## Noah to Abram

${ }^{10}$ These are the generations of Shem. When Shem was 100 years old, he fathered Arpachshad two years after the flood.
${ }^{11}$ And Shem lived after he fathered Arpachshad 500 years and had other sons and daughters.
${ }^{12}$ When Arpachshad had lived 35 years, he fathered Shelah.
${ }^{13}$ And Arpachshad lived after he fathered Shelah 403 years and had other sons and daughters.
${ }^{14}$ When Shelah had lived 30 years, he fathered Eber.
${ }^{15}$ And Shelah lived after he fathered Eber 403 years and had other sons and daughters.
${ }^{16}$ When Eber had lived 34 years, he fathered Peleg.
${ }^{17}$ And Eber lived after he fathered Peleg 430 years and had other sons and daughters.
${ }^{18}$ When Peleg had lived 30 years, he fathered Reu.
${ }^{19}$ And Peleg lived after he fathered Reu 209 years and had other sons and daughters.
${ }^{20}$ When Reu had lived 32 years, he fathered Serug.
${ }^{21}$ And Reu lived after he fathered Serug 207 years and had other sons and daughters.
${ }^{22}$ When Serug had lived 30 years, he fathered Nahor.
${ }^{23}$ And Serug lived after he fathered Nahor 200 years and had other sons and daughters.
${ }^{24}$ When Nahor had lived 29 years, he fathered Terah.
${ }^{25}$ And Nahor lived after he fathered Terah 119 years and had other sons and daughters.
${ }^{26}$ When Terah had lived 70 years, he fathered Abram, Nahor, and Haran.
${ }^{27}$ Now these are the generations of Terah. Terah fathered Abram, Nahor, and Haran; and Haran fathered Lot.
${ }^{28}$ Haran died in the presence of his father Terah in the land of his kindred, in Ur of the Chaldeans.
${ }^{29}$ And Abram and Nahor took wives. The name of Abram's wife was Sarai, and the name of Nahor's wife, Milcah, the daughter of Haran the father of Milcah and Iscah.
${ }^{30}$ Now Sarai was barren; she had no child.
${ }^{31}$ Terah took Abram his son and Lot the son of Haran, his grandson, and Sarai his daughter-in-law, his son Abram's wife, and they went forth together from Ur of the Chaldeans to go into the land of Canaan, but when they came to Haran, they settled there.
${ }^{32}$ The days of Terah were 205 years, and Terah died in Haran.

Genesis 11:10-32

## Genealogies and Genesis

Genealogies or generations are among the most important things in Genesis. In fact, they are so important that they provide the basic outline for the book. As we have seen, there are ten "these are the generations of" formulas in Genesis. Our passage today begins the fifth of these ten when it says, "These are the generations of Shem" (Gen 11:10). This genealogy also happens to be the second long list of names with long ages lived, the first being Gen 5:3-32.

When I preached on the first list, I had the main point of that sermon come from a word that is found eight times in that genealogy-and he "died." As the

Apostle puts it, "Death spread to all men, because all men sinned ... death reigned from Adam to Moses, even over those whose sinning was not like the transgression of Adam" (Rom 5:12, 14). In the genealogy we are looking at today, you may notice that this word appears only a single time in the formal list, when Terah, the father of Abram dies (Gen 11:32). (It does appear one time with one of the sons of Terah, one of Abram's brothers, a man named Haran; 11:28). Therefore, the focus of this second genealogy is different from the first. The fact that everyone dies in Adam has been established. Now something else is being introduced.

Before we find out what that is, I want to look at our genealogy by comparing it to the first one. I want to do this having been exposed to a lecture that was not available when I preached through Genesis 5. ${ }^{1}$ This lecture looks at various genealogies in the Bible and of the ancient Sumerian Kings and makes some very interesting observations about them. I want to share some of those here by way of getting us to our passage today.

## An Ordinary Genealogy in the Bible

The first thing I want to point out is the differences between how you and I would compile a list of names vs. how ancient people like Matthew and Luke might do it. Let's say that we want to compile a list of the kings of Judah. Our resource material is 1,2 Kings and 2 Chronicles. We would undoubtedly begin reading the stories, finding out which king came first, which second, and so on, as well as probably recording the length of time that he reigned. These OT history books are good sources for discovering this information, and so our list would probably look something like this:

## Reigns of the <br> Kings of Judah



Sources: 1 Kings, 2 Kings \& 2 Chronicles

[^0]When we do this, we discover that there is nothing unusual about the names or the length of the reigns. The numbers are what we would expect to find if people are reigning for literal amounts of time and dying with no purpose, humanly speaking, in mind. If you and I were going to compile a genealogy of, say, Jesus Christ, it would include those names, and as many others as we could find, without skipping any. That is how we do things. We are focused on the history of the thing, the accuracy of the count, and other measures of accuracy.

## Genealogies of Matthew and Luke

Both Matthew and Luke compile genealogies of Jesus Christ. These genealogies differ from one another in some important ways. ${ }^{2}$ As we will see, these differences betray a different focus than what we would probably have. First, there are different totals of ancestors for Jesus in the two genealogies. Now, Luke goes all the way back to Adam (actually God), and Matthew only goes to Abraham, so that accounts for some of it, but not all.

## Genealogies of Jesus



Matthew contains 26 generations between David and Jesus, while Luke contains 41 generations between them. That is 15 generations difference. To put into perspective, there are 14 generations between my daughters and their great, great grandfather, a man by the name of William Brewster. William Brewster, you

[^1]may have once learned, was the pastor on the Mayflower back in 1620. There are 435 years difference between Mr. Brewster's birth and my firstborn's birth. That comes close to the almost 450 years of difference that would have to be made up between Matthew and Luke's 15 generation difference.

It is probable that Matthew and Luke are tracing different sides of Joseph's family tree. In that case, I think some generational difference can be accounted for by fathers having babies at an earlier age in one line than the other. But fifteen generations over just 1000 years is a lot to have to make up that way. I think it is much more plausible that one or the other has just decided to leave out some names, something that would be unthinkable to us moderns. I don't mind telling you that if you could trace my daughter's lineage back a thousand years, and decided to leave out everyone from me to William Brewster, I wouldn't be a happy camper.

Yet, I'm not speculating on this. We know that Matthew left out some names in of at least the kings of Judah, for he does not mention Ahaziah, Joash, or Amaziah, while 1 Chronicles 3 in its genealogy does:

## Genealogy of Jesus Matthew 1:1-16



Why would he do this? It is because, while certainly wanting to ground Jesus' birth in history (you can leave names out and still do that), Matthew has a theological point he wants to make. He says, "Thus there were 14 generations in all from Abraham to David, 14 from David to the exile in Babylon, and 14 from the exile to Christ" (Matt 1:17). The historical reality is that there were three more sons or generations according to 1 Chron 3:10-17. So is Matthew lying? Does he not know how to count? No, he is doing something else. He is communicating a message of faith through the these numbers.

We must ask ourselves, why the number 14? Matthew is obviously manipulating the line slightly to arrive at this number. The answer has to do with something called Gematria. In Hebrew (and Greek for that matter), each letter is
also assigned a numerical value. This is hard for us to understand, because our alphabet does not work like this. But theirs did. The word for "David" has three letters: Dalet, Vuv, and Dalet (or as I would like to say, seeing that I share it in common with him: DVD דוד-). The Dalet has a numerical value of 4 . The Vuv has a value of 6 . The Dalet has a value of 4. That adds up to ... 14: Matthew's number. The theological truth Matthew is communicating through this genealogy is simple. Jesus is the "son of David," a phrase he uses more times than any other author in the Bible. Jesus' kingship is highly important to Matthew.


Luke is after something very different. If you add up the number of names in Luke's genealogy, you come up with a stylistic number: 77.7 is the perfect number, so 77 would be perfection multiplied. It is any wonder, then, that the final father in Luke's count is not Adam, but God himself? For Luke, he is determined to teach you through his genealogy that Jesus is the "son of God."

## Genealogy of Jesus

## LUKE 3:23-38



## The Perfect Number

The point of this is to help you understand that genealogies in the Bible often carry both symbolic numbers and counts to create a theological idea, so that they can teach you something that will help your faith. To put that another way, genealogies in the Bible are often anything but boring. They aren't here only to
record a line, though they at least do this. Often, they are begging for you to discover the overt message the writer is sending you through them, a message that any Jew would have understood almost immediately.

## Sumerian Kings Lists

Another way to teach this is by looking at the Sumerian Kings Lists. We did a little of this when we were in Genesis 5 . Today I want to show you a little bit more. There is more than one of these lists dealing with the same period of time, and they do not match up completely. Yet, they share something very important in common. For example, consider the numbers of both the kings and the length of their reigns in the two lists below:

## Sumerian King Lists BEFORE the Flood

| King | W-B 444 | W-B 62 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Alulim | 28,800 | $67,200^{*}$ |
| Alalgar | 36,000 | 72,000 |
| Enmenluanna | 43,200 | 21,600 |
| Enmengalanna | 28,800 | - |
| _..kidunnu | - | 72,000 |
| _.alimma | - | 21,600 |
| Dumuzi | 36,000 | 28,800 |
| Ensipazianna | 28,800 | 36,000 |
| Enmenduranna | $21,000^{\star}$ | 72,000 |
| Ubartutu | $18,600^{*}$ | 28,000 |
| Ziusudra | - | 36,000 |

In the first list there are eight kings. Each king reigns for an incredible average of 30,150 years! In the second list, there are ten kings (this parallels Genesis 5 's list of ten names), and they reign an even more unbelievable average of 45,560 years! Each! But there is something else to notice about these reigns. Each king reigned for a length of time that is a multiple of 100 . Even more curiously, almost all of these reigns are $60^{2}$ times $x$. Why?

## Sumerian King Lists BEFORE the Flood

| King | W-B 444 | W-B 62 | $60^{2}$ Times X' Formula $^{\prime}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alulim | 28,800 | $67,200^{\star}$ | $60^{2} \times 8$ | $\star$ |
| Alalgar | 36,000 | 72,000 | $60^{2} \times 10$ | $60^{2} \times 20$ |
| Enmenluanna | 43,200 | 21,600 | $60^{2} \times 12$ | $60^{2} \times 6$ |
| Enmengalanna | 28,800 | - | $60^{2} \times 8$ | - |
| _.kidunnu | - | 72,000 | - | $60^{2} \times 20$ |
| _.alimma | - | 21,600 | - | $60^{2} \times 6$ |
| Dumuzi | 36,000 | 28,800 | $60^{2} \times 10$ | $60^{2} \times 8$ |
| Ensipazianna | 28,800 | 36,000 | $60^{2} \times 8$ | $60^{2} \times 10$ |
| Enmenduranna | $21,000^{*}$ | 72,000 | $*$ | $60^{2} \times 20$ |
| Ubartutu | $18,600^{*}$ | 28,000 | $*$ | $60^{2} \times 8$ |
| Ziusudra | - | 36,000 | - | $60^{2} \times 10$ |

60 was an important number in the ancient world. They actually had a "chicken scratch" (cuneiform writing) for $60^{2}, 60,1,1 / 60$, and $1 / 60^{2}$. I believe this has to do with their ancient astronomy and the 360 day calendar they used (it is also the mystical, theurgic ${ }^{3}$ number of a circle $360^{\circ}$ ). But the point is, they are communicating something symbolic and something religious in these numbers, namely, that these were some really important kings and these numbers legitimate their reign.

The Sumerians also have kings lists after the great Flood. What is curious about these lists is two-fold. First, the universal symmetry of a reign going into the numbers 60 and 100 which existed prior the Flood begins to break down. Second, the length of the reigns of these kings goes down significantly. The move from the tens of thousands of years before the flood to the high hundreds immediately after the flood, to basically the same kind of numbers we have today (a few dozen years).

## Sumerian King List AFTER the Flood

| King | Reign (Years) | '60 Times $\mathbf{X}$ ' Formula |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga . . . ur | 1200 | $60 \times 20$ | En-men-barage-si | 900 | $60 \times 15$ |
| Nidaba | 960 | $60 \times 16$ | Aka | 629 | - |
| Bu.an . . | 840 | $60 \times 14$ | Mes-kiag-gasher | 324 | - |
| Kalibum | 960 | $60 \times 16$ | En-me-kar | 420 | $60 \times 7$ |
| Qalumum | 840 | $60 \times 14$ | Lugalbanda | 1200 | $60 \times 20$ |
| Zuqapiq | 900 | $60 \times 15$ | Dumunzi | 100 | - |
| Atab | 600 | $60 \times 10$ | Gilgamesh | 126 | - |
| Masha | 840 | $60 \times 14$ | Ur-Nungal | 30 | - |
| Arwi'um | 720 | $60 \times 12$ | Utul-kalamma | 15 | - |
| Etana | 1560 | $60 \times 15$ | Labah... | 9 | - |
| Balih | 400 | - | En-nun-dara-Anna | 8 | - |
| En-me-nunna | 660 | $60 \times 11$ | Mes . . . he | 36 | - |
| Melam-Kishi | 900 | $60 \times 15$ | Melam-Anna | 6 | - |
| Bar-sal-nunna | 1200 | $60 \times 20$ | Lugal-ki-tun | 36 | - |
| Samug | 140 | - | Mes-Anne-pada | 80 | - |
| Tizkar | 305 | - | Mes-kiag-Nanna | 36 | - |
| llku' | 900 | $60 \times 15$ | Elulu | 25 | - |
| Ilta-sadum | 1200 | $60 \times 20$ | Balulu | 36 | - |

What the Sumerian Kings lists do is help us see two things. They help us see that Matthew and Luke's use of stylistic numbers is not just a Jewish thing, but that it goes back a long, long time in history and is shared by ancient cultures. Second, they show us some correspondence in the way the length of time changes between our own genealogies in Genesis 5 and Genesis 11.

## Genesis 5 and 11 Genealogies

So let's return to the Bible now. I want to go back and look at the list in Genesis 5 for a moment. You will remember that before the Flood, men are given very long life spans. In fact, the average is 912 years. Our focus here is usually on

[^2]the total length of time lived. What I did not point out when we were there were the other two numbers associated with each man. The first is the age he is at the birth of the relevant son. The second is the period of time he lived after that birth. When we list these together, we see something fascinating and important:

## Genesis 5

Genealogy of Hebrew Patriarchs BEFORE the Flood

|  | Age at Son's Birth | Period Lived after Birth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Adam | 130 | 800 |
| 2. Seth | 105 | 807 |
| 3. Enosh | 90 | 815 |
| 4. Kenan | 70 | 840 |
| 5. Mahalalel | 65 | 830 |
| 6. Jared | 162 | 80 |
| 7. Enoch | 65 | 300 |
| 8. Methuselah | 187 | 782 |
| 9. Lamech | 182 | 595 |
| 10. Noah | 500 | [450] |

The first thing we notice is that all of the numbers relate in one way or another to the number 5 . Of the 20 numbers, 15 of them end in either a 5 or a 0 . Now, this is not what we found when we saw the length of king years for example. Sometimes, the Bible does not care about stylistic numbers. Other times, it does. Given that there are 10 digits between $0-9$, and that 5 and 0 are two of these, statistics would tell us that if this were simply numbers like we see in the lists of the reigns of the kings, that we would expect only about $20 \%$ of them to end in a 5 or a 0 . Instead, we have $75 \%$ that do.

This is statistically significant. That means that while it is logically possible that these are the literal ages that these men lived, and that God sovereignly moved in their lives to make it "just so happen" to be this way, it wasn't an accident at all. I'm certainly open to that. But it is also quite possible, in fact statistically probable, especially given that ancient people didn't care about the same kinds of things that we care about, that numbers are being rounded to make a theological point. That is what I was after in showing us how Matthew, Luke, and the Sumerians have all dealt with genealogies.

Of the remaining five numbers, we notice that they each end in a 2 or a 7 . If you subtract 7 from any of these remaining numbers, they all become factors of five again. So now not only does five rise up again, 7 , that perfect number, raises its head. 7 is pretty easy to understand, but why 5 ? Some have suggested that it is because these genealogies all relate to the later Jews, and Jews are the people of the Pentateuch - the five books of Moses, the first five books of the Bible, the only five
books that the Sadducees accepted as God's word. Thus, five would have been significant to the Jews reading this genealogy.

Now let's think about the genealogy before us today. It is found in Genesis 11:10-26. We will go all the way through vs. 32 as we look at the sixth "generations of" in the book: The generations of Terah (vv. 27-32), but the genealogy really ends at vs. 26 . Most of us know that those in this list do not live as long as those before the Flood. Rather than an average of 912 years, these guys "only" live an average of 333 years, and the actual length decreases almost every generation. Many people have speculated about why this would be, and since the Bible doesn't tell us, I'll just leave it at your guess is as good as mine. It is fascinating to think about, but I want us to think more about what is here than what isn't.

## Genesis 11 <br> Genealogy of Hebrew Patriarchs AFTER the Flood

|  | Age at Son's Birth | Period Lived after Birth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Shem | 100 | 500 |
| 2. Arpachshad | 35 | 403 |
| 3. Shelah | 30 | 403 |
| 4. Eber | 34 | 430 |
| 5. Peleg | 30 | 209 |
| 6. Reu | 32 | 207 |
| 7. Serug | 30 | 200 |
| 8. Nahor | 29 | 119 |
| 9. Terah | 70 | [135] |
| 10. Abram | [100] | [75] |

Curiously, this decrease in numbers parallels the Sumerian Kings Lists, though the length of time is significantly less in the Bible. Even more curious, to me anyway, is how, like the Kings Lists, the universally stylistic numbers before the Flood begin to break down now after the Flood. What do I mean?

Again, there are 20 numbers (the age when a child was born, and the length he lived after that) in the genealogy. This time, 12 of the 20 are multiples of 5 . That is still statistically significant. Two more of these end in 2 or 7 like before. But now, six of the numbers don't seem to have any style at all. They seem much more normal, just like the length of years that a of Judah king ruled.

I'm not entirely sure what to make of this breakdown in either the Sumerian lists or the biblical lists. Why would some numbers be stylistic and others not be? My best guess is that it is a theological point: the genealogy is reinforcing that the Golden Age before the Flood is no longer going to exist. The Flood has been a disaster, not only in how it killed so many, but also in the effects it now has upon
man's age. The Flood has not eliminated sin. In fact, if anything, its effects have made sin all the more acute. For if death is the result of sin, and men are dying faster, then sin is that much more ravaging now than even before. And we must remember that before the Flood, sin was so bad that God got so angry he destroyed the entire earth because of it. This is a hint for you as to why I think the word "death" is no longer omnipresent in this genealogy as compared to Genesis 5 . It isn't that man is not dying. Far from it. Rather, God is about to do something very unexpected. That unexpected thing is the heart of the Bible's message.

Before we get to that, let us take a look at our genealogy more carefully. There are ten names here. This parallels what we see before the Flood. They begin with Shem, the son of Noah, the man whose name means "name." This itself is highly significant, as there is a play on "name" throughout these chapters. Shem keeps the stylistic numbers moving right along from before the Flood, as he is 100 years old when he gives birth to Arpachshad, and he lives 500 more years after that, having other sons and daughters (Gen 11:10-11).

Arpachshad has an interesting name. It means, "One that releases;" or "a boundary of the Chaldeans." Chaldean was another name for Babylon. In light of the fact that the nations have just been dispersed from Babel, but that this man clearly lived before Babel, we see in his name how the people after the Flood stayed in virtually the same place. Jumping ahead, the Chaldeans are associated with a town called Ur in Gen 11:31. Ur is place Abram left to move west to the Promised Land. It is located about 200 miles southeast of Baghdad, and 150 north west of Kuwait City. Ur also happens to be the site of the greatest reconstructed ancient ziggurat in the world, a mountain-temple Abram would surely have seen with his own eyes.


But with Arpachshad, we see something else. Almost immediately, the symmetry of the numbers begins to break down. He lives 35 years and father's Shelah (Gen 11:12). This is the first sign of trouble in all of the genealogies of the Bible thus far, for this is over twice as early as anyone else has ever fathered a son.

Second, he lives 403 more years, having other sons and daughters (13). This is first time in either of these genealogies that the number five, the number of the Torah, is no longer detectable.

Shelah follows suit. ${ }^{4}$ In fact, almost everything about him is similar to his father. His name means "shooting forth" or "sent" (similar to one that releases or boundary). It comes from a root means a weapon; a missile; or a sprout. He lives 30 years (a multiple of five, but five less than his father), and had Eber (Gen 10:14). He then lives 403 more years, just like his father (15). ${ }^{5}$

Eber is an important character, in that his name can also be Heber, and he becomes the father of the "Hebrews." His name is also important for the Babelspreading out motif, for it means "He who passed over; the region beyond." In him, the symmetry is flipped around. He gives birth at 34 (no detectable symbolic significance) to Peleg (Gen 11:16), but then lives 430 years (a multiple of 5; vs. 17).

Peleg means "division," and his is the name that had a play on the dividing of the nations at the Tower of Babel (where the word chosen for a word play is palag; Gen 10:25). Peleg returns to the arrangement we saw prior to Heber. He lives 30 years, like Shelah, gives birth to Rue (11:18), and lives 209 more years (19). 209 is in no way related to 5 or 7 . But it is important for one reason. Suddenly, we have seen a 200 year drop in total age of a patriarch.

Rue means an associate, i.e. of God, friend, or friendship. Perhaps it is for this reason that for the first time since Shem, both of his numbers are related to 5 and 7. He lives 32 years ( $32-7=25 \ldots$ a multiple of 5 as we saw prior to the Flood) and gives birth to Serug (Gen 11:20). He lives 207 years (207-7 = 200 ... a multiple of 5) and has other children (21).

[^3]Serug means "branch" or "shoot." ${ }^{\text {" }}$ think of Branch of Shoot of Jesse here, since he is a father of Jesus Christ, and so again, perhaps it is no coincidence that he, like Rue, has two stylistic numbers attached to him. He lives 30 years and gives birth to Nahor (Gen 11:22). He lives another 200 years and has other sons and daughters (23).

Nahor means "snorting; breathing hard; slayer; inflamed, or heated." He doesn't sound like a particularly nice man (or maybe he just has asthma like I did as a child). Yet, is it just a coincidence that for the first time, there is nothing relating to 5 or 7 with either of the numbers give to him. He lives 29 years and has Terah (11:24). He has other children and lives 119 more years (25). This gives us another huge bump downward in age, as he cuts the length almost in half from his father. Is the sin implied in his name responsible for the lowest and least symbolic numbers yet?

Last comes Terah. His name means to "delay; wild goat; turning; or wandering." A foreshadowing of Israel in the future? Terah lives 70 years (Gen 11:26). Notice that Terah's life is not concluded until the last chapter of the verse. There is an interruption here. Maybe part of that is to make you think about the number you have just read?

70 is interesting for a few reasons. He is the last in this particular genealogy. Being last (as well as the meaning of his name) seems to correspond to the Babel motif, which we saw also has 70 attached to it. Also, he suddenly jumps us back up in age of a person giving birth. In fact, this is the oldest that anyone has had a son since Shem. Finally, along with 70 let me point out here the only other thing we know about Terah outside of this chapter. Joshua 24:2 says, "Long ago, your fathers lived beyond the Euphrates, Terah, the father of Abraham and Nahor; and they served other elohim." Elohim is not the word for an idol, but for the gods, the gods of the nations. The gods that Joshua in that very speech was saying are your (their) choices of who to worship.

Before concluding with the rest of the passage, I want to point out one more fact about these two genealogies. I mentioned that Genesis 5 and 11 both have 10 names. What I did not say is that the $10^{\text {th }}$ and $20^{\text {th }}$ (both multiples of five) are the most important. The $10^{\text {th }}$ name is Noah. The $20^{\text {th }}$ is Abram and we will come to him in a moment. Furthermore, each of these men have three sons. Furthermore, the $7^{\text {th }}$,

[^4]$14^{\text {th }}$ and $21^{\text {st }}$ (all multiples of seven) are the three other important names: Enoch, Eber, and Isaac. When we add up all of these numbers, we get a total of 25 names (a multiple of five) and have a beautiful, symmetrical balance that combine to make it very easy for an oral culture like theirs to remember where they came from. Surely in even this, God is good to his people. Even math reflects the redemptive purposes of God.


So what is the purpose of this genealogy? I just gave you another hint. If it isn't death, what could it be? Do the significant numbers 5 (Torah) and 7 and the people that are being highlighted in those significant slots help you any? How about the idea that things are deteriorating? When you think of this question, remember who we are about to be introduced to.

Let me suggest that the purpose of this genealogy is to show you that things are not getting better, they are getting worse. It got to the point, the rest of Scripture teaches, that even in the line of the Messiah, men were given over to darkness and the worship of other gods. Is this not both fascinating and tragic? So with those thoughts now running through your head, let's look at the last few verses of Genesis 11.

Genesis 11:27-32 gives us the sixth "generations of" division of the book. Terah ends the previous genealogy, and begins a new one. Thus, something new is being introduced here. What is it? It tells us that Terah had three sons: Abram, Nahor, and Haran (27). As I just said, this parallels Noah's three sons genealogically: Shem, Ham, and Japheth.

Haran means a "mountaineer" or "very high." I like that name (which is also curious in light of " 70 "). But Haran dies in the presence of his father in the land of
his kindred, in Ur of the Chaldeans (Gen 11:28), which as we saw has that large, man-made mountain. That leaves Terah with two sons. Each son takes a wife.

Abram is first mentioned. Abram means "high/exalted father." He marries Sarai, which means either "contentious; quarrelsome; or princess." Given that I watch too many princess movies because four little girls are in my house, I understand why the word means both. You will come to understand it too, as we come to learn more about this quarrelsome princess in the chapters that follow.

Nahor (named after his ancestor apparently) marries Milcah. The language here is sort of difficult to understand so I give you the Living Bible's rendering, "... he name of Nahor's wife was Milcah. (Milcah and her sister Iscah were daughters of Nahor's brother Haran.)" (Gen 11:29). Milcah means "Queen." Hmmm. Sarai is only the princess, but Milcah is the Queen. Do you think that might have caused any quarrelling?

Why are these people introduced with such lengthy statements compared to almost everyone else in the genealogies in Genesis up to this point? This question also points to the purpose I'm asking you to think about.

It says more about Sarai. "Now Sarai was barren; she had no child" (Gen 11:30). Foreshadowing. Then it says, "Terah took Abram his son and Lot the son of Haran, his grandson, ${ }^{7}$ and Sarai his daughter-in-law, his son Abram's wife, and they went forth together from Ur of the Chaldeans to go into the land of Canaan, but when they came to Haran, they settled there. The days of Terah were 205 years, and Terah died in Haran" (Gen 11:31-32). Well take the last verse first.

Vs. 32, this concludes the generations of Terah and Shem. First, like Shem who started it off, we see that Terah returns us to the use of stylistic numbers in both instances. 70 and 205 are both multiples of five (seventy also being a multiple of 7 and 10). Is this a sign that something good is about to happen? Yes, for out of false worship, Yahweh is about to do something wonderful.

Second, Terah died in Haran. Now, the city Haran seems to have the same name as Terah's son who died far away to the east. How did this happen? The Anchor Bible Dictionary seems to think it was coincidence. The Akkadian word arrānu means a "road" or "highway" and is spelled differently and means something different from the Hebrew name Haran. Perhaps, and it is pure speculation, for a time Terah, Nahor, and Abram grew very strong and even began to rule this city and saw the similarity of names as something by which they could rename it after their son, at least for a time. Who knows. The main point of says that Terah died here is that he did not die in the land of Canaan. And why should that matter?

[^5]Let's return to vs. 31. Abram and his father left Ur of the Chaldeans to go into the land of Canaan, but they ended up settling in Haran. But why did they leave Ur in the first place? And why did they not go to Canaan if that is where they were supposed to go?

At this point, I'm not going to move into Gen 12. Instead, I want to tell you about something the Bible says happened in our story today, but that is not, technically speaking, in our story. It is found at the very beginning of Stephen's speech in Acts 7:2-4. Stephen says, "Brothers and fathers, hear me. The God of glory appeared to our father Abraham when he was in Mesopotamia, before he lived in Haran, and said to him, 'Go out from your land and from your kindred and go into the land that I will show you.' Then he went out from the land of the Chaldeans and lived in Haran. And after his father died, God removed him from there into this land in which you are now living."

Stephen fills in otherwise unknown (to us) information. Terah and Abram did not leave Babylon because they were bored, or got new jobs, or wanted to travel the world. Rather, the God of glory appeared to Abram. He showed himself to Abram. "Appearance" is the language of sight, vision.

He also talked to Abram. The Word. He gave him a command. "Go out from your land." Who is this God of Glory? It is none other than the LORD who appears to Abram in the very next chapter, and in many chapters afterward. It is none other than the Lord Jesus Christ in his preincarnate form. This is why Jesus said that Abraham rejoiced to see his day (John 8:56) and literally "he saw, and was glad."

If Jesus is showing himself to a family that worships other gods, then it shows us most extraordinarily, the grace of God. And this is the purpose of our text today. Did Nahor or Terah or Abram deserve to have God come to them? Not at all. They were not even looking for him. But the LORD showed himself to Abram and Terah and he set out for Canaan. I suggest that the purpose here is the opposite of death. It is the promise of life that will come through the line of Abram.

But they got stuck in Haran. They settled down there. This is not something they were supposed to do. Then Terah died in Haran. At that point, Stephen says that God removed Abram from there. Whatever he did, it seems to have been against Abram's initial will. God sent Abram packing for Canaan. Again, more grace, even though it may not have made Abram happy.

Grace is why those numbers are the way they are. It is why the ages are the way they are. They show decline-decline in age, decline in obedience, decline in faith, decline in everything that is good. But out of decline, out of disobedience, out
of false worship, God is going to make a Shem for himself-a Name. That name will be none other than the Lord Jesus himself.

Have you understood today that sin still wreaks havoc, even though most of what we have talked about is genealogies? Have you seen how in the midst of that destruction, man only disintegrates further and further? And have you seen that God is relentless in his pursuit of his own - his own glory, his own people, his own name? Have you believed upon the Name that is above every name? If not, trust in him this very day and rejoice that you have seen his Day before end of all things draws nigh. For God is good in this day of salvation. He is good to save, good to show grace, good to give eternal life. Call upon the Name of the Lord Jesus Christ and you will be saved. Then you, like Jesus and Abram, Shem, and Noah, Enoch, and Seth, and Adam will be called a son of the living God.


## Abraham's Family Tree from Noah


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The genealogy charts throughout this sermon are from Denis O. Lamoureux's lectures on genealogies. (From his page) Lamoureux is Associate Professor of Science \& Religion St. Joseph's College, University of Alberta in Edmonton, Alberta. Lamoureux holds three earned doctoral degrees-dentistry, theology, and biology. The Matthew/Luke Genealogy Slide Show Lecture is here: (http://www.ualberta.ca/~dlamoure/wlgen2/index.html), the Sumerian King List Slide Show Lecture is here: (http://www.ualberta.ca/~dlamoure/wlgen3/index.html) and the Genesis 5 and 11 Slide Show Lecture is here: (http://www.ualberta.ca/~dlamoure/wlgen4/index.html). A word on Lamoureux. He is an evangelical that takes some controversial views of evolution and Adam, views that would make many feel very uncomfortable. I do not believe that his views on these things follow from the data (or the genealogies), but if you watch this entire series, know that he has the agenda of Adam up front (and he even says so himself). That said, the three lectures linked here are very helpful in many regards for trying to understand the ancient mindset regarding genealogies. I see nothing wrong with them individually. I will try to highlight some of these points below.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Some think Matthew traces Joseph's line while Luke traces Mary's line (something both Lamoureux and I deny). Others think Matthew traces Jesus' line through Joseph's father's father's line, while the other traces Joseph's mother's father's line (something I find plausible, but Lamoureux denies). Clearly, Matthew goes through David's son Solomon and contains all the kings of Israel, while the other goes through David's son Nathan, and contains none of the kings of Israel.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Theurgy is the ancient mystical mindset combining geometry (circles) and astronomy/astrology (which were not really separate in their minds). For more on this, see the videos of David Flynn.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ A couple of points about Shelah. First, according to Jubilees 8:5, Shelah is actually the son of Cainan son of Arpachashad. This shows that the Jews had no problems seeing "gaps" between the names in the genealogies in this list (see the above discussion with Matthew and Luke as well as the symbolic nature of our genealogy which implies these gaps). Second, this Cainan (not mentioned in Scripture) learned writing from his father. It says that he found a writing which his ancestors engraved on stone, but he sinned, because this stone had the teaching of the Watchers regarding the astronomical/astrological observations of the sun, moon, and stars and he copied it down but did not tell Noah, lest he be angry (8:1-4). Jubilees may very well be the oldest commentary on Genesis in the world (it was written before Christ). So this is a fascinating piece of oral tradition that they added to the Genesis history.
    ${ }^{5}$ There is no word in Hebrew for a grandfather, or great-grand father. So he could be his father or he could be his ancestor. Given that the number 10 is highly symbolic, and parallel with the 10 prior to the Flood, ancestor could be a better choice, but who knows for sure? Some point to the fact that each man has a son, and therefore there could not be any "gaps." This is certainly possible. But scholars have also given reasons for why this is not a open and closed argument. See Green's article cited in the sermon on Genesis 5.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ For the name meanings throughout this list, I am using Judson Cornwall, The Exhaustive Dictionary of Bible Names. However, it is interesting to note at this point that the name Serug is given a very different meaning by Jubilees: "to turn" as in to commit sin and transgression." It then tells the story of how in these days Noah's sons began to wage war against one another and build idols at the forced command of Mastema (Satan) and the assistance of evil spirits (demons). It also tells of how Ur got its name. See Jub 11:1-6.

[^5]:    7 "Son" and "grandson" are the same word in Hebrew: ben. See n. 4 (above).

