able length were matted by a lavish application of bears grease, the ends tucked close as scissors could cut, while the front locks permitted to grow to considerthe engrossing object of his solicitude. At the back of the head it was cropped as of a reigning belle; they were the most consummate dandies of the day, though "B'hoys" had fashions of their own, which they adhered to with all the tenacity was either so weak or so grossly exaggerated as scarcely to be recognized. These collar turned down and loosely fastened, school boy fashion, so as to expose the hat, polished as highly as a hot iron could effect, was worn with a pitch forward effeminate, and so forbidden by their creed; a black, straight, broad-brimmed broad, massive face, was closely shaven, as beards in any shape were deemed under so as to form a roll, and brushed until they shone like glass bottles. His hair of the b'hoy or fire laddie was one of his chief cares, and from appearance they affected to look upon a Broadway swell with most decided contempt. The ample dimensions. This stunning make-up was heightened by a profusion of ally swelling in size to the bottom, so as nearly to conceal a foot usually of most bosom of a shirt, often embroidered; pantaloons tight to the knee, thence gradubelow the knee; a flashy satin or velvet vest, cut so low as to display the entire with a slight inclination to one side, intended to impart a rakish air; a large shirt admired delineation of Mose. study of the role, failed to come up to the full reality in his popular and much his vocabulary cannot be described; even the talented Chanfrau,1 after devoted something between a falsetto and a growl; the unwritten slang which constituted lamp or awning post; the precise angle of the ever-present cigar; the tone of voice, gait on the promenade on the Bowery; his position, at rest, reclining against a jewelry as varied and costly as the b'hoy could procure. His rolling swaggering full proportions of a thick, brawny neck; a black frock coat with skirts extending

greatly exaggerated copy of the prevailing Broadway mode; her skirt was shorter eccentric as her curious protector. Her style of attire was a cheap but always air which plainly said "I know no fear and ask no favor." screw curls thinner, longer and stiffer, but her gait and swing were studied imitatrimmed; her handkerchief more ample and more flauntingly carried; her corkand fuller; her bodice longer and lower; her hat more flaring and more gaudily tions of her lord and master, and she tripped by the side of her beau ideal with ar The b'hoys female friend, whether wife, sister or sweetheart, was as odd and

which, by-the-bye, was his favorite bird; the symbol of his patriotism; its effigy glory." The b'hoy danced; to dance he required space. "No pent up Utica, etc.," was the crowning glory of his darling engine. Each cotillion was opened by a for his every movement was widespread as the swoop of the American eagle, Tammany he was "seen, felt and understood," unapproachable, "alone in his laddie was a most interesting study to the naturalist, but on the ball-room floor at Running with his favorite machine or sauntering on the Bowery the fire-

caparisoned ladies were closely watched by their muscular admirers, and any punishment of a positive nature. approach to familiarity either by word or look was certain to be visited by instant whose peculiar style he might chance for the moment to admire. These gaily pale" was compelled to be very guarded in his advances towards any fair one unseemly stare; but, above all, the Broadway exquisite who ventured "within the ous of intruders; they would not brook the slightest approach to a sneer or undemonstrative while gratifying his curiosity, for the laddies were proud, jealgatherings. It behooved, however, the outside visitor to be very cautious and to witness it amply repaid a somewhat dangerous visit to one of their festive twitch, a jerk and a profound salaam, was an affair so grand, so complicated, that bow to his partner and another to the lady on the right. This bow, composed of \imath

were powerful weapons for aggressive purposes. then in vogue, but these formidable braves carried fists backed by muscle, which The pistol and knife now used by the modern cowardly bravado were not

READING AND DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- What was the attitude of Bowery Boys to the girls they associated with? Did they think about women's role in society in the same way Fuller did?
- Ņ What role does violence seem to play in working-class urban culture as Dayton

11-4 | Attacking the Legal Disabilities of Women SARAH GRIMKÉ, Letters on the Equality of the Sexes and the Condition of Woman (1837)

women. Born into a slave-owning family in South Carolina, she embraced abolitionism and the Quaker faith. In this essay, Grimké targets the legal prescriptions against women, the ters to her sister, Grimké attacked conventional religious doctrines that had subordinated an uphill battle against the prejudices of her day. In her famous series of essays written as letsame ones that prevented women's education and her dream of becoming a lawyer. Like Margaret Fuller, Sarah Grimké (1792–1873) also championed women's rights by fighting

Concord, 9th Mo., 6th, 1837

she has had no voice in establishing, and which rob her of some of her essentia crush her individuality; laws which, although they are framed for her government duty, than the laws which have been enacted to destroy her independence, and improvement and elevation of woman to her appropriate sphere of usefulness and My DEAR SISTER,—There are few things which present greater obstacles to the

popularized the Bowery stage character Mose in A Glance at New York. ¹Chanfrau: Frank Chanfrau (1824-1884), mid-nineteenth-century American actor who

Knapp, 1838), 74-83. Sarah M. Grimké, Letters on the Equality of the Sexes, and the Condition of Woman (Boston: Isaac

rights. Woman has no political existence. With the single exception of presenting a petition to the legislative body, she is a cipher in the nation; or, if not actually so in representative governments, she is only counted, like the slaves of the South, to swell the numbers of law-makers who form decrees for her government, with little reference to her benefit, except so far as her good may promote their own. I am not sufficiently acquainted with the laws respecting women on the continent of Europe, to say anything about them. But Prof. Follen, in his essay on "The Cause of Freedom in our Country," says, "Woman, though fully possessed of that rational and moral nature which is the foundation of all rights, enjoys amongst us fewer legal rights than under the civil law of continental Europe." I shall confine myself to the laws of our country. These laws bear with peculiar rigor on married women. Blackstone, in the chapter entitled "Of husband and wife," says:—

By marriage, the husband and wife are one person in law; that is, the very being, or legal existence of the woman is suspended during the marriage, or at least is incorporated and consolidated into that of the husband under whose wing, protection and cover she performs everything. For this reason, a man cannot grant anything to his wife, or enter into covenant with her; for the grant would be to suppose her separate existence, and to covenant with her would be to covenant with himself; and therefore it is also generally true, that all compacts made between husband and wife when single, are voided by the intermarriage. A woman indeed may be attorney for her husband, but that implies no separation from, but is rather a representation of, her love.

Here now, the very being of a woman, like that of a slave, is absorbed in her master. All contracts made with her, like those made with slaves by their owners, are a mere nullity. Our kind defenders have legislated away almost all our legal rights, and in the true spirit of such injustice and oppressions, have kept us in ignorance of those very laws by which we are governed. They have persuaded us, that we have no rights to investigate the laws, and that, if we did, we could not comprehend them; they alone are capable of understanding the mysteries of Blackstone, &c. But they are not backward to make us feel the practical operation of their power over our actions.

The husband is bound to provide his wife with necessaries by law, as much as himself; and if she contracts debts for them, he is obligated to pay for them; but for anything besides necessaries, he is not chargeable.

Yet a man may spend the property he has acquired by marriage at the ale-house, the gambling table, or in any other way that he pleases. Many instances of this kind have come to my knowledge; and women, who have brought their husbands handsome fortunes, have been left, in consequence of the wasteful and dissolute habits of their husbands, in straitened circumstances, and compelled to toil for the support of their families.

If the wife be indebted before marriage, the husband is bound afterwards to pay the debt; for he has adopted her and her circumstances together.

The wife's property is, I believe, equally liable for her husband's debts contracted before marriage.

If the wife be injured in her person or property, she can bring no action for redress without her husband's concurrence, and his name as well as her own: neither can she be sued, without making her husband a defendant.

This law that "a wife can bring no action," &c., is similar to the law respecting slaves. "A slave cannot bring a suit against his master, or any other person, for an injury—his master, must bring it." So if any damages are recovered for an injury committed on a wife, the husband pockets it; in the case of the slave, the master does the same.

In criminal prosecutions, the wife may be indicted and punished separately, unless there be evidence of coercion from the fact that the offense was committed in the presence, or by the command of her husband. A wife is excused from punishment for theft committed in the presence, or by the command of her husband.

It would be difficult to frame a law better calculated to destroy the responsibility of woman as a moral being, or a free agent. Her husband is supposed to possess unlimited control over her; and if she can offer the filmsy excuse that he bade her steal, she may break the eighth commandment with impunity, as far as human laws are concerned.

Our law, in general, considers man and wife as one person; yet there are some instances in which she is separately considered, as inferior to him and acting by his compulsion. Therefore, all deeds executed, and are done by her during her coverture (i.e., marriage) are void, except it be a fine, or like matter of record, in which case she must be solely and secretly examined, to learn if her act be voluntary.

enactments which are to regulate their actions, as slaves. which they are governed, and from which they frequently suffer; yet they are est to the friend who lent it to her. Women should certainly know the laws by and weeping mother. The father returned home, refused to pay the debt, and the charge such a debt, actually borrowed the money, and lent it to the distressed kept in ignorance, nearly as profound, of their legal rights, and of the legislative person who had loaned the money was obligated to pay both principal and internote, and the lender, entirely ignorant of the law that a man is not obliged to disthat as soon as he returned, he would gratefully discharge the debt. She gave her embarrassment. Her husband was [away] from home, and she assured the lender, by a wife, I will mention one circumstance. A respectable woman borrowed of a life, that she has thwarted him. With respect to the nullity of deeds or acts done own estimation, her superior, and who makes her feel, in the privacy of domestic mere form; a wife dares not do what will be disagreeable to one who is, in his accedes to the disposition of property made by her husband is, in most cases, a in their own hands. Still the private examination of a wife, to know whether she female friend a sum of money to relieve her son from some distressing pecuniary Such a law speaks volumes of the abuse of that power which men have vested

The husband, by the old law, might give his wife moderate correction, as he is to answer for her misbehavior. The law thought it reasonable to entrust

him with this power of restraining her by domestic chastisement. The courts of law will still permit a husband to restrain a wife of her liberty, in case of any gross misbehavior.

outbursts of passion, and therefore unworthy to be trusted with power. Perhaps is held! She is placed completely in the hands of a being subject like herself to the sonal chastisement. And among the higher ranks, if actual imprisonment is not about the slave laws; but this is not true in either case. The slaveholder does kill I may be told respecting this law, that it is a dead letter, as I am sometimes told angels, the second was man, the third beasts; and now, children, what is the beings with which he had made us acquainted through the Bible. The first was recently at Ipswich. The lecturer told them that god had created four orders of laws remind me of the reply of some little girls at a children's meeting held which, as moral and responsible beings, they should be the sole judges. Such places of worship by irreligious husbands, and of doing many other things about resorted to, women are not unfrequently restrained of the liberty of going to the poor, exercises the right given him by the law, of degrading women by perhis slave by moderate correction, as the law allows; and many a husband, among fourth? After a pause, several girls replied, "WOMEN." What a mortifying proof this law affords, of the estimation in which woman

A woman's personal property by marriage becomes absolutely her husband's, which, at his death, he may leave entirely away from her.

own honest earnings, become the legal purchaser of any property. If she expends or sickness disqualified her for exertion, she might have something to render life will and testament, to be supported by charity. I knew another woman, who by herself and a do-little husband, who was left, at his death, by virtue of his last woman, who by labor and economy had scraped together a little maintenance for they are liable to be seized for her husband's debts: and I know an instance of a band. All that she acquires by her industry is his; so that she cannot, with her she had no longer a right to draw her dividends without an order from her hus expended it all in extravagance and victous indulgence. I know of another comfortable, without being a burden to her friends. Her husband, a worthless keeping. She had saved this pittance whilst able to work, in hopes that when age great industry had acquired a little money which she deposited in a bank for safe her money for articles of furniture, to contribute to the comfort of her family, band. It appeared that she intended having a little fund for private use, and had in her signature, withdrew the money, informing her that if she were married personally known as the owner of the shares in that bank, remarking the change her dividends, and the person who paid her the money, and to whom she was to all her personal property. Accordingly, she went to the bank as usual to draw woman, who married without the least idea that she was surrendering her rights idle man, discovered this hid treasure, drew her little stock from the bank, and not even told her husband that she owned this stock, and she was not a little And farther, all the avails of her labor are absolutely in the power of her hus-

chagrined, when she found that it was not at her disposal. I think she was wrong to conceal the circumstances. The relation of husband and wife is too near and sacred to admit of secrecy about money matters, unless positive necessity demands it; and I can see no excuse for any woman entering into a marriage engagement with a design to keep her husband ignorant that she was possessed of property. If she was unwilling to give up her property to his disposal, she had infinitely better have remained single.

The laws above cited are not very unlike the slave laws of Louisiana.

All that a slave possesses belongs to his master; he possesses nothing of his own, except what his master chooses he should possess.

By the marriage, the husband is absolutely master of the profits of the wife's land during the coverture, and if he has had a living child, and survives the wife, he retains the whole of those lands, if they are estates of inheritance, during his life; but the wife is entitled only to one third if she survives, out of the husband's estates on inheritance. But this she has, whether she has had a child or not. With regard to the property of women, there is taxation without representation; for they pay taxes without having the liberty of voting for representatives.

And this taxation, without representation, be it remembered, was the cause of our Revolutionary war, a grievance so heavy, that it was thought necessary to purchase exemption from it at an immense expense of blood and treasure, yet the daughters of New England, as well as of all the other States of this free Republic, are suffering a similar injustice—but for one, I had rather we should suffer any injustice or oppression, than that my sex should have any voice in the political affairs of the nation.

dence secured to her, while the children were amply provided for. portioned off like a salaried domestic," instead of having a comfortable indepenhave known of more instances of "the friend and helper of many years, being property to their wives, when they have died, leaving only minor children; but I her as a right." I have known a few instances where men have left their whole should owe that to his professional advice, which the law should have secured to leaving it to the children; but that it is with shame that he reflects that any woman leave the largest possible amount to the widow, subject to the condition of her liberal minded lawyer of Boston, told me that his advice to testators always is to extract from Harriet Martineau's Society in America as proof of the assertion. "A men, I know, regret the existence of these laws; and I quote with pleasure an over their property, is too manifest to be denied. Some liberal and enlightened fit of men, and with a design to oppress women, by depriving them of all control government of women, have been framed almost entirely for the exclusive bene-That the laws which have generally been adopted in the United States, for the property by right at his death, but may always be considered as possessed of half possibly, in some other southern States, a woman not only has half her husband's his gains during his life; having at all times power to bequeath that amount." few exceptions, of all the States in the Union. "In Louisiana and Missouri, and The laws I have quoted, are, I believe, the laws of Massachusetts, and, with

As these abuses do exist, and women suffer intensely from them, our brethren are called upon in this enlightened age, by every sentiment to honor, religion and justice, to repeal these unjust and unequal laws, and restore to woman those rights which they have wrested from her. Such laws approximated too nearly to the laws enacted by slaveholders for the government of their slaves, and must tend to debase and depress the mind of that being, whom God created as a help meet for man, or "helper like unto himself," and designed to be his equal and his companion. Until such laws are annulled, woman never can occupy that exalted station for which she was intended by her Maker. And just in proportion as they are practically disregarded, which is the case to some extent, just so far is woman assuming that independence and nobility of character which she ought to exhibit.

The various laws which I have transcribed leave women very little more liberty, or power, in some respects, than the slave. "A slave," says the civil code of Louisiana, "is one who is in the power of a master, to whom he belongs. He can possess nothing, nor acquire anything, but what must belong to his master." I do not wish by any means to intimate that the condition of free women can be compared to that of slaves in suffering, or in degradation; still, I believe the laws which deprive married women of their rights and privileges, have a tendency to lessen them in their own estimation as moral and responsible beings, and that their being made by civil law inferior to their husbands, has a debasing and mischievous effect upon them, teaching them practically the fatal lesson to look unto man for protection and indulgence.

Ecclesiastical bodies, I believe, without exception, follow the example of legislative assemblies, in excluding women from any participation in forming the discipline by which she is governed. The men frame the laws, and, with few exceptions, claim to execute them on both sexes. In ecclesiastical, as well as civil courts, woman is tried and condemned, not by a jury of her peers, but by beings, who regard themselves as her superiors in the scale of creation. Although looked upon as an inferior, when considered as an intellectual being, woman is punished with the same severity as man, when she is guilty of moral offenses. Her condition resembles, in some measure, that of the slave, who, while he is denied the advantages of his more enlightened master, is treated with even greater rigor of the law. Hoping that in the various reformations of the day, women may be relieved from some of their legal disabilities, I remain,

Thine in the bonds of womanhood,

SARAH M. GRIMKÉ

READING AND DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1. What comparisons does Grimké see in the condition of women and slaves in antebellum America? How does she describe both in relation to the law?
- Compare Grimké's attitude toward religion with the spiritual or transcendentalist perspective of Margaret Fuller. Do they see religion in the same way, as a help or hindrance to women?

11-5 | Abolitionist Decries Slavery's Dehumanizing Power DAVID WALKER, Preamble to Walker's Appeal in Four Articles (1830)

David Walker's powerful Appeal to the Coloured Citizens of the World offered a scorchir attack of American slavery. Born free in North Carolina, Walker moved north, spending mo of his short life in Boston, where he quickly became a leading abolitionist, deriding popul colonization plans embraced by many whites that aimed to send blacks "back" to Africa, continent few African Americans, free or slave, had ever seen. Walker's preamble, excerpte here, is directed to a black audience who he argues must awaken to the cruelties of slave and the hypocrisy of America and lead the charge for freedom.

My dearly beloved Brethren and Fellow Citizens.

Having travelled over a considerable portion of these United States, an having, in the course of my travels, taken the most accurate observations (things as they exist—the result of my observations has warranted the full an unshaken conviction, that we, (coloured people of these United States,) are the most degraded, wretched, and abject set of beings that ever lived since the worl began; and I pray God that none like us ever may live again until time shall be nore. They tell us of the Israelites in Egypt, the Helots in Sparta, and of the Roman Slaves, which last were made up from almost every nation under heaver whose sufferings under those ancient and heathen nations, were, in compariso with ours, under this enlightened and Christian nation, no more than a cypheror, in other words, those heathen nations of antiquity, had but little more amon them than the name and form of slavery; while wretchedness and endless miser ies were reserved, apparently in a phial, to be poured out upon our fathers, our selves and our children, by Christian Americans!

These positions I shall endeavour, by the help of the Lord, to demonstrate i the course of this *Appeal*, to the satisfaction of the most incredulous mind—an may God Almighty, who is the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, open your heart to understand and believe the truth.

The *causes*, my brethren, which produce our wretchedness and miseries, ar so very numerous and aggravating, that I believe the pen only of a Josephus or Plutarch, can well enumerate and explain them. Upon subjects, then, of such incomprehensible magnitude, so impenetrable, and so notorious, I shall be obliged to omit a large class of, and content myself with giving you an exposition of a few of those, which do indeed rage to such an alarming pitch, that they can not but be a perpetual source of terror and dismay to every reflecting mind.

I am fully aware, in making this appeal to my much afflicted and suffering brethren, that I shall not only be assailed by those whose greatest earthly desire are, to keep us in abject ignorance and wretchedness, and who are of the firm conviction that Heaven has designed us and our children to be slaves and beast

David Walker, Walker's Appeal in Four Articles (Boston: Revised and Published by David Walker 1830), 3–5.