/05/10 Page 9 of 9

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SURETY (Corporate Officer) STATE OF TEXAS - 2 2 ---5 - 5 19 25 County of Dallas On might is then ·\*\*\*\*\*\* 19 86 , before me appeared December day of .... ""upphen Assi Secretary وويلو مروا ورود ودون فرود فرود والمعجمين did say that he is the aforesaid officer of the Western Surety Company, a corporation, and that the seal affixed to said obligation is the corporate scal of said corporation, and that said obligation was signed, and sealed in behalf of said corporation by authority of its board of directors, and said officer 30-knowledged said instrument to be the free act of said corporation. an an Étheach an Staiseach In witness whereof, I have herennio set my hand and official seal. My Commission Expires Sec. - 1 19 58 May 21 Notary Public 1.1 प्रकृत संस्थित इ.स. स ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF PRINCIPAL STATE OF NEW MEXICO SS County of \_ who executed the foregoing instrument as Principal, and acknowledged that he executed the same as a na <u>dia dia dal</u>amanje ka sustanta, sta susta differen dan usuken sustantan sustanda u and bertuke My Commission Expires approximation of the fact that the second s Note and the second s Notary Public The Samerais ..... the second of the provide Republic dark the state and the second state of th STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF VALENCIA ાર પ્રોપ્ટ કરેવે છે. આ પ્રાપ્ટ થયું કે દુધારે દ્વારા છે. તે પ્રાપ્ય પ્રાપ્ય વાલ્યોલન આપી અપરાંત્ર પ્રાપ્ય કરે હાલી અને ભાર FILED FOR RECORD BRASS PC 3421 of a Rents of these Wessels, for a birth construction from the . ;≻÷ The Foregoing Instrument is a Denect Copy JAN X 5 1987. 10 . 1941 - 1911 - 101 The Orlolast on File In This Office mest stray shin JOAN M. ARTIAGA, County Clerk and 100 Rec. # 1.1. County Clark one Clark of The Protector Clark In and for the County to Deschool Clark of Protectory State New Meetoo Amt. \$ Pd by 2 /2 1 New Mexico elijks. Domaty SSIT -Ser moneaux Providence (Art Averal) March BOOK 0B5 PAGE 342 **WEAN** 2.44 Capacity -00 approved BON 8 OATH Rext C. Official REHALF New τ. CIAL 50 6 bend AND 440 20 State 1 1 1 Within . this C. 2.51 10 P. 빞 E