

# Hawaiian: $[t] \rightarrow [k] \& [\eta] \rightarrow [n]$

Hawaiian

		11a vv anan	
*tapu	$\rightarrow$	kapu	'fe
*tani	$\rightarrow$	kani	°C:
*taŋata	$\rightarrow$	kanaka	'n
*ŋutu	$\rightarrow$	nuku	'n
*tolu	$\rightarrow$	kolu	'th

'forbidden'
'cry'
'man'
'mouth'
'three'

0

#### Writing rules 4 unconditioned sound changes are simple:

Write the earlier form on the left & the later form on the right, with an arrow in between, showing the direction of change. So, our Hawaiian example can be expressed simply as:

 $[t] \rightarrow [k]$  $[\eta] \rightarrow [n]$ 

# Writing Sound Change: Formal Rules

The Motu change involving the loss of the velar nasal can be expressed as:

 $n \rightarrow o$ 

\* [ø] = no sound

#### **Conditioned Sound Change**

If a change takes place only in a specific phonetic environment, this environment is written following a single forward slash (/). Lower dash (\_) indicates the location of the changed sound in relation to the conditioning environment:

t → s / \_\_\_\_\_ front  
V
[t] became [s] before front vowels (in Motu)  
x → k / s \_\_\_\_\_ [x] became [k] after [s] (in Afrikaans)  

$$p \rightarrow v / V \_ V$$
[p] became [v] between vowels (in Banoni)

#### General format of phonological rules

 $A \rightarrow B / C \_ D$ 

A = underlying representation [phoneme; natural class of phonemes; phonological feature(s), e.g., voiceless stops, etc.]

B = phonetic form – pronunciation (phone, specifically allophone of A; natural class of sounds; phonetic feature(s), e.g. aspirated

C and D = context/environment of the rule may be sounds, natural classes of sounds, phonological features or word boundary (#)

Possibilities: only C present; only D present; both C and D present

- → = "became"/"becomes"
  - = "in the phonetic environment..."
  - = location of changed sound
- V = any vowel
- C = any consonant

\* Phonemes are written between two forward slashes / /, and actual sounds (phones, allophones) – between two square brackets [\_]: [r], [u:], [l], etc.

p  $\rightarrow$  w/#\_ = Initial [p] became [w]

voiced C  $\rightarrow$  voiceless C / \_# = final voiced consonant becomes voiceless

 $V \rightarrow \phi / \# = word final vowels were deleted$ 

Optional elements, which do not affect the application of the rule, are placed in round brackets:  $V \rightarrow V_{[nas]} / V_{[nas]} (C) = V$  were nasalized after nasal vowels with/without an intervening consonant



When there are two different sets of sounds involved in a change, this can be represented by placing the sounds one above the other in curly brackets:

$$\begin{bmatrix} V \\ voiced stop \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{cases} V / V \\ [nas] & [nas] \\ nasal & nasal \end{cases} (C) - O$$



Rules should always be stated in as general a way as possible, without being too general:



#### **ORDERING OF CHANGES**

When a language undergoes a whole series of sound changes, it is sometimes possible to reconstruct not only the changes themselves, but also the order in which the changes took place:

		Hawaiian		
*taŋi	$\rightarrow$	kani	'cry'	
*kaso	$\rightarrow$	?aho	'thatch'	
*takele	$\rightarrow$	ka?ele	'back of canoe'	
*aka	$\rightarrow$	a?a	'root'	
*pito	$\rightarrow$	piko	'navel'	
*paki	$\rightarrow$	pa?i	'slap'	
*tapu	$\rightarrow$	kapu	'forbidden'	
*taŋata	$\rightarrow$	kanaka	'man'	
*isu	$\rightarrow$	ihu	'nose'	
*sika	$\rightarrow$	hi?a	'firemaking'	

#### **ORDERING OF CHANGES**

The data above shows the following unconditioned changes:



In which order did these changes occur? Let us decide whether [t] shifted to [k] first, or whether [k] first shifted to [?].

 $\bigcirc$ 

## **ORDERING OF CHANGES**

If we assume that the [t] first shifted to [k], and that the other shift of [k] to [?] took place after this, then changes like the following would have taken place:

*takele	$\rightarrow$	kakele	'back of canoe'
*pito	$\rightarrow$	piko	'navel'
*tapu	$\rightarrow$	kapu	'forbidden'

If [k] then shifted to [?], all words would with [k] sounds would also have changed as follows:



#### Sequencing Sound Changes

Hawaiian has no [?a?ele], [pi?o] & [?apu], but [ka?ele], [piko] & [kapu]. There must have been a distinction between [k] and [t] in Hawaiian when [k] shifted to [?], otherwise all [k] and [t] sounds would have ended up as [?]. Thus, the sequence of changes must have been:

Protolanguage	Stage 1 k $\rightarrow$ ?	Stage 2 t $\rightarrow$ k	Modern H	awaiian
*takele *aka	ta?ele a?a	ka?ele	ka?ele a?a	'back of canoe' 'root'
*pito *paki	pa?i	piko	piko pa?i	'navel' 'slap'
"tapu		kapu	kapu	'forbidden'
				0 0

#### Sequencing Sound Changes

We can represent this sequence like this:

$$\begin{pmatrix} k \rightarrow ? \\ t \rightarrow k \end{pmatrix}$$

If there is no overlap between the sounds involved in the change (as [k] & [k] above), we cannot sequence them:

$$y \rightarrow n$$
  
 $s \rightarrow h$ 

# UNCONDITIONED SOUND CHANGES

<u>Unconditioned</u> sound changes are those that occur throughout the language, in <u>all</u> phonetic environments. Totally unconditioned sound changes are rare – there are always exceptions to every rule.

Example of unconditioned change - [ŋ] disappeared in modern Motu:

		Motu	otu		
*asaŋ	$\rightarrow$	lada	'gills of fish'		
*tani	$\rightarrow$	tai	'cry'		
*lani	$\rightarrow$	lai	'wind'		
*taliŋa	$\rightarrow$	taia	'ear'		

## **CONDITIONED SOUND CHANGES**

Data from the Banoni language of the North Solomons Province of Papua New Guinea:

		Banoni	
*koti	$\rightarrow$	kotsi	'cut'
*tina	$\rightarrow$	tsina	'mother'
*puti	$\rightarrow$	putsi	'pull out'
*mata	$\rightarrow$	mata	'eye'
*mate	$\rightarrow$	mate	'die'
*matua	$\rightarrow$	matsua	'rise'
*makas	$\rightarrow$	mayasa	'dry coconut'
*pakan	$\rightarrow$	vavana	'add meat to staple'
*kulit	$\rightarrow$	vuritsi	'skin sugarcane'

0

#### **CONDITIONED SOUND CHANGES**

Conditioned sound changes that took place here:



The first rule changes [t] to [ts] before the high vowels [i] or [u].

The second rule involves the addition of a harmonising vowel after a consonant at the end of a word.

## **CONDITIONED SOUND CHANGES**

Since both these rules involve vowels, we can test them for ordering. If we assume that the change of [t] to [ts] took place first, the original Banoni word [\*kulit] 'skin sugarcane' would not fit, because it has no vowel following [t] in the protolanguage.

If the vowel addition rule applied after [t] changed to [ts], we would get [Yuriti] and not the actual word [Yuritsi]. Therefore, the final harmonising vowel must already have been there, for [t] to change to [ts]:

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Harmonising Vowel Addition} \\ [t] \rightarrow [ts] \end{array}$ 

#### Exercises

- 1. Express the following changes formally:
  - intervocalic [s] undergoes rhotacism while [s] before consonants is deleted
  - word initial consonants undergo weakening to [j]
  - intervocalic [h] changes to glottal stop
  - the second member of all consonant clusters is deleted
  - an epenthetic [J] is added between the two members of a word final consonant cluster
  - word final high vowels are deleted while interconsonantal high vowels become schwa
  - a prothetic [h] is added before [e] and [3]