

Thirty-one questions from Tim Morton's book:

<https://www.preservedwords.com/gap/gap-title.html>

Is the *Genesis Gap* explicitly found in the Scriptures?

- If not explicitly found, is it based upon explicit statements? If so, what are they?
- Is it true that the Gap Theory is an implicit belief based primarily upon other implicit doctrines found in passages such as Isaiah 14 and Ezekiel 28?
- If not explicitly found, how is it that many call it the "Gap Fact" when implicit beliefs cannot be proven as fact (1Th 5:21)?
- If you consider the Gap a "fact," what scriptural criteria or principles do you use to determine Bible facts?
- Do you think it would be misleading or even deceptive to label a doctrine a Bible "fact" when it cannot be conclusively proven?
- Do you believe that clear Bible passages should be used to understand unclear or ambiguous passages dealing with the same subject?
- If so, why do you not reconcile any question Genesis 1:2 may raise according to the more clear statements of Exodus 20:11, 31:17, Nehemiah 9:6, Mark 10:6, and Revelation 21:1?
- If the above clear Bible statements must be "explained" away from their normal, straight-forward meaning to allow a Genesis Gap, what overwhelming Scriptural principle or proof can you produce to justify such exegesis?
- Do you believe there are other possible explanations for the passages you claim promote the Gap (Isa 14, 45; Jer 4; Exe 28; 2Pe 3)?
- Since "sound doctrine" speaks of doctrine not characterized by weakness but is healthy and robust, how can the Gap Theory qualify since it has obvious weaknesses including a very weak foundation?
- Do you believe there is a distinct difference between the words "created" and "made" in the Bible? If so, do you believe "made" refers to making or remaking something from existing material and "create" speaks of creation from nothing?
- If so, how do you reconcile this belief with Nehemiah 9:6 where it states the Lord "made" the "heavens," "heaven of heavens" (third heaven), "host" of heaven, "earth" and all that is in them?
- Do you believe "Eden the garden of God" in Ezekiel 28:13 refers to the "garden eastward in Eden" found in Genesis 2:8, 15?
- If not, what scriptural evidence can you produce to overturn the apparent connection?
- Do you believe that "replenish" in the King James Bible means to *fill again* or *refill*?
- If so, since the definition of "fill again" or "refill" was unknown in 1611 but *replenish* then meant "fill abundantly," how do you reconcile the difference?
- If you believe the current meaning is *advanced revelation* found only in the King James Bible, did all the believers for over 200 years after the KJB was published but before the meaning was fully changed not understand the word correctly?
- Do you believe some words in the KJB have changed their meaning since 1611?
- If so, do you explain the different meaning of words such as "let" (Rom 1:13) and "prevent" (1Th 4:15) to your listeners?

- If so, what scriptural criteria or principle do you appeal to to justify explaining the different meaning of those words and not *replenish*?
- Do you use the Genesis Gap to help explain the existence of fossils and the geologic ages?
- If not, essentially all of the early Fundamentalists who promoted the Gap (Pember, Scofield, Larkin, etc., and even Ruckman in his earlier works) did use the Gap to explain the geologic ages. What changed to cause you to deviate from that contention?
- If the Genesis Gap was not millions of years but a shorter period of time; a time too short to accommodate the geologic ages, what purpose does the Gap serve?
- Do you believe when God said "every thing" was "very good" on the sixth day ([Gen 1:31](#)) that "every thing" means everything He had created up till that time?
- If so, how do you explain the fall of Lucifer/Satan before that time? If not, how do the words "every thing" make sense since he only created one thing (man) since his last proclamation of something being "good" ([Gen 1:25](#))?
- Did you first learn of the Genesis Gap from your own personal study or from someone else (book, audio, preacher, etc.)?
- Did you believe in a literal six day creation (not re-creation) of the universe before you were introduced to the Genesis Gap Theory?
- If so, what compelling words or evidence caused you to change your mind?
- Do you believe the Genesis Gap is a hidden or concealed doctrine that is difficult for the typical Bible reader to find on his own, let alone understand?
- Can you name another Bible doctrine with similar hidden traits?
- Did you try to find out what your favorite Genesis Gap teacher's position would be in answering some of these questions?

David A. Sargent answers...

Part 1

Jon Thurman wrote: "I find it interesting not a person here who believes the gap is a fact has ever attempted to answer any of Tim Morton's questions at the end of his book. Why? I myself would love to believe there is a gap mainly because of Dr. Ruckman's teaching on this, but because Tim has presented excellent scriptural arguments in his book and provided excellent questions at the end, I no longer can bring myself to dogmatically state or believe such gap exist. To date, no "gapper" has ever attempted to answer any of his questions."

Tim Morton's question #1: "Is the Genesis Gap explicitly found in the Scriptures?"

Sargent answers: Yes! Genesis 1:1-5

Tim Morton's question #2: "If not explicitly found, is it based upon explicit

statements? If so, what are they?"

Sargent answers: Wait, I said it IS and gave the verses for it. This question is already answered.

Tim Morton's question #3: "Is it true that the Gap Theory is an implicit belief based primarily upon other implicit doctrines found in passages such as Isaiah 14 and Ezekiel 28?"

Sargent answers: Here are some errors in your question: 1st, it is a Gap FACT that I am dealing with not the theories behind it like what caused it or why there's a gap. I am dealing with the FACT that there IS a GAP. The Isaiah 14 and Ezekiel 28 explain WHY there is a Gap, NOT that there IS a Gap. That is a very different subject. What I am going to prove without a shadow of any doubt is that there IS in fact a GAPING GAP in Genesis 1:1-2.

Tim Morton's question #4: "If not explicitly found, how is it that many call it the "Gap Fact" when implicit beliefs cannot be proven as fact (1Th 5:21)?"

Sargent answers: Again this is an assumed question based on #1 question which holds the first error in the reasoning. I already said it IS explicitly found. Let's take your "prove all things" to both sides. You have not proven there is NOT a GAP... Err Duh! 1 Thessalonians 5:21, "Prove all things; hold fast that which is good." At the end of this nonsense I will prove there IS a Gap without question. I am NOT going to prove WHY there's a GAP, or HOW LONG the GAP is; even though I can do that too. I am only answering as to the FACT of the GAP in the narrative. That is the GAP FACT.

Tim Morton's question #5: "If you consider the Gap a "fact," what scriptural criteria or principles do you use to determine Bible facts?"

Sargent answers: In this case I gave that already in question #1. WOW, are we just repeating the first question over and over again??? Bible facts are contextual in the passage in which they appear. A text without a context is a pretext; then a text is compared to another text WITHIN the context of THAT other passage. If they are not talking about the same subject then they do not belong together. Fact are stubborn things! The Gap is still a Gap.

Tim Morton's question #6: "Do you think it would be misleading or even deceptive to label a doctrine a Bible "fact" when it cannot be conclusively proven?"

Sargent answers: YES! That is why the Gap FACT is NOT one of those issues. It is and has been conclusively proven. See the bottom of this nonsense.

Tim Morton's question #7: "Do you believe that clear Bible passages should be used to understand unclear or ambiguous passages dealing with the same subject?"

Sargent answers: YES, which I will do as soon as I answer all this nonsense that proves nothing.

## Part 2

Tim Morton's question #8: "If so, why do you not reconcile any question Genesis 1:2 may raise according to the more clear statements of Exodus 20:11, 31:17, Nehemiah 9:6, Mark 10:6, and Revelation 21:1?"

Sargent answers: Simple: Genesis 1:2, "And the earth was without form, and void; and darkness was upon the face of the deep. And the Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters." (Context is from Genesis 1:1 to 5 is the first day).

Exodus 20:11, "For in six days the LORD made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day: wherefore the LORD blessed the sabbath day, and hallowed it." (This agrees with the GAP FACT).

Exodus 31:17, "It is a sign between me and the children of Israel for ever: for in six days the LORD made heaven and earth, and on the seventh day he rested, and was refreshed." (This agrees with the GAP FACT).

Nehemiah 9:6, "Thou, even thou, art LORD alone; thou hast made heaven, the heaven of heavens, with all their host, the earth, and all things that are therein, the seas, and all that is therein, and thou preservest them all; and the host of heaven worshippeth thee." (This agrees with the GAP FACT).

Mark 10:6, "But from the beginning of the creation God made them male and female." (has nothing to do with the first day! This is now showing some ignorance in Tim's case, and is obviously NOT the issue here).

Revelation 21:1, "And I saw a new heaven and a new earth: for the first heaven and the first earth were passed away; and there was no more sea." (Again this has nothing to do with Genesis 1 at all).

Tim Morton's question #9: "If the above clear Bible statements must be

"explained" away from their normal, straight-forward meaning to allow a Genesis Gap, what overwhelming Scriptural principle or proof can you produce to justify such exegesis?"

Sargent answers: Umm, but they are NOT explained away unless Tim Morton did it. And yet the GAP FACT still stands like a GLARING GAPING GAP!

Hmm... This question assumes he actually accomplished something. It assumes because his answer to theories about the Gap and NOT the GAP FACT.

### Part 3

Tim Morton's question #10: "Do you believe there are other possible explanations for the passages you claim promote the Gap (Isa 14, 45; Jer 4; Exe 28; 2Pe 3)?"

Sargent answers: NO; these passages are showing WHY there is a GAP FACT. Some of these are part of the theories behind why there is a Gap; but none of them show that it is more than what happened on the first day. They actually confirm the GAP FACT. And here they are:

Isaiah 14:12-15, "How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! how art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations! For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High. Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell, to the sides of the pit."

Isaiah 45:7, "I form the light, and create darkness: I make peace, and create evil: I the LORD do all these things."

Isaiah 45:18, "For thus saith the LORD that created the heavens; God himself that formed the earth and made it; he hath established it, he created it not in vain, he formed it to be inhabited: I am the LORD; and there is none else."

Jeremiah 4:20-28, "Destruction upon destruction is cried; for the whole land is spoiled: suddenly are my tents spoiled, and my curtains in a moment. How long shall I see the standard, and hear the sound of the trumpet? For my people is foolish, they have not known me; they are sottish children, and they have none understanding: they are wise to do evil, but to do good they have no knowledge. I beheld the earth, and, lo, it was without form, and void; and the heavens, and they had no light. I beheld the mountains, and, lo, they trembled, and all the hills

moved lightly. I beheld, and, lo, there was no man, and all the birds of the heavens were fled. I beheld, and, lo, the fruitful place was a wilderness, and all the cities thereof were broken down at the presence of the LORD, and by his fierce anger. For thus hath the LORD said, The whole land shall be desolate; yet will I not make a full end. For this shall the earth mourn, and the heavens above be lack: because I have spoken it, I have purposed it, and will not repent, neither will I turn back from it." (this is NOT about Genesis 1:2 but about the Day of the Lord when this happens again and God creates a new heaven and new earth. The Day of the Lord extends from the Second Coming to the New Heavens and New Earth. But the thousand year reign ends before this and the heavens and earth are burned up: ergo, without form and void. 2 Peter 3:7, "But the heavens and the earth, which are now, by the same word are kept in store, reserved unto fire against the day of judgment and perdition of ungodly men." and 2 Peter 3:10-13, "But the day of the Lord will come as a thief in the night; in the which the heavens shall pass away with a great noise, and the elements shall melt with fervent heat, the earth also and the works that are therein shall be burned up. Seeing then that all these things shall be dissolved, what manner of persons ought ye to be in all holy conversation and godliness, Looking for and hasting unto the coming of the day of God, wherein the heavens being on fire shall be dissolved, and the elements shall melt with fervent heat? Nevertheless we, according to his promise, look for new heavens and a new earth, wherein dwelleth righteousness.")

Exodus 28? There is no statement in this chapter about creation aside from a TYPE as in a shadow of the heavens. That type is found in Hebrews 8:5, "Who serve unto the example and shadow of heavenly things, as Moses was admonished of God when he was about to make the tabernacle: for, See, saith he, that thou make all things according to the pattern shewed to thee in the mount." and Hebrews 10:1, "For the law having a shadow of good things to come, and not the very image of the things, can never with those sacrifices which they offered year by year continually make the comers thereunto perfect."

2 Peter 3:3-7, "Knowing this first, that there shall come in the last days scoffers, walking after their own lusts, And saying, Where is the promise of his coming? for since the fathers fell asleep, all things continue as they were from the beginning of the creation. For this they willingly are ignorant of, that by the word of God the heavens were of old, and the earth standing out of the water and in the water: Whereby the world that then was, being overflowed with water, perished: But the heavens and the earth, which are now, by the same word are kept in store, reserved unto fire against the day of judgment and perdition of ungodly men." (This is just another passage that vindicates the GAP FACT no question about it).



Tim Morton's question #11: "Since "sound doctrine" speaks of doctrine not characterized by weakness but is healthy and robust, how can the Gap Theory qualify since it has obvious weaknesses including a very weak foundation?"

Sargent answers: Again, Mr. Morton has disqualified his own argument by dealing with the theories behind the Gap Fact. Theories like it lasted thousands of years, which is wrong, or theories that it lines up with evolution which is wrong. The first question nullifies all other questions about any theories: "Is the Genesis Gap explicitly found..." this statement was answered as YES and that constitutes at the very beginning a GAP FACT that the real debate is all about. I am NOT dealing with any of the theories because they are NOT FACTS. I am dealing with the Narrative GAP FACTS.

Tim Morton's question #12: "Do you believe there is a distinct difference between the words "created" and "made" in the Bible? If so, do you believe "made" refers to making or remaking something from existing material and "create" speaks of creation from nothing?"

Sargent answers: Here we are reduced to "mincing" words. Let's see, I created a meal there other day and made it from scratch... what does that mean? Genesis 1:1, "In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth." God created the or made the heavens and the earth? Jeremiah 32:17, "Ah Lord GOD! behold, thou hast made the heaven and the earth by thy great power and stretched out arm, and there is nothing too hard for thee:" You now have to deal with synonyms here. You do know that synonyms exist in every language? They can mean different things or the same thing depending on the CONTEXT! Err Duh! This is making an issue out of NOTHING! This is creating an issue out of NOTHING!

Tim Morton's question #13: "If so, how do you reconcile this belief with Nehemiah 9:6 where it states the Lord "made" the "heavens," "heaven of heavens" (third heaven), "host" of heaven, "earth" and all that is in them?"

Sargent answers: This "If so" assumes he has SAID SOMETHING! Wow, but he has not said ANYTHING. Making statements in the form of questions is not only obnoxious but that is how all Catholic Missiles are written. That is how Satan deals with Christ, with QUESTIONS. No answers or actual real statements. The answer is already given so this question is a continuation of making an issue out of NOTHING! This is creating an issue out of NOTHING! Both hold the same meaning!

## Part 4

Tim Morton's question #14: "Do you believe "Eden the garden of God" in Ezekiel 28:13 refers to the "garden eastward in Eden" found in Genesis 2:8, 15?" If not, what scriptural evidence can you produce to overturn the apparent connection?

Sargent answers: Wow, this is one of the MOST ridiculous questions. He GAVE the scriptural evidence and then says the connection with these is apparent? NO not even close. Let's look at the real evidence. Is Eden a GARDEN or is there a GARDEN IN Eden? Which is it? They are NOT the same. If you can read English you would not make the mistake of connecting them as the same TIME. They are the same EDEN, but NOT. You can't understand this unless you understand the GAP FACT. They were separated by that GAP. One is EDEN the Garden of God where Lucifer was, and the other is a Garden eastward IN Eden where Satan shows up as a serpent. If you make them the SAME then you have to make Lucifer the serpent and NOT Satan the fallen Lucifer. Mr. Morton is having more trouble with mincing words. English is not Tim's strong suit. During Lucifer's time as the covering cherub Eden was a Garden but after the GAP when Adam pops out of the ground Eden was NOT a Garden and God PLANTED a garden in the EAST corner of Eden. The differences show that this is NOT the same. Where were the stones of fire in the Adamic Garden of Eden?

Tim Morton's question #15: "Do you believe that "replenish" in the King James Bible means to fill again or refill?"

Sargent answers: Wow, brilliant I can answer this with one word: "YES"! That is so funny! Mr. Morton is not good with English or he would know that refill means to fill again. So here we go: "Please refill my drink!" and "Oh, can you fill my drink again?" Hmmm, that was the same thing. What does refill mean if not to fill again?

But let's deal with what the Bible actually says: It says "replenish" and actually refill is NOT in the Bible. As a prefix "re" means "again" and "plenish" means to fill up; stock; furnish: Middle English plenissen from Middle French pleniss-, present participle stem of plenir, to fill from Classical Latin plenus, full.

Plenish: Verb: (third-person singular simple present plenishes, present participle plenishing, simple past and past participle plenished); (archaic, chiefly Scotland) To fill up, to stock or supply (something). [from 15th c.] (chiefly Scotland) Specifically, to stock land or a house (with livestock or furniture). [from 15th c.] Origin: Scots plenish, from the stem of Anglo-Norman plenir, from plein ("full"). So, Re-Plenish does in fact mean to fill again AND refill!



Tim Morton's question #16: "If so, since the definition of "fill again" or "refill" was unknown in 1611 but replenish then meant "fill abundantly," how do you reconcile the difference?"

Sargent answers: And here is the assumed "but replenish then meant 'fill abundantly'" In fact as seen above Plenish is from 15th c. Scotland where King James was from. Plenish means to FILL. The prefix "re" never has meant "abundantly" and to create this nonsense you have to be just ignorant of English history. Prefix: "re-" WORD ORIGIN: a prefix, occurring originally in loanwords from Latin, used with the meaning "again" or "again and again" to indicate repetition, or with the meaning "back" or "backward" to indicate withdrawal or backward motion: regenerate; refurbish; retype; retrace; revert.

So Mr. Morton's "...since the definition of 'fill again' or 'refill' was unknown in 1611 but replenish then meant 'fill abundantly,'..." is totally WRONG and totally ignorant of all FACTS. These words were known and used way before 1611. We have only really just started with this and so far I have not had one issue that is not easily answered. This question is in line with the "cult" tactic of the "con-artist" playing his confidence game. He is making statements that are INCORRECT produced by his ideology and then building a straw house with a straw man to put in his straw field to scare off anyone from answering him. His made up facts were produced so that he can prove his ideology from the facts he is making up from his ideology. THAT is a con-artist hard at work. You do know they have ulterior motives!

Tim Morton's question #17: "If you believe the current meaning is advanced revelation found only in the King James Bible, did all the believers for over 200 years after the KJB was published but before the meaning was fully changed not understand the word correctly?"

Sargent answers: This is a bait and switch tactic that only allows for a force answer. So, let's answer a fool according to their folly. This is the same argument as "where was the Bible before the King James Bible?" If you BELIEVE the Bible you know that all revelation advances through time when it is revealed. The Bible was even written IN HISTORY like that and much of it was NOT understood until very recent times. Few people thought Israel was going to be a nation again. Those that understood dispensational truth KNEW that this was going to happen. When it did in 1948; there were many that had to concede to this FACT. Those that have not are living a lie. This question is assuming that the previous question "stumped" the reader. Yet, the question is built and based on the LIE of the previous 2 questions. The fallacy starts with his incorrect

FACTS. His logic is faulty because the facts he uses are wrong.

## Part 5

Tim Morton's question #18: "Do you believe some words in the KJB have changed their meaning since 1611?"

Sargent answers: This question is aimed at making "replenish" one of those words when it was NOT. This is not even a real question about this subject. Very few words have changed meanings that make any difference in the text because there are 7 editions of the King's Bible; and they fixed many of them. What is in the King's Bible NOW is not an issue. This is just an attempt to RUN the Bible into the muck and mire of the filth of this world. The respect level just went from 50% down to 2%. This question says NOTHING about the actual issue about any GAP FACT. It proves that Tim is incompetent in English; and not fit to teach Daily Vacation Bible School.

Tim Morton's question #19: "If so, do you explain the different meaning of words such as "let" (Rom 1:13) and "prevent" (1Th 4:15) to your listeners?"

Sargent answers: Here we go again... His questions are building from the previous where he is assuming I have answered these the way he has designed his logic. But because he is WRONG he now ventures to the nonsense of "let" and "prevent" to make you HATE the King's Bible. He will have to then give you a DIFFERENT authority; so either a different Bible or HIMSELF as your authority. We use these words to mean what they say in the King's Bible. If you are not aware of these meanings; that does not detract from the English of the King's Bible; it means you don't know what a word means. Before I "tackle" this nonsense, I must say here: What in the world does "let" and "prevent" have to do with the GAP FACT? Absolutely NOTHING! This is just the chasing of the proverbial bunny trail tactic to force the reader to give in to his ignorance. Now, you may want to replace your batteries in your thinking cap for this.

Let has more than one meaning. It always has had more than one meaning. "Let" means to "allow", when you "let" something happen you are "allowing" it to happen.

But when you lease out an apartment, you are letting it out; again you are "allowing" someone to lease it. But you are NOT giving it away by letting it out; you are KEEPING it. Err duh! His other word "prevent" is "pre-" means "before" and "-vent" (-event); the "e" is combined so there is not 2 "e"s: "preevent") so the word is "prior to the event" so when you prevent something you have to go

prior to that event to stop it. But this can be used just as a "prior to an event" and not so much acting to stop; but allowing it. The word has a double meaning and always has. Just because YOU don't know both usages does not mean it can't be used that way.

I am going to say this as nice and as kind as I can... The line of reasoning here is the most ridiculous thing I have ever seen in 38 years that I have been saved; maybe with the exception of a few of the flat-earthers nonsense. But this is like I am dealing with a child's mentality here. Like this guy thinks he has something no one has seen before. These things are so dated it is not even funny.

Tim Morton's question #20: "If so, what scriptural criteria or principle do you appeal to to justify explaining the different meaning of those words and not replenish?"

Sargent answers: Again "If so..." assumes something and the logic again is skewed by umm NO it's not so! Wrong again kiddie! Replenish has only ONE meaning and I have already dealt with this. What this guy is doing is splitting up one question into 5 questions to make you think he has more going on than he does. So, he is still NOT dealing with the FACTS. He is making up nonsense to remove the readers eyes from reading the FACTS. This is total nonsense and does NOT prove that there is not Gap.

Tim Morton's question #21: "Do you use the Genesis Gap to help explain the existence of fossils and the geologic ages?"

Sargent answers: NO! Wow, that was the easiest question yet. Ok, I will not leave this with the one word answer just in case someone has issue with that. First the "existence of fossils" and whatever you want to call "geologic ages" has again nothing to do with the GAP FACT. That is only theories produced by Theistic-Evolutionist. The Gap fact will be listed at the end of this nonsense. So far nothing he has said alters the GAP FACT.

Part 6

Tim Morton's question #22: "If not, essentially all of the early Fundamentalists who promoted the Gap (Pember, Scofield, Larkin, etc., and even Ruckman in his earlier works) did use the Gap to explain the geologic ages. What changed to cause you to deviate from that contention?"

Sargent answers: Dealing with all these people is NOT dealing with the Bible. These have all had theories about the Gap FACT. Just because they have

theories about the length of time, has NOTHING to do with the FACT of the GAP. Once again you are NOT dealing with any FACTS. The length of time is NOT the gap, but I can deal with that also. The names you gave are NOT the oracles of God. You said "...even Ruckman..." but why would you say that? You have a propensity to run the Bible into the mud and mire and now you want to rake these people over the coals of your ignorance and foolishness. You do not know Dr. Ruckman if you think he was lining that up with geologic ages. My approach is NOT with any of the "theories" as I only stick with the FACTS. So, this question is outside the scope of any FACTS from the BIBLE itself. It is meaningless and pointless.

Tim Morton's question #23: "If the Genesis Gap was not millions of years but a shorter period of time; a time too short to accommodate the geologic ages, what purpose does the Gap serve?"

Sargent answers: Your "IF" statement assumes that the gap IS millions of years. So you are now producing a "catch 22" tactic that is another in a series of dishonest debating tactics that forces an answer based on incorrect assumptions.

Tim Morton's question #24: "Do you believe when God said "every thing" was "very good" on the sixth day (Gen 1:31) that "every thing" means everything He had created up till that time?"

Sargent answers: Yes, everything God created was good, God did not make anything without form and void and God did not make Satan or make him fall on the first day. Everything God made was good. That actually has NOTHING to do with the GAP FACT. That is just another ploy to side track the real issue.

Tim Morton's question #25: "If so, how do you explain the fall of Lucifer/Satan before that time? If not, how do the words "every thing" make sense since he only created one thing (man) since his last proclamation of something being "good" (Gen 1:25)?"

Sargent answers: Here is another forced "catch 22" that he is going to have a hard time placing the fall of Lucifer anywhere but in Genesis 1:2. I have heard all the other possible places... and there are none. The simple answer is Lucifer was God's creation NOT Satan. God is right in saying Genesis 1:31, "And God saw every thing that he had made, and, behold, it was very good. And the evening and the morning were the sixth day." That does not detract from Lucifer's fall because the statement was about what God had MADE. This does not detract from the FACT that the earth was without form and void in that fall of

Lucifer because God did NOT make it that way. So this is again a NON-ISSUE that takes NOTHING away from the GAP FACT.

Tim Morton's question #26: "Did you first learn of the Genesis Gap from your own personal study or from someone else (book, audio, preacher, etc.)?"

Sargent answers: I first learned of the Genesis Gap Fact from my own personal study. Another pointless question!

Tim Morton's question #27: "Did you believe in a literal six day creation (not re-creation) of the universe before you were introduced to the Genesis Gap Theory?"

Sargent answers: I was never introduced to any Gap THEORY until years after I saw the GAP FACT. I ignore all the theories and stick with the FACTS.

Tim Morton's question #28: "If so, what compelling words or evidence caused you to change your mind?"

Sargent answers: I have not changed my mind; but each of these questions are LEADING the witness; but I am NOT following because the GAP is STILL a FACT.

Tim Morton's question #29: "Do you believe the Genesis Gap is a hidden or concealed doctrine that is difficult for the typical Bible reader to find on his own, let alone understand?"

Sargent answers: NO! That is too simple an answer for another ridiculous question. You have to be college educated in a Christian college that rejects God's words to miss it!

Part 7

Tim Morton's question #30: "Can you name another Bible doctrine with similar hidden traits?"

Sargent answers: What hidden traits? Perhaps this is just another way of PROVOKING an answer and forcing a logic that is baseless. This is NOT hidden; but even if it were hidden (and it is obviously hidden from people without understanding) God does HIDE the truth to people; and has placed many mysteries in the Bible that do take God to open your understanding to or you will NEVER get them! But NO, this is NOT one of those!

Tim Morton's question #31: "Did you try to find out what your favorite Genesis Gap teacher's position would be in answering some of these questions?"

Sargent answers: NO! I do not have any but the Lord God Almighty that showed me that there is a GAP FACT! Wow, I think that last question was just about as stupid as they come. SO, Mr. Morton wants to be a "favorite teacher" hmmm... now we see a motive here.

Sargent's GAP FACT proof and the CONCLUSION of the matter:

(From: "The Gap - THE GAPPING GAP")

The narrative gap in Genesis 1:2 is not a gap of time, but of the narrative only. Genesis 1:1 is the beginning, and in verse 5 is the end of the first day. Now tell me, where there any days prior to the first day? Would this not be the first day if there were days prior making what God said a lie.

The gaps in the narrative are many.

1. When did God say, "...let there be water..."
  2. When did God say, "...let there be the deep..."
  3. When did God say, "...let there be darkness..."
  4. When did God say, "...let there be angels..."
  5. When did God say, "...let there be seraphim..."
  6. When did God say, "...let there be cherubim..."
  7. When did God say, "...let there be sons of God..."
- ???????

This constitutes at least 7 gaps in the narrative without any reference or reason why needed. All these things existed by Genesis 1:2.

Water shows up in verse 2 and is only divided later so it was there in Day 1.

The deep IS the water and in the water is darkness all there in Day 1.

The angles, seraphim, cherubim and the sons of God were there in Day 1 prior to Genesis 1:2.

Job 38:4-7, "Where wast thou when I laid the foundations of the earth? declare, if thou hast understanding. Who hath laid the measures thereof, if thou knowest? or who hath stretched the line upon it? Whereupon are the



foundations thereof fastened? or who laid the corner stone thereof; When the morning stars sang together, and all the sons of God shouted for joy?" The morning stars and the sons of God were there when the Earth was made.

Now about the length of time: Genesis 1:1a, "In the beginning..." and in verse 5b, "...the evening and the morning were the first day." This is the first mention of any time elements where there is a start and ending. And it said it was ONE day, or day ONE. Let's see if we can find another reference to this: Genesis 2:4, "These are the generations of the heavens and of the earth when they were created, in the day that the LORD God made the earth and the heavens," Notice the singularity, "...when they were created, in the day that the LORD God made the earth and the heavens," Again it says, "...in the day..." This would be day ONE.

Lucifer was also there in that day: Ezekiel 28:14a, "Thou art the anointed cherub that covereth..." This cherub was anointed, and Ezekiel 28:15, "Thou wast perfect in thy ways from the day that thou wast created, till iniquity was found in thee." And verse 13, "...in the day that thou wast created." And in verse 19, "... thou shalt be a terror..." and compare this with Isaiah 14:12, "How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! how art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations!" In both accounts this being rebelled against God and was cast down to the ground and caused the Genesis 1:2 catastrophic event. This all happened in the first day. Also given in 2 Peter 3:3-6, "Knowing this first, that there shall come in the last days scoffers, walking after their own lusts, And saying, Where is the promise of his coming? for since the fathers fell asleep, all things continue as they were from the beginning of the creation. For this they willingly are ignorant of, that by the word of God the heavens were of old, and the earth standing out of the water and in the water: Whereby the world that then was, being overflowed with water, perished:" Which is NOT Noah's flood which Peter already told you about and therefore would not be willingly ignorant of: 2 Peter 2:5, "And spared not the old world, but saved Noah the eighth person, a preacher of righteousness, bringing in the flood upon the world of the ungodly;" This makes the passage in chapter 3 the original earth of Genesis 1:1.

## Part 8

Lucifer's fall was a solo fall, and because of this he had to recruit some beings that would do his bidding. In Job 1 and 2 we see that the sons of God were coming and going from earth to heaven and back again. And Satan was also coming WITH THEM. What would cause the sons of God in Genesis 6 to even consider mating with humans unless they were tempted by the tempter, Satan.

The product of this union would be an abomination; a hybrid between humans and spirit beings would produce satyrs, and all kinds of unclean things. God had to drown them all out. But only the angels (sons of God) were cast into hell, not their children. 2 Peter 2:4, "For if God spared not the angels that sinned, but cast them down to hell, and delivered them into chains of darkness, to be reserved unto judgment;" and Jude 1:6, "And the angels which kept not their first estate, but left their own habitation, he hath reserved in everlasting chains under darkness unto the judgment of the great day." Their children drowned in Noah's flood and physically died, but because they were not soul beings like humans because their fathers were spirit beings, they became the disembodied spirits we know as devils and familiar spirits and the gods of the Old Testament Gentile nations. They were the Teraphim that the idols are made to represent. If they can't get into a human body, they will settle for an animal, if not an animal they will settle for a plant, if not a plant, they will settle for wood or even a rock. But they do not like being without a body.

What does this have to do with the Gap? Everything; the Gap is there to HIDE something from people, and from the spirit realm. It is to hide what I am telling you here. Just like the waters between the second and third heaven is there to hide the light of God from the earth and all the spirits in the 2 heavens. The devils dispersed after Noah's flood into 3 places: On earth, in our atmosphere and in outer space. Job 15:15, "Behold, he putteth no trust in his saints; yea, the heavens are not clean in his sight." The saints cannot be trusted; because not even the first and second heavens are clean before God. These devils are out there and not all of them have been recruited by Satan. He will recruit another third of them in Revelation to become his angels. They are already devils.

The Gap? No the GAPS. There are so many gaps, if you did not study to rightly divide the word, you would have no idea these gaps are there. There is no theory about it. There are gapping gaps all throughout the narrative in the Bible. They are there for a good reason if nothing else but to make you STUDY YOUR BIBLE and believe what you read in it WITHOUT ALTERING ONE WORD OF IT.

(From: "The Gap - THE GAP FACT")

The GAP FACT remains  
with no contenders in the ring.  
Says the Bible to the doubter  
let me take you under my wing.

And teach from God's Holy words,  
Truth, and Life, and Error and Death;

Things no natural man will get  
Without that belief and trust and faith.

My text needs no revision,  
Alterations I cannot stand;  
Just read it as it is,  
And you will see My Plan.

With nothing left undone,  
I spoke everything into time;  
Where I left out a creative task,  
Too keep Satan from knowing mine.

Parables, and similitudes,  
I used to compare the likes;  
So proud men of high degree,  
Would look like little tikes.

I skipped the —let there be waterll  
To show from where life came,  
I left out darkness and the deep,  
Because they are all the same.

The veil in the temple of Solomon,  
The Glory of God did hide;  
So the great waters do in the heavens,  
Keep you from seeing inside!

For more about the GAP FACT:

<http://biblestudies.av1611kjb.org>

The Gap - Answers FOR The Gap of Genesis 1

The Gap - Chronology of Genesis 1-3

The Gap - THE GAP FACT

The Gap - The Gapping Gap

The Gap - The Great Deep

The Gap - The Truth About The GAP in Genesis 1\_2