Dig

Imagine finding a man's whole skeleton. Then discovering the injured bones were once King Richard III, dead since 1485.

You go to work to operate the steam shovel, excavate a parking lot in Leicester and uncover the hacked bones of a despised king, the scoliosis plus evidence of battle wounds that re-open wounds and battles: who gets the bones? where should they rest? who's legitimate? who not?

The bones left long ago in a Franciscan priory fallen to disrepair since Henry VIII (heir to Richard's killer one generation removed) separated England's church from Rome, dissolved the monasteries seized their wealth.

Now new quarrels: cities of Leicester and York both want the bones to separate tourists from their money. Queen Elizabeth II doesn't want them in Westminster Abbey: she is, after all, the consequence of the line of succession laid down by Richard's killer.

Leicester in the midlands, where the Battle of Bosworth Field was fought, where Shakespeare's wicked Richard cries, *A horse, a horse, my kingdom for a horse!* (and once, I've heard, during a performance, a drunken audience member laughed, at which the actor on stage flung out, *Make haste and saddle yonder braying ass!*)

York to the north also claims the bones—Richard was of the House of York, contender in the Wars of the Roses, civil and uncivil battle, dynasties fighting, Lancastrians and Yorkists—Henry Tudor winning out at Bosworth Field.

Richard and Henry were rival parts of the same royal line---

Plantagenet—different branches of one tree. Was Richard III Shakespeare's crooked villain who needed to done away with?

Or a good king basely murdered by someone who wanted the throne for himself and slandered the monarch he'd unseated?

© Liane Ellison Norman 2014 All rights reserved

(Author's note: see Josephine Tey's novel <u>Daughter of Time</u>, in which Richard III is cleared of his crimes.)