

Bringing it All Together
(the OBRY Projekt's exposition of key topics)

author: Jonathan D Machtemes. copyright 2021, all rights reserved

As every diligent seeker of truth and facts can attest to, when one goes about the labor of getting good, solid answers, we all eventually hit a wall. We find the common establishment answers don't hold up. Then we find the "alternative" answers don't hold up. Finally, we find the sources don't hold up. Whether it's a topic of history, science, the calendar, genealogies, geo-politics, religion, or Bible... the conventional answers have a sort of ubiquitous dogmatism about them, begging for someone to question them in the correct way... even taunting us to deconstruct their house of cards.

Today, no important topic can be pursued without coming to a seemingly impenetrable murky barrier. The "Dark Ages" weren't centuries ago, we are within them right now. The idea that so much is unknown and unsure in the realms of Language, History, Peoples and Migrations, and Empires is entirely unacceptable. For a brief period, in the 1930s – 40s, without alien influence, the Germans kept immaculate records. It is not presently, nor ever was, impossible... or even that difficult to do.

Something is dreadfully wrong with the whole picture as presented to us, and none of it looks to be by chance. Every topic seems saturated with this unshakable sense of something being amiss. As a well-known film quote goes... "You can see it when you look out your window, or when you turn on your television. You can feel it when you go to work... when you go to church... when you pay your taxes." But, even in light of this saturated unsurety, there is no shortage of individuals giving answers, and with all the resources apparently available, why have they gotten us precisely nowhere?

Maybe the right questions aren't being asked in the right ways: the foundations of even the questions are flawed. If the right questions are asked, however, the correct answers would become apparent. Maybe that's why we are failing to find the truth. With so many people giving answers, how is it you're more confused now than when you began looking? It involves asking the right questions with the correct foundations. Also known as proper Epistemology (how knowledge is derived). And in order to acquire the proper Epistemology, no question can be taken off the table as "irrelevant" or "unnecessary".

The following quotes, all taken from Protocol #12, in "[The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion](#)", (circa 1897) help to explain why there is a problem with the current body of information and our means of attaining it: why we aren't grasping the proper questions needing to be asked; why few, if any, are asking them, and why erroneous circular answers are always gotten back:

(from article 5) " ...now we have contrived to possess ourselves of the minds of the GOY (non Jew) communities to such an extent that they all come near looking upon the events of the world through the colored glasses of those spectacles we are setting astride their noses"

(from article 6) "Every one desirous of being a publisher, librarian, or printer, will be obliged to provide himself with the diploma instituted therefore, which, in case of any fault, will be immediately impounded. With such measures THE INSTRUMENT OF THOUGHT WILL BECOME AN EDUCATIVE MEANS ON THE HANDS OF OUR GOVERNMENT"

(from article 8) "Literature and journalism are two of the most important educative forces, and therefore our government will become proprietor of the majority of the journals."

(from article 15) “WE SHALL HAVE A SURE TRIUMPH OVER OUR OPPONENTS SINCE THEY WILL NOT HAVE AT THEIR DISPOSITION ORGANS OF THE PRESS IN WHICH THEY CAN GIVE FULL AND FINAL EXPRESSION TO THEIR VIEWS”

In addition to the clear agenda just illustrated in the previous quotes, there's this... The centerpiece in Western history is, unarguably, the collection of books called "The Holy Bible", and no matter how you feel about the Bible, there's no denying its pages and ideas are the linchpin of world history and present civilization. The Bible is the core of Western "White" culture. And this culture sits at the center of the world's interests and imaginations. And... like a bullseye inside the ring of a target, is America: Her land, resources, mystery, and people... her Native people... and, no, I'm not referring to the Red Indian. I'm talking about the returning exiles.

But America doesn't really seem like a Biblical place does it? Did the Author of the Bible regard this Great and Mighty empire as too insignificant to include in it's pages, or has the literal face of the land of America been changed, and have the history books been re-written to cloud the truth: the past, the present, and the prophetic. Americans, (the returning exiles, that is), are lost today, in time and in place... and they are confused... and so the rest of the world follows. America, and her people, are in a special position to effect the entire world for good or ill, and if we act based on bad information and misunderstandings of a whole range of issues, specifically centered in the Bible, we will continue to effect ourselves, our people, our families, and the rest of the world for ill not good.

The way to clarity concerning who we are, when we are, where we are, and what we are to do, and thus effecting the world around us for good, is to ask the right questions and look in the right places for answers. This cannot be done with flawed foundations. Using the correct foundations, and then asking the right questions based on those foundations is how we will find the correct answers to these questions and absolutely every question that follows. Then all we need do is accept all the answers that present themselves, and act on them.

The following are what I believe to be some of the most important issues that require the correct foundations to be established before we can ask those appropriate questions and arrive at the answers to the vexing problems of today. They are supported herein by a great deal of evidence, and promise a great many answers that have been illuding us for so very long.

So... if you're satisfied with the answers you are currently getting, and the knowledge base (or "Epistemology") currently in place, this is a good point at which to stop: go back to the path you were on, and believe whatever you want to believe. If not, and you continue, I warn you... none of what I am about to present is easily disregarded. It may even alter the way you look at everything hereafter. If you understand those implications and are still with me, then I present to you nothing more than the truth as I currently understand it.

Part 1. Language and the Bible

There is really no point in trying to figure out why our language is as it is today without looking at it's sources and what it used to be. To do that, we will not be looking at Proto Indo European, though the reason for this designation will come up again later on, but we need to look at the language from the source that has had the greatest impact on our culture, that being the language of the Bible, and

mostly the Law and Prophets (or Old Testament) which is the source of every idea treated in the New Testament. But first, "what is language?".

According to the American Heritage Dictionary, "language" is, "Communication of thoughts and feelings through a system of arbitrary signals, such as voice sounds, gestures, or written symbols."

The same dictionary defines "arbitrary" as "Determined by chance, whim, or impulse, and not by necessity, reason, or principle."

If we inserted the definition of "arbitrary" into the definition of "language" it would read:

Language: "Communication of thoughts and feelings through a system of chance, whim, or impulse signals, such as voice sounds, gestures, or written symbols which are not necessarily based on reason or principle."

If our language today is arbitrary... if the meanings of words and how they are used is determined by the will or whim of... someone... who would that be? Would it, perhaps be the one's controlling the publishing industry and education as we saw in the Protocols? So then what about Biblical language... can't we know what those words mean and can't we know that they've always meant what we are told they mean today? The answer to that is an enormously unfortunate, "No". An why is this? One word: Masorah.

"Masorah", as defined in The Jewish Encyclopedia vol viii 1902 p365 is:

"The system of critical notes on the external form of the Biblical text." it goes on to read, "The term is taken from Ezek. 20:37 and means originally 'fetter'."

Again, from the American Heritage Dictionary, "fetter: Something that serves to restrict; a restraint:".

Everything about Western culture that is good and productive is based on Biblical principle; however, everything quite awful about Western culture is also rooted in many misunderstandings about the Bible. So, it's absolutely vital that we understand exactly what the Bible is and is not saying, but how is that accomplished?

Most Christians base their beliefs and practices on extensive teaching from the New Testament, but there is no New Testament understanding without the Old Testament. If you divorce the two, you would have thousands of denominations all claiming various things and never coming to any resolution... just like we have today.

The New Testament is a fraction of the information and understanding available in the Law, Prophets, Records, and Literature that make up the so called "Old" Testament. And those books are contained, today, in "Hebrew" manuscripts. But there's a problem...

"Hebrew" is NOT the original language of the Biblical authors. The claim that those books were written in Hebrew comes with subtle caviats that remain unaddressed... and that is the absence of attention paid to the middle-man... the Masorah... and the accompanying look of the letter itself, or more appropriately the "glyph".

Let's begin by addressing the glyph. The problem with the current glyph or "letter", and style thereof, is illustrated in this quote from Mar Ukba, a celebrated chief judge of the Jews who is claimed to have lived in the 3rd Century AD, who was quoted as saying, "At first the Thora was given to Israel in Hebrew characters and in the sacred language, but in the time of Ezra they obtained it in the Assyrian [= square] characters and in the Aramaic language. At last the sages chose the Assyrian [= square] characters and the sacred language for the Israelites and left the Hebrew characters and the Aramaic language for the idiots." (Introduction to the Massoretico-Critical Edition of the Hebrew Bible - Christian D.Ginsburg, 1897. p288)

Of course, the big problem with believing Ezra, or any Israelite, would do this is found precisely in the books of Ezra and Nehemiah... they were ardent traditionalists who loved the Law and their Language, and there is no proof whatever that they had a hand in changing anything... in fact, quite the opposite. The following incident, recorded by both Ezra and Nehemiah, illustrates their commitment to their old ways. From the pen of Ezra himself in chp 9, vs 2-4:

Ezr 9:2 For they (the Judahites) have taken of their daughters for themselves and for their sons, so that the holy offspring have mixed themselves with the peoples of the lands. Yes, the hand of the princes and rulers has been chief in this trespass.”
Ezr 9:3 When I heard this thing, I tore my garment and my robe, and pulled the hair out of my head and of my beard, and sat down confounded.
Ezr 9:4 Then everyone who trembled at the words of the God of Israel were assembled to me, because of their trespass of the captivity; and I sat confounded until the evening offering.

And from Nehemiah, concerning the very same matter, in chp 13, vs 23-25:

Neh 13:23 In those days I also saw the Judahites who had married women of Ashdod, of Ammon, and of Moab;
Neh 13:24 and their children spoke half in the speech of Ashdod, and could not speak in the Judahite's language, but according to the language of each people.
Neh 13:25 I contended with them, and cursed them, and struck certain of them, and plucked off their hair, and made them swear by God, “You shall not give your daughters to their sons, nor take their daughters for your sons, or for yourselves.

Nehemiah, Ezra's contemporary, was horrified that the mixed children of the Judahites and surrounding peoples couldn't even speak their own language, or mixed the languages. He cursed them; attacked them; made them swear to repent... yet he's going to sit back while Ezra changed the character of the text? And Ezra was right there with Nehemiah in his horror towards Israel not keeping their seed and the Laws of YEUE pure. This claim of the Jewish establishment (the establishment currently holding sway over all publishers), isn't holding water in light of the words and attitudes of Ezra and Nehemiah. In addition, it's NEVER stated that Ezra penned anything outside his own book and respective letters. No change from any Israelite is present in any Biblical book.

The Samaritans, however, which were from Assyria, probably could tell us more about this change, and they do: both the Jewish Encyclopedia and Encyclopedia Judaica, two principle (as they call

themselves today) "Jewish" publications, admit what we think is the original form is not, and they show examples of what the text and glyph once looked like, which can be corroborated on various artifacts, the Los Lunas stone of New Mexico is but one of many. They even etched the boulder in what they claim is Hezekiah's tunnel in Palestine in the old form to lend to its authenticity. And there's these passages from 2 Ki 17, vs 6, 24-28, and 33-34:

2Ki 17:6 In the ninth year of Hoshea the king of Assyria took Samaria, and carried Israel away to Assyria, and placed them in Halah, and on the Habor, the river of Gozan, and in the cities of the Medes.....

2Ki 17:24 The king of Assyria brought men from Babylon, from Cuthah, from Avva, and from Hamath and Sepharvaim, and placed them in the cities of Samaria instead of the children of Israel; and they possessed Samaria, and lived in its cities.

2Ki 17:25 So it was, at the beginning of their dwelling there, that they didn't acknowledge YEUE. Therefore YEUE sent lions among them, which killed some of them.

2Ki 17:26 Therefore they spoke to the king of Assyria, saying, "The nations which you have carried away and placed in the cities of Samaria don't know the law of the god of the land. Therefore he has sent lions among them, and behold, they kill them, because they don't know the law of the god of the land."

2Ki 17:27 Then the king of Assyria commanded, saying, "Carry there one of the priests whom you brought from there; and let them go and dwell there, and let him teach them the law of the god of the land."

2Ki 17:28 So one of the priests whom they had carried away from Samaria came and lived in Bethel, and taught them how to respect YEUE.....

2Ki 17:33 They acknowledged YEUE, and served their own gods, after the ways of the nations from among whom they had been carried away.

2Ki 17:34 To this day in matters of chief importance they do not acknowledge YEUE, and they do not follow the statutes, or the ordinances, or the law, or the commandment which YEUE commanded the children of Jacob, whom he named Israel;

These people, brought in by Assyria, who came to be known as "Samaritans" spoke Assyrian. Remember Mar Ukba?... "they obtained it in the Assyrian [= square] characters' and in the Aramaic language". The letter/glyph once looked decidedly different, and that matters, as we'll see. And these people we are considering... the likely alterationists of the text, are the one's who frustrated the returning exiles from rebuilding in the books of Ezra and Nehemiah. The people who claimed YEUE was their God and wanted to be special, like the Israelites. These people conspired against Judah in every way possible, and would have been, and indeed were, the friend to every enemy Judah had. These are the people with the means and motive to alter the text, not Ezra, but the Assyrian speaking subjects from Assyria.

Now, those who are unaware of the extent of influence the Masorah has on the text have a hard time understanding how effective it has been in shaping our understanding of the Biblical text, and that influence is far too broad to cover herein. Please reference my audio and paper, "The Word: Pierced, Striped, and Bruised" for more detail. I will, however, provide a broadstroke definition herein of what the Masorah truly is.

The Masorah is an interpolative system of commentary upon the Biblical text consisting of many parts including, but not limited to:

1. Unsubstantiated Claims - about the glyph, it's function, it's look and sound, it's inception and authenticity.
2. Arbitrary Demands - swapping words and meanings of words, parts of speech are applied, and categorizations instituted.
3. Contradictory Rules - sometimes a mark means one thing, sometimes it means something else: one must ask the Sages and Rabbis for understanding.
4. Inconsistent Application of Definitions - the same word often bearing many applied meanings and uses, (frequently quite different from each other), and entirely different words having the same meaning applied (homonyms; synonyms; and antonyms... all via the Masorah).
5. Subject Dividing and Combining - their "Parashah", and "Pericopes" are the precursor and roadmap to the chapter and verses of today, which, at times, either cut longer phrases and ideas down (thus disrupting the original concepts expressed), or combine non-related ideas (creating new non original concepts).
6. Historical Disagreement - they admit that it took them centuries to come to an agreeable, workable Masoretic text.

Do any of these devices strike you as "inspired", or at least as "inspired by anything other than malicious motives"?

These all contribute, in many ways, to the obvious alteration of the original text of the Old Testament. And all our translations today are entirely saturated and weighed down by the Masorah: it's rules, it's definitions, it's peculiar irregularities, it's lexicon, and it's... mind, because, yes, the Masorah has it's own mind which has been, via all the applied tools and techniques, used on the text to create a picture desired by the practitioners of the Masorah. It is a story laid over another story. And whether anyone wishes to believe these Masorettes had good or bad intentions, it must be asked, "why is there any need for these additions to the pure text form?"

To answer to that question, as the Jews answer that question, we would need to revisit the preceding points. But, briefly, it is claimed that "Hebrew" has no vowels, so that the pronunciation, and thus the form of the words was unknown or kept only by way of tradition... the traditions of the Rabbis, as their story goes. They also claim the letters weren't, individually, meaningful, and could therefore be swapped if needed and dictated, via the Masorah, to be added in or left out of readings. Are these ideas now starting to sound all too familiar, as though we could simply apply them, at least in spirit, to our languages today? Arbitrary language of today, meet arbitrary language of the Bible... and nothing but confusion to follow.

The Masorah also dictates when a subject changes, when the same word is a different word, when a word is or isn't a proper name, and when a "mistake" has been made that requires "correcting". Though it looks different, it acts in the same way our modern languages act... because the same minds are behind both. And the bottom line is, they have implemented a sort of blinding system onto the text, supported it with absolutely baseless claims, and employ an army of Academicians, Teachers, and Pastors, both Jewish and non Jewish, to keep their linguistic sorcery in tact. If you don't believe me,

simply read a document on how Masoretic Hebrew works, then a treatise on English grammar, or Legal language... the similarities are all too apparent.

And what this means is that everything you and I have come to understand regarding the "Hebrew" scriptures is not by way of pure translation from an unincumbered source text, but through a complex filtering, or "fettering" system admittedly applied over the original text, then multiple Masoretic manuscripts were compiled over time into the foundational texts which were then translated into English, and other languages, mostly by sectarian statisticians operating as "Christians".

But, then what about the Septuagint "LXX" (Koine Greek Old Testament), or the Latin Vulgate, or the Coptic? Surely, they must provide us with some degree of authentication of the Masoretic texts, albeit there are some variations, but surely they can be used in a positive way... you know... "checks and balances"?

Well, for one, they all read as derivative texts. The Coptic is plainly from the Septuagint and Vulgate is plainly from the Masoretic. This is just an admitted matter of fact, like the KJV is plainly a mongrelized text of Masoretic, Latin, and Greek extraction... it's just obvious in the structure, language, and terminology. This doesn't mean we can't get useful information from them, but what it means is that if all extant Bibles are based on either the Masoretic system or the Septuagint, and if the Septuagint itself was derived from one or more Masoretic texts, then every text is conspiring to change what the Bible's books originally said, and are guilty of manipulating our perceptions and subsequent actions.

The Septuagint is a Greek text claimed to be translated and composed some 13 centuries before the Masorah was developed, but bears remarkable signs of being derived from the Masoretic text type. The Masoretic text type was claimed to have been developed between the 9th and 11th centuries AD... yes: 9-11. The Septuagint, as we know it, is mostly sourced from a manuscript called "Vaticanus", which we have no hard proof of it's existing before the 15th century AD. The other two "Great Uncial" manuscripts, as their called (Alexandrinus and Sinaiticus) have no hard proof of existence before the 17th and 19th centuries AD, respectively.

The Septuagint does not bear the consistency of root and form that the pure Hebrew (more correctly "OBRY") text bears. This can be seen in the frequent Masorah-derived peculiarities: The signs throughout the text that should alert us to it being taken not from a pure text, but from a text already tainted and annotated by the Masorah of some 13 centuries later, as the story goes. The mythology underpinning the "veracity" of the Septuagint is derived from a piece entitled "The Letter of Aristeas" or "Pseudo Aristeas" (which, in itself, is a red flag), in which King Ptolemy II, in the 3rd century BC, commissioned 72 "Jews" to translate the Hebrew Law into Greek. They were all isolated and in 72 days produced a Greek translation that was so magnificent as to make the Jews of Alexandria weep. It makes me weep, and is loaded with problems. For these illustrations we will be pulling from Vaticanus and Brenton's translation of it (with various readings from Alexandrinus), and we will be leaving Tichendorf's text where he found it... in a trash can of a monastery in The Sinai.

Unless one is willing to believe the "Jews" responsible for the Septuagint and the "Jews" responsible for the Masoretic all used fairy dust to magically get the same outcomes to the text, or that God inspired all the "consistent inconsistencies" throughout, then we have a very real problem here. Furthermore, we have a special priesthood, wherein they are the wise parent and you are the idiot

child and you are to do and think as they say, whether in Hebrew or Greek. Should we simply believe these bizarre oddities came from the very hand of YEUE himself, and that He mystically guided the 70 (or 72) to produce the same Masoretic characteristics in the texts developed 13 centuries apart, or will we follow the very clear evidence that shows us this is all a scam? Allow me to assist...

The following are the smallest fraction of the overall evidences to be found when comparing the Septuagint to the decidedly Masoretic text type, and there were far too many for me to begin to present them all here. These examples alone should easily suffice to illustrate that the Septuagint is nothing more than a text translated from an already manipulated Masoretic text...

First, It is beyond counting how often the Septuagint uses vowels that follow Masoretic dictates. Masoretic vowel points do not follow the obvious vowels in the text, but applies different vowels at different times, in order, in part, to reclassify words, and the Septuagint follows the same system. Here are a few examples of the correlation between the Masorah and the Koine Greek as seen not in the obvious vowels, but the Masorah's imposed vowel points:

- [H2585] The OBRY "HNUK" (hin-ook) - Masoretic חֲנוּךְ (khan-oke), from the (ח)-"Holem"-"oh" in the (ו)-"Vav" position; Koine Greek- ενωχ (en-ōke'). This vowel clearly has an "oo" sound, as illustrated in many other places (besides the fact that half the Masoretes regarded it as "Waw"-U), but both Masoretic and Koine use the distinctly different "ō" sound dictated by the Masoretic Holem.

- [H5711] The OBRY "ODE" (oh-deh) - Masoretic אֹדֶה (aw-daw), from the (א)-"Qamats"-"ah" in both (ע)-"Ayin" and (ד)-"Dalet" position; Koine Greek- αδα (a-da). The vowels are clearly O and E, but not only do both texts alter them, they do in exactly the same way.

- [H5146] The OBRY "NH" (nich) - Masoretic נֶחֱ (no'-akh), from the (ח)-"Holem"-"oh" in the (ו)-"Nun" position and (א)-"Patah"-"a" afterward; Koine Greek- νεε (nō-e). This word doesn't even have a vowel and it's natural pronunciation would be "Nich", like NHL "Nichol" thus "Nicholas" with the added "Greek" suffix. Yet both insert the compound vowel sound.

And a few more, just for good measure:

- [H7344] The OBRY "RHBUT" (rich-i-but) - Masoretic רֶחֶבֹּת (rekh-o-both), from the (ח)-"Holem"-"oh" in both (ה)-"Het" and (ו)-"Vav" positions; Koine Greek- ρωβωθ (ro-ōb-ōth). Both insert the "ō" vocalization twice... once, where there is NO visible vowel.

- [H87] The OBRY "ABRM" (ah-bi-rim) - Masoretic אַבְרָם (ab-rawm), from the (א)-"Patah"-"a" in the (א)-"Alef", (ש)-"Sheva"-"no vowel/stop syllable" in the (ב)-"Bet", and the (א)-"Qamats"-"ah" in the (ר)-"Resh" position; Koine Greek- αβραμ (ab-raam). Remember, Masoretic Hebrew has no vowels, so for the Koine to follow not only the two Masoretic vowels, but also the Sheva dictate is very remarkable indeed... again, these things aren't exceptions, but the rule.

- [H3478] The OBRY "YShRAL" (ee-shir-al) - Masoretic יִשְׂרָאֵל (yis-raw-ale), from the (א)-"Hireq"-"i" in the (י)-"Yod", the (ש)-"Sheva"-"no vowel/stop syllable" in the (ש)-"Sin", the (א)-"Qamats"-"ah" in the (ר)-"Resh", and the (א)-"Tsere"-"ey" in the (א)-"Alef" ; Koine Greek- ισραηλ (is-ra-el). This word doesn't have two vowels or letters present between R and L. No transliterator would think to provide two distinct vowels unless they were working from already pointed Masoretic Hebrew. And every sound and accent in Koine is exact to the Masorah, not the glyphs as they appear.

- [H5677] And my favorite: The OBRY "OBR" (oh-bir) - Masoretic עִבֵּר (ay'-ber), from the (ס)-"Tseret"- "ey" in (ע)-"Ayin" and (ס)-"Seghol"- "eh" in (ב)-"Bet" positions; Koine Greek- εβερ (e-ber). This, again, is a clear "o" vowel, and yet both texts render the first and second vocalizations the same way. Over and over and over... uncanny.

Then there are all the mistaken letters, which are specifically characteristic to the Masoretic text, and would not be mistaken were they looking at the original character. Many books on Biblical Hebrew admit the problem with similar looking letters. Here are the most obvious...

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. ב (so-called "Bet") | כ (so called "Kaf") | |
| 2. ג (so-called "Gimel") | נ (so called "Nun") | |
| 3. ה (so-called "He") | ח (so called "Oet/Chet") | ת (so called "Taw") |
| 4. ש (so-called "Sin") | שׁ (so called "Shin") | |
| 5. ם (so-called "Final Mem") | ס (so called "Samek") | |
| 6. ד (so-called "Dalet") | ר (so called "Resh") | |
| 7. צ (so-called "Tsade") | ע (so called "Ayin") | |
| 8. װ (so-called "Waw/Vav") | ז (so called "Zayin") | |
| 9. ן (so-called "Waw/Vav") | ן (so called "Final Nun") | |
| 10. ך (so-called "Final Kaf") | ך (so called "Final Nun") | |

And the same glyphs sets in OBRY...

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| 1. ב (B, so-called "Bet") | כ (K, so called "Kaf") | |
| 2. ג (G, so-called "Gimel") | נ (N, so called "Nun") | |
| 3. ה (E, so-called "He") | ח (H, so called "Oet/Chet") | ת (T, so called "Taw") |
| 4. ש (Sh, so-called "Sin") | ש (Sh, so called "Shin")- <u>difference dictated by Masorah</u> | |
| 5. ם (M, so-called "Mem") | ס (S, so called "Samek")- <u>no final form in OBRY</u> | |
| 6. ד (D, so-called "Dalet") | ר (R, so called "Resh") | |
| 7. צ (Ts, so-called "Tsade") | ע (O, so called "Ayin") | |
| 8. װ (U, so-called "Waw/Vav") | ז (Z, so called "Zayin") | |
| 9. ן (U, so-called "Waw/Vav") | ן (N, so called "Nun") - <u>no final form in OBRY</u> | |
| 10. ך (K, so-called "Kaf") | ך (N, so called "Nun") - <u>no final form in OBRY</u> | |

Even upon review of the artifacts and admitted variation charts, found in many (including Jewish reference sources), it's clear that these "letters" would not be mistaken in the old form. But when we compare the Septuagint to the Masoretic, we see some striking errors that can only be explained if it is a text derived not from pure-form OBRY, but from Masoretic "Hebrew", such as these words with mistaken letters.

- [H110] ADBAL (ah-dib-al) - Masoretic אֲדַבְּאֵל (ad-beh-ale); Koine Greek- ναβδεηλ (nab-de-el). Why the beginning N? Because this word is in a list and preceded by U-(ו)-"Vav"="and", but in Masoretic Hebrew the (ו)-"Vav" looks like (נ)"Nun". Thus, instead of אֲדַבְּאֵל ו "and Abdeel", in Koine, it is simply Nabdeel. The original form ף-U and ף-N, in OBRY, bear no such resemblance.

- [H2067] ZBDY (zi-bi-dee) - Masoretic זְבִדִי (zab-dee); Koine Greek- ζαμβρι/ζαβδι (zamb-ri/zab-di). We know this was an error because it also appears as "zabdi". Because of this, the Koine form has the same spelling as [H2174] ZMRY: a different name. The Masoretic Hebrew R-(ר)-"Resh" and D-(ד)-"Dalet" are very common mistaken letters... even in various Masoretic texts.

- [H5912] OKN (oh-kin) - Masoretic אֲכָן (aw-kawn); Koine Greek- αχαρ (a-char). The Masoretic N-(נ)-"Nun" and R-(ר)-"Resh" are also similar and often mistaken.

- [H2340] HUY (choo-ee) - Masoretic חִיָּי (khiv-vee); Koine Greek, either- ευαιων/χορραιον (e-va-ion/chor-rha-ion). Mistaken in one way when (ו)-"Vav" is taken as a (ר)-"Resh" in Jos 9:7 "Chor-rha-ion", and in the other ways E-(ה)-"He" and H-(ח)-"Het" are swapped, thus we see... ευαιων. Brenton confirms this error in his rendering of "Evite". In addition, there is also present the Upsilon being treated as v/f per the Masorah, which is very characteristic of Masoretic and is found frequently throughout the Septuagint.

The mistaken Koine letters, due to the problems with Masoretic Hebrew letters, are throughout the copies of the Greek Old Testament. That isn't where it ends though. There is also the O and G oddity. Many budding Bible students are perplexed when they come to find out that a large amount of names, they've come to know through the habit of the KJV (and subsequent versions) using Koine Greek transliterations, aren't spelled or even pronounced the same... even in Masoretic Hebrew. The reason for this is the similarity between the Masorah's O-(ע)-"Ayin" and the Koine Greek G-(γ)-"Gamma".

Now, some, like myself, may wonder why it only happens in certain instances and not in others. It's a good question, but then why is Omicron used sometimes and Omega others or Epsilon sometimes and Eta others? The answer, I believe, is confusion and progression, which come from taking a snapshot of a progression of a piece of work. If you look at a sculpture half done, you may not be able to explain a number of things, and this quote from From The Jewish Encyclopedia tells us exactly why we see so many anomalies in both Masoretic and Greek Old Testament texts, "It took centuries to produce a tolerable uniformity among all the circulating copies" - Vol 8, 1902, p366. So, though it may be difficult to explain why a criminal did or didn't do everything at a crime scene, evidence is still evidence, and the similarity of the specifically Masoretic O-(ע)-"Ayin" Greek G-(γ)-"Gamma" are irrefutable.

- [H7466] ROU (row-oo) became "ragau"... Gamma instead of Ayin (רעו - ραγαυ)

- [H5897] OYRD (oh-ee-rid) became "gaidad"... Gamma instead of Ayin (רֵיד - γαιδαδ), and also another R-(ר)-"Resh" to D-(ד)-"Dalet" switch.

- [H5804] OZE (oh-zeh) became "gaza"... Gamma instead of Ayin (זֵה - γαζης).

- [H6017] OMRE (oh-m-reh) became "gomorrah"... Gamma instead of Ayin (מרה - γομορρας).

- [H7484] ROME (row-meh) became "rhegma"... Gamma instead of Ayin (מֵה - ρεγμα).

- [H5891] OYPE (oh-ee-peh) became "gaepha"... Gamma instead of Ayin (יפה - γαιφα).

And we don't have near enough time to talk about how the Koine Greek Upsilon (υ) behaves just like the Masoretic Vav (ו), but it's there, it's noteworthy, and un mistakeable. Another time, I'm sure.

One has to wonder why the people responsible for shaping English into the language it is today, (via the KJV and derivatives), would choose all these terrible transliterations of Biblical names: ones that follow no rhyme or reason, but do follow one another in their inconsistencies. If they had even followed just the Masoretic dictated transliterations there would be less confusion, but they added insult to injury by not only using the Masorah for many misleading vowel sounds and lexicography, but then inserted all manner of Koine Greek abominations, so that an average English reader couldn't even tell the difference between Canaan [H3667] and Cainan [H7018]... distinctly different in OBRY: קַיִנָּן (ki-nōn) vs. קַיִנָּן (qi-nin).

But I've saved the best for last. If you weren't yet convinced the Koine Greek Septuagint was nothing more than an inconsistent copy of a developing version of a Masoretic text, there's the peculiar way the Koine translation follows the lexicon of the Masoretic text. Please understand, without a dictation of how the same exact word, spelled in the exact same way should be divided, one would naturally assume that when they saw, say, "ORB" (oh-rib), it would be the same concept, albeit perhaps it's means of expression may change dictated by syntax, it would still be the same concept, because it's the SAME WORD, consisting of the SAME GLYPHS.

The only difference in the 10 entries of ORB in Strong's (and variable amounts of entries in other, only slightly different, concordances and lexicons) is the dictation of the Masorah: either direct in vowel pointing and other accent marks or indirectly in marginal notes, which Masoretic texts are amply darkened with from beginning to end. And then, we are encouraged to believe the 70 (or 72, depending on whether we choose the Greek story or Latin title) all decided in unison just when to make ORB "surety" or "meddle" or "mingled" or "pledges" or "becometh" or "engaged" or "intermeddle" or "mortgaged" or "occupy" or "undertake" or "sweet" or "pleasure" or "evening" or "darkened" or "night" or "mingled" or "people" or "Arabia" or "days" or "woof" or "mixed" or "multitude" or "swarm" or "divers sorts of flies" or even "raven". And that everyone who saw the results rejoiced. And that doesn't come close to covering the slight variations of ORB and the multitude of definitions and renderings of this one word.

Yet... as we'll see, the Koine Greek Septuagint manages to get so many word parsings exactly the same as the Masoretic parsings dictate, and the Masoretic text not being developed until some 13 centuries later. That is AMAZING! Must be the hand of God! Just like how God inspired the King James translators to render both LBY [H3864] and PUTY [H6316], two different peoples, as "Libyans". With such an enormous quantity of word divisions present within the over 8,000 entries we see in Strong's today, due to the Masoretic cues, and with us knowing the text was indeed free of the Masorah when the Septuagint was conceived, (as it would have to be for the official story to be true), we are just going to have to believe the most amazing academic miracle in history happened in the making of the Septuagint... which also has contradictions and inconsistencies. That aside, here is the amazing proof that those 72 (or 70) Jews supernaturally knew all the different ways a word should be defined 13 centuries prior to the development of the Masoretic text.

Here we see various entries of the same word, ALE (ah-leh), which appears in Strong's from H422-H428:

- When it's [H422-23], appearing as אִלָּן (aw-law) in Masoretic, being used as "swear; adjure; oath; curse", it just so happens to be represented in the Septuagint as ἐξορκίζω (exorkizō), επικαταρατος (epikatapatos), αρα (ara), ρημα (rhēma), or ορκωμοσια (horkōmosia)... all being Koine words approximating "swear; adjure; oath; curse".

- When it's [H424], appearing as אֵילָן (ay-law) in Masoretic, being used as various trees: "oak; elm; tiel (lime tree)", it happens to be represented in the Septuagint as τερεμινθος (tereminthos), δρυς (drus), δενδρον (dendron), or various trees, including "oak; fir; terebinth".

- When it's [H425], appearing as אֵילָן (ay-law) in Masoretic, being transliterated as the proper noun "Elah", it is represented in the Septuagint as the proper noun ηλας (Helas), ηλα (Ela), αλα (Ala), all phonetic variations of ALE (a-leh) and used as a proper noun.

- Then, in [H427], appearing as אֵילָן (al-law) in Masoretic, we swing back to "oak" for one entry in Jos 24:26, and wouldn't you know it, the Septuagint has τερεμινθος (tereminthos), the Terebinth/turpentine tree. But Brenton thought "oak" would be better. Either way, that's still staying right on with the Koine trailing the fluctuating Masorah.

- In entry [H428], appearing as אֵלֵּלָּ (ale-leh) in Masoretic, and being used as "these; those; this, etc." (pronoun/determiner) over 700 times, it is represented in the Septuagint as the pronoun/determiner ουτος (hoytos), "these; those; this, etc." consistently, over 700 times.

Did I get lucky, just now, with ALE or is there a pattern of the Septuagint, supposedly composed 13 centuries before the application of the Masorah, following Masoretic word designations?

How about the word OShR (oh-shir), which appears in Strong's entries H6235-H6243...

- When it's [H6235], appearing as עֲשָׂרָה (eh'ser) in Masoretic, being used as the number "ten", it is also represented in the Septuagint as δεκα (deka), the number "ten".

- When it's [H6237], appearing as אֲשַׂר (aw-sar) in Masoretic, being used as, "to tithe", it is again represented in the Septuagint as αποδεκατω (apodekatoō), being used as, "to tithe".

These examples may seem like nit-picking until we get to...

- [H6238], appearing as אֲשַׂר (aw-shar) in Masoretic, being used as the verb, "to enrich", it is again represented in the Septuagint as πλουτιζω (ploutizō), the verb, "to enrich".

- And, [H6239], appearing as אֲשֶׁר (o-she) in Masoretic, being used as the noun, "riches", in the Septuagint it is πλουτος (ploutos), the noun, "riches".

Although it is becoming apparent how derivative the Septuagint is from the Masoretic, it must be understood why this is so significant... other than the obvious. It is because, if all texts are derivative of Masoretic texts, and the Masorah is not only not original, but even malicious in intent, we are looking at very significant issues in our entire worldview and Theology. One last example of Masoretic/Septuagint collusion that will help you see how our understanding is being sculpted by the people responsible for the Masoretic text, the Septuagint, the Samaritan Pentateuch, the Vulgate, the KJV, and all other derivative texts...

Is that of ADM (a-dim), found in Strong's entries H119-H124:

- [H119], appears as אָדָם (aw-dam) in Masoretic, and used as "red; ruddy", appears also in the Septuagint as πυρρος (purros), and ηρυθροδανω (heruthrodanoō), and used as "red" and "dye red".

- [H120], appears as אָדָם (aw-dam) in Masoretic, and used as the common noun "man", appears also in the Septuagint as ανθρωπος (anthrōpos), and used as the common noun "man".

- [H121], appears as אָדָם (aw-dam) in Masoretic, and used as the proper noun "Adam", appears also in the Septuagint as αδαμ (adam), and used as the proper noun "Adam".

- [H122], appears as אָדָם (aw-dome) in Masoretic, and used as "red; ruddy", appears also in the Septuagint as πυρρος (purros), and ερυθρος (eruthros), and used as "red; ruddy".

- [H124], appears as אָדָם (o-dem) in Masoretic, and used as "sardius", appears also in the Septuagint as σαρδιον (sardion)...

As you can see, like the visible and audible elements of art or architecture, these two text types have the same hands and minds behind both. And these manipulations of language between copies and translations of the Bible have bled over into the languages we speak today and specifically English. It's no mistake or happenstance that English has become the "lingua-franka" of the world. It's a language tailor-made to deceive, just like Masoretic Hebrew and Koine Greek. So, is there an alternative? Profetically, yes, there is... Note this prophecy to be manifested in the latter times from Zephaniah 3:9.

Zep 3:9 For then will I turn to the people a pure language, that they may all call upon the name of YEUE, to serve him with one consent.

OBRY (oh-bir-ee), as I call it, based on the terrifically obvious vowels and consonants that compose it, is the word that the Masoretically pronounced "Hebrew" is derived from. Using the theory that glyphs (not letters) are meaningful and consistent, we can test the Masorah's lexicon and many of its various claims. We can also see far better the correlations between it and our modern Gaelic-Germanic tongues in both sound and form. When we relieve the text of the Masorah, and understand that the Greek Septuagint's lexicon is also derived from this malicious imposition, we suddenly start seeing and hearing the appropriate etymology of our words:

The OBRY אָחֵר - "AHR" (ah-chir) is the English "after", old Germanic "achter"

The OBRY אָנִי - "ANE" (ah-neh) is the English "any"

The OBRY אִישׁ - "YSH" (eesh) is the English- "is", Gothic "ist"

The OBRY אֵת - "AT" (at), you guessed it, is the English "at"

The OBRY אִבֹּשׁ - "BSh" (bish) is the English "abash; bashful"

The OBRY אִשׁ - "DSh" (dish) is the English "dash".

And on and on and on go the comparisons.

The subject>object>verb structures and relationships were even more similar to OBRY in Gaelic and Germanic before the changes made to English in the 15-1600s. Books could, and have been, written on the similarities: "The Affinity Between the Hebrew Language and the Celtic" - Thomas Stratton

c.1872 and "English Derived from Hebrew" - R Govett c.1869 are just two examples, and going through Gothic/Germanic dictionaries, it is all too painfully clear where most English terms originate.

We also see narratives emerging, when looking at the text in it's pure OBRY, non Masorah influenced form, that challenge every current doctrine and dogma. After all, if Adam and Eve weren't "naked" [H6174] "ORUM" but "wise" [H6175] "ORUM", and if [H127] ADME isn't "earth" but the more obvious "mankind" (from the root ADM "primal resemblance; man" + E "generalization suffix"), we have a snowball effect that promises to change the entire realm of Systematic Theology. Those are just two examples of the 8,674 entries in Strong's Hebrew-Chaldee Lexicon.

With OBRY in use, and not the Masoretic corruption or it's Koine Greek derivative, we are free to examine not only all roots and cognates, but question even the parts of speech demanded by the Masoretic text. In OBRY, each glyph is meaningful and consistent, and a word is conceived by way of describing what a thing is, which is derived from what it does. This understanding allows us to see past all those "fetters" of the Masorah, and Septuagint, and all the derivative texts... even the "very best" of them. Allow me to briefly illustrate how this works.

Even with the Masorah in place, there is only so much that can be changed without everything becoming chaotic and incoherent. Therefore, a good number of root cognates have maintained their similar character. One such, readily apparent, root family we can see this in is BD-(𐤁𐤃). BD is found in it's simple two glyph or "bi-glyph" root form in 3 Strong's entries...

[H905] 𐤁𐤃 - BD (bid)- "stave; beside; branches; alone"
[H906] 𐤁𐤃 - BD (bid)- "linen (a split, separated garment)"
[H907] 𐤁𐤃 - BD (bid)- "lie; liar; parts (devisive)"
(all bearing the meaning of "apart")

and their cognates...

[H6&8] 𐤁𐤃𐤁 - ABD (a-bid)- "to perish; to destroy"
[H9-10] 𐤁𐤃𐤁𐤅 - ABDE (a-bid-eh)- "thing lost; destruction"
[H11-13] 𐤁𐤃𐤁𐤅𐤍 - ABDUN (a-bid-oon)- "destruction"
[H908] 𐤁𐤃𐤁 - BDA (bid-ah)- "devisive"
[H909-10] 𐤁𐤃𐤁 - BDD (bid-id)- "alone"
[H914-15] 𐤁𐤃𐤁𐤌 - BDL (bid-il)- "separate; divide (pull apart)"
[H919] 𐤁𐤃𐤁𐤓 - BDQ (bid-iq)- "breech"

It is apparent, from these few various examples of this cognate family, that the root BD bears the idea of something separate or apart. Let's take one more brief example...

[H2123] 𐤆𐤆 - ZZ (ziz)- "increase"

and a few cognates...

[H962] 𐤁𐤆𐤆 - BZZ (bi-ziz)- "a spoil; booty"
[H6339] 𐤓𐤆𐤆 - PZZ (pi-ziz)- "increase"

[H2123] זזז - ZYZ (z-eez)- "abundance; increase"
[H5807-8] זזז - OZUZ (ohz-ooz)- "strengthen; prevail"
[H5810] זזז - OZZ (ohz-iz)- "strengthen"

These are all different words, yet they all have some certain aspect of the ZZ root to them. So now one must begin to wonder why certain words, which have had so much bearing on our understanding of the Bible don't fit at all with their root family cognates. Words like [H3999] מבל - MBUL - "flood", used exclusively in the context of Noah's flood (and once in one psalm), doesn't fit with it's cognate family, which mostly have to do with being empty or hollow, not "filled". And, there are other, more suitable words, for a flooding. There are, after all, nine words in Masoretic Hebrew translated as "flood".

Words used to describe certain aspects of that entire story don't fit their root cognate families, like [H4305] מטר - MThR "rain", when all it's cognates have more to do with "binding". [H8392] תב - TBE "ark", again, found almost exclusively in context of Noah, has cognates that specify more "a pattern" than a box or container. With the Masorah in place, we cannot see these things. With it removed we can begin to glimpse the real story hidden for ages from us. And this system works over and over again...

We can determine that [H1808] דלת - DLYT (di-leet), deemed "branches" by the Masorah, is more appropriately "tendrils; vines" based on it's root cognates having to do with "low; hanging":

[H1802] דל - DLE (di-leh)- "draw (as water); dip; hang low"
[H1804] דלח - DLH (di-lich)- "to trouble (water with feet)"
[H1805] דל - DLY (di-lee)- "bucket (as in hanging low)"
[H1811-12] דלף - DLP (di-lip)- "dripping; pour out (a leak)"
[H1817] דלת - DLT (di-lit)- "door; leaves (of door)(which hangs)"

This gives us a very different understanding of the imagery in passages it is used in, as well as a better understanding of the flora of the land in which these events actually occurred.

We can determine that [H1516] ג/גה - GY/GA (gee/gah), deemed "valley" by the Masorah is more appropriately "plateau; raised place" based on it's root cognates having to do with "vertical; up":

[H1341-44] ג/גה - GA/GAE (gah/gah-eh)- "proud; triumph; increase"
[H1345-49] גה/גה/גה/גה - GAUE/GAUN/GAUT/GAYUN (gah-oo-eh/gah-oon/gah-oot/gah-ee-oon)- "proud; haughty; majesty; excellency"
[H1456-57] גה - GEE (gee)- "to cure; uplift"
[H1458&60] ג - GU (goo)- "back/spine; uprightness/erect"
[H1466] גה - GUE (goo-eh)- "pride; lifting"

This changes much of our understanding of topography and gives us a very different grasp of the Koine transliteration "Gehenna", (made up from GY+ENM), a raised place not a valley... which now seems just that much further from "Hell".

We can also tell there is something amiss if half the time RO (row) appears it is "evil" and the other half the times it appears it is "friend; neighbor". Or when ShA (shah) appears partly as "bearing down" and partly as "lifting up". Or when BA (bah) appears, at all times, as "come near to" except when appearing with ShMSh (shi-mish) "sun", it's then "go away from", as in "sunset".

At this point, let me clarify by saying I am not definitively saying "There was no flood of Noah", or "there is no evil", or that the Bible is completely black-to-white different than what we think." Instead, I'm pointing out that there are clues here to a very different story than we are aware of, from Genesis 1 forward. Someone has applied an agenda over the top of a story which behooves us to get to. This agenda is called "The Masorah" and all derivative texts, which as we've seen, are ALL texts.

So, we could continue to look for answers in the prison they've designed for our minds, or give up our preconceived notions and look at this for what it actually is... a con. This is not necessarily cause for panic, but for resolve. We can resolve ourselves to get to the bottom of this, and to once again understand the scriptures, and to pass that knowledge on to our children.

And though this is a matter in need of immediate attention, as YEUE said through Hosea "My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge. Because you have rejected knowledge, I will also reject you" (Hos 4:6), yet it is not a cause for hopelessness, as He DID preserve His word, in flesh and blood, in His people: Israel and Judah: "I will put my law in their inward parts, and I will write it in their hearts." (Jer 31:33). His word is still written upon His people, and if we do not reject the knowledge He is giving us, He will not reject us.

Part 2. Which People, What Land?

The world has been, for quite some time, in the powerful grip of a movement called "Zionism". Zionism is often broken into six parts... imagine that.

The subdivisions of Zionism are: 1- Secular, 2- Political, 3- Labor/Socialist, 4- Revisionist, 5- Cultural, and bringing up the rear at #6- Religious. And though the plans and actions of the Jews only give lip-service to the Religious aspect of Zionism, they get a lot of support, morally and financially. And why wouldn't they with such strong Biblical arguments for the people of Israel returning to their homeland at the end of the age?

One example of YEUE gathering Israel to their father Jacob's land is found in Deuteronomy 30:1-5:

Deu 30:1 It shall happen, when all these things have come on you, the blessing and the curse, which I have set before you, and you shall call them to mind among all the nations, where YEUE your God has driven you,

Deu 30:2 and return to YEUE your God, and obey his voice according to all that I command you today, you and your children, with all your heart, and with all your soul;

Deu 30:3 that then YEUE your God will release you from captivity, have compassion on you, and will return and gather you from all the peoples where YEUE your God has scattered you.

Deu 30:4 If your outcasts are in the uttermost parts of the heavens, from there YEUE your God will gather you, and from there he will bring you back.

Deu 30:5 YEUE your God will bring you into the land which your fathers possessed, and you will possess it. He will do you good, and increase your numbers more than your fathers.

And what of YEUSHo "Jesus" prophecy concerning the fig tree blooming? "Now from the fig tree learn this parable. When its branch has now become tender, and produces its leaves, you know that the summer is near. Even so you also, when you see all these things, know that it is near, even at the doors." -Mat 24:32-33. Yes, this is all fine and good, (if this fig tree indeed does represent Israel and the "blooming" does indeed represent the established nation-state in Palestine in 1948), except for two big problems.

- 1) The modern Jews don't fit the descriptions of Israel or Judah
- 2) Palestine doesn't fit the descriptions of Canaan (the land given to Abraham's blood descendants)

Part of the problem goes back to the Masoretes and sectarian translators. But much has to do with our lack of good study: study of the prophecies of the Bible; study of the peoples of the Bible; and studies of the geography of the Bible.

If we studied these three things, we would clearly see that all of Israel/Judah were to be changed fundamentally and turned, at heart, into an entirely different people than they once were: a people set apart at their core, reflecting the nature of their God and their Redeemer. Then, as they turned from the idols and false gods of the foreign nations they were in, they would be brought back to the land given to Jacob, and their perfecting would be completed therein.

First of all... the Jews have never experienced this change of heart. If anything, they grow worse. No one is kicked out of as many places as they: and that includes Sephardim and Ashkenazim, as well as the various Gypsies and other mixed bloods donning the "Jew" nomenclature. They blame "anti-Semitism" or because they are misunderstood. The truth is they prey on others. They steal, they rape (literally and as a culture), they lie by nature and justify it through Rabbinic writings, they torture (which is well documented though well hidden) Just reference "The Jew, the Gypsy, and El Islam" -Richard F Burton, or "Jewish Ritual Murder" -Hellmut Schramm, and the same title by Arnold Leese... they are an utterly lawless people. Has more than sufficient time not passed to fulfill the prophecy of Jeremiah 31:31-33 echoed in Heb 10:16-17 as follows?

Jer 31:31 "Behold, the days come," says YEUE, "that I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel, and with the house of Judah:

Jer 31:32 not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers in the day that I took them by the hand to bring them out of the land of Mitsrym; which covenant of mine they broke, although I was a husband to them," says YEUE.

Jer 31:33 "But this is the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel after those days," says YEUE: I will put my law in their inward parts, and I will write it in their heart. I will be their God, and they shall be my people.

You see, the caviat from the passage in Deu 30, quoted earlier, states, “and return to YEUE your God, and obey his voice according to all that I command you today, you and your children, with all your heart, and with all your soul”. The Jews are among the greatest number of atheists and sodomites and gangsters/criminals, subversives and law breakers, both of the Bible’s laws and the laws of man based on the Bible. And, did I mention how much they hate Christ... I mean really hate him, and his followers. Its against the Jew’s law to proselatize Christianity in the occupied territories in Palestine/Is-not-rael. There was not even a hint of repentance in them when, not YEUE, but Rothschild began thrusting them, kicking and screaming, into Palestine... a place where few Jews want to be to this day. And why don't they want to be there? Besides it not being the good land Canaan is described as in the Bible, there aren't any true Israelites there to exploit. That's why the majority of them remain with us, and will continue to do so for as long as we foolishly go our own way... for you see, they are not so much "jud" as in Judah as they are "jud" as in Judgment... on us.

There is a people though in the world today, who have begun the process of repentance, who are being fundamentally changed as a people so that they are distinct from all others. They are made up of several so called “European” tribes. They are called Celts, Germanics, Anglo-Saxons, and have been known as Gauls, Goths, Teutons, Alamani, Longobards, etc.

These people have been a blessing on all the earth. Their children feed, clothe, and give comfort and civilization to the world. They have been bought and sold: slaves in Europe; slaves abroad; slaves in America. They have seen harder times as a people than any people alive today. They are the most exploited people alive. The greatest number of stolen people in recent history have been from Ireland, the streets of England, and the Rhineland. See "They Were White and They Were Slaves" -Michael Hoffman. The armies of non "whites" invading Europe and America are paid for by wealth stolen from these people. The Great Illusion of the Soviet, Chinese, and Indian empires were only possible with food stolen from them and technology stolen from them.

According to 2019 FBI crime statistics, so called "whites" go missing in the US in numbers far exceeding all other races combined. "[2019 NCIC Missing Person and Unidentified Person Statistics](#)". David Paulides, the author of the prolific "Missing 411" books says Germans are far over-represented in strange cases of missing persons. And the World Wide trade in people, specifically our Israelite people, hasn't faded. These people, are the only people in all the world that do fit the profile of Israel/Judah. With as lawless as the Jews are, one would expect to see these great calamities befalling them, but again and again they prove to be the ones orchestrating the slave trade, corporate crime, street crime, prostitution, pornography (of every stripe)... vice upon vice, crime upon crime, and perpetrated, in large part, upon these people... the so-called Western European; the so-called Celt, Germanic, Anglo-Saxon, but in reality "Israel" and "Judah".

They even brought their language with them over all these ages. No other languages so closely resemble OBRY in root and grammatical form. You probably even know a few of these so-called “Western Europeans” with Biblical surnames: Ober, Judy, Brit, Abel, Bahr, Allen, Baird, even Machtemes (from MKTM (m-ki-tim), Strong's [H4387], “a fine work”, with the obligatory Greek "os" suffix, such as seen in loudaios, the Greek transliteration of "YEUDE"-Judah).

But don't many Jews have Biblical surnames like Cohen, Levi, and Simon? Yes, they do, but no people in history have been as guilty of changing and even stealing surnames as the Jews. The chapter

entitled “The Gentle Art of Changing Jewish Names” in Henry Ford’s “The International Jew” covers this broadly, but here are just a few examples:

Madansky became May
Linknhelt became Lincoln
Bronstein became Trotsky
Levy became Lytton
Simon became Schoenberg
Asher became Archer
Baruch became Benedict

I cannot stress enough the need for reading at least that chapter in Ford’s book. I have known a good number of fine Germans (specifically) that share names with Jews. The German form typically coming first and it being taken by one or more Jews, and since a great many German bloodlines have been eradicated in the last two centuries while Jews have not (and even proliferated), most now think names like Kaufman, Rosenthal, Feldman, and Behr/Baer/Bayer are decidedly Jewish, when, in fact, (like the -berg and -stein suffix), they were first distinctly German. This is not limited to Germans, but predominant among them... after all, if you are to convince the world you are Judah, steal every last characteristic of Judah and make it your own. A little digging will reveal all the Celtic, Anglo, Scandinavian, etc. names they’ve appointed themselves as well. The Jews that run Hollywood even made it a point to cast Germanic/Anglo/Celt actors as Jews and Jews as Germans in many of their big productions... talk about deception. I used to think Jews looked like Charlton Heston and Daniel Craig and that Germans looked like Werner Klemperer, Steven Berkoff, and many other Jews.

And why would that surprise anyone? A people willing to steal the identity of a whole nation are certainly willing to steal surnames as well. Besides, some of the most commonly appropriated Jewish surnames, such as Cohen and Levi would be rare in Israel/Judah. Cohen [H3547-48] KEN (k-en) was a title, not a name. Family names came mostly from sub-tribes, not from tribes like Levi. 1 Chronicles, chps. 1-7 covers many of the patrimonial names we would expect to find in the present day... that is, for all the Israelites who did not lose their tribal names via slavery. The Jews just tend to recycle the big “catchy” tribe names: Asher, Levi, Judah, Reuben, yet few Israelites were ever known by the 12 tribal names, but instead by sub tribal and family names, such as the Gileadites (found today in surnames like Gladys and Gwladies - being Celtic and German), sons of Machir (found today in surnames like MacKer and Maker - being Celtic and German), Sons of Manasseh (found today in surnames like Mensah and Minshew - being Celtic and German). Side note: in pure form OBRY, the name Levi would be LUY (Loo-ee), found in Lowe and Lewis (which many Jews have also heavily self-appropriated from Israelites).

So you see, though the Jew does everything in their power to convince the world they are Israel/Judah, the evidence is simply not there, and though most German/Celtic/Anglo peoples would never presume to imagine themselves as the Israel and Judah of the Bible, they are the only people who perfectly fit the profile.

There is also the land. The right people need to be regathered as one to the land of their fathers and have one king over them (David, thus the “Christ”). This is according to the Law, the Prophets, and the Psalms. This is a stand-out concept found in the Old Testament, so it's no surprise the Jews have

enjoyed the support they have since the "Great Con of 48". But, just as we have a people masquerading as Israel that cannot fit the profile, we also have a land masquerading as Israel that cannot fit the profile.

In the Bible, the name "Palestine" (or the Pale-"area" of Stine-"stone") is only mentioned in certain translations where the word PLSht (Pil-i-shet), the area of the PLShtYM (Pil-i-shet-eem)(not Philistines) appears, and even that very rarely. The land is that of Canaan. Palestine was never known as Canaan or any near derivative: "Palestine-Syria" is it's historic title. And though "Syria" appears in translations of the Bible, it is superimposed, or forced over the name ARM- "Arim". Arim is not Syria. Mitsrym is not Egypt. Mesopotamia is not, cannot be, PDN ARM- "Padanaram" and ARM NERYM- "Aramneharaim", while also the locale of Babel, Assyria, and Elam. These forced name alterations, like Jewish surnames, are not original or derived from scripture. They can sometimes be found in the Koine New Testament (which is it's own deep topic), but never in the much larger and broader Old Testament.

Palestine, for one thing, simply does not have the size to accommodate the great kingdoms of the Bible. When Joshua entered Canaan, at the beginning of over a millenia of Israel and Judah occupying the land, Israel had 2-3 million persons that were blood descendants of Jacob in their numbers. Deu 7:1 reads there were, "seven nations greater and mightier than thou" dwelling in Canaan. That makes about 15+ millions of those seven nations, which didn't count the so called "Philistines" and a number of other tribes and peoples mentioned from Numbers to 2 Chronicles and elsewhere. And most of these nations were allowed to stay at tribute. Palestine can barely support it's currently claimed 12 million, and that's through billions spent on water piping, purification, engineering, air conditioning, and imports... including MASSIVE grain imports. All of these things being quietly accomplished via the minds, labors, and wealth of so called "Western Europeans" or Israelite/Judahites.

Mark Twain, upon visiting and traveling through Palestine, could not help but remark over and over again how small it was. All other arguments that can and are being made by OBRY Projekt concerning the disparities between Biblical Canaan and Palestine are secondary to the plain fact that Palestine does not have the room for such a population at the start of the nation of Israel, not to mention her growth and the growth of other peoples, who were still there in Jesus' day. Mark Twain said that he had imagined a land the size of the United States when he was a boy, and how right he was, as the US is the only land wherein all the tribes of "Western Europeans" ergo Israel/Judah have come together as one people.

The patriarchs, and their Israelite descendants were keepers of cattle and flocks, but US national reports, as of the 1950s and 60s, when there were barely 2+ million people living in Palestine, repeatedly cited the fact that there wasn't enough grazing land then. How could there be for 3 million Israelites and 15+ million Canaanites? Cover that rocky, uneven land with good foreage and it's still inadequate, and even if the whole land was once magically full of foreage, the heat in the Levant is not condusive to cattle, and most foreaging ruminants, to thrive in. This is why the large dairy industry you may have heard of over there relies on large air-conditioned barns to keep the cattle in most, if not all, the time. The idea of "going down into Egypt" for cattlemen would be as repugnant as staying in Palestine, as Egypt has no cattle or horse industry for the same reason as none of the states in that region do... it's not the right environment. Nothing thrives there except citrus, tourism, and cotton. And the historic cotton industry there is a worthwhile investigation for another time.

Again, when Twain was there, as recorded in "The Innocents Abroad", he described, at length, the difficulty the horses and other pack animals had with the heat and environment, and he was in Lebanon, Damascus, and Northern Palestine during much of his complaining of the heat, and residents of both Palestine and Egypt have confirmed the conditions not conducive to keeping cattle and horses, but the Bible is full of cattle and horses. MTSRYM, which is claimed as Egypt, is a prime source for horses in the Bible, but in reality, Egypt is nothing of the sort. And yes, one can keep horses or cattle or flocks in the Levant, but not in the numbers we see in the Bible, because the Levant is not, for these animals and many other seen in the Bible, a place conducive to thriving. It's simply the wrong environment for so much of the flora and fauna described in the bible.

Furthermore, and I detail this in episodes 12 and 13 of "The OBRY Hour(s)", (back to the language topic), if we simply translate the word BA or "BUA" as it's entered into Strong's [H935], consistently, the Great Sea, which is said to be the Mediterranean, is to the East of Canaan, not the West, and that alone knocks Palestine right out of the running: Jos 1:4, "UOD EYM EGDUL MBUA EShMSH YEYE GBULKM" - "and unto the great sea, the coming of the sun, to be your border"... BA/BUA always means "coming to" not "going away".

So, we either believe the spurious claims of a people who are just the worst fit for Israel/Judah imaginable, in a land that is simply impossible to reconcile to the Canaan, Mitsrym, Arim, Seir, Hamath, Moab, Ammon, Gerar and many other locales and nations not mentioned herein, or we understand that the right people have been brought to the correct Canaan, ergo the common people of Western Europe were brought back to America. The evidence exists. The choice is yours. I employ you to not reject this knowledge, as few other Biblical ideas will make any sense without a proper understanding of the correct Israel, both the people and the land.

Part 3. Who's-story? His-story or Our-story?

Now we come to the part that really stumps most people. Bible history and secular history... the two often do not agree well. Or if they do, it's typically in the Jew's favor... as Israel. The thing to remember is history is written by the current powers that be... the winners. And, so far, the real Israel haven't been the winners. Remember, Israel were to be subjects of the non Israelite kingdoms for many years, as outlined in Daniel chapters 2 through 8, not controlling them, and the Jews currently control and co-control most kingdoms. And their blatant lawlessness and lack of Godly reproof in consideration, means that official history and reality aren't harmonizing. Official history and the Bible ARE at odds.

This should also lead us to wondering about the "Nobility" and governments of Europe vs. the "common" people. Were you under the impression that the "nobles" of those countries could ever possibly be Israelites in light of Daniel's prophecies? Did you imagine a unity between the Israelite slave and the "Black Nobility": the landlords who oppressed and used the "common" people often to the point of revolt, and then brought in the Jews and other aliens to scam and oppress them by proxy via pawnbrokers, tax-farmers, loan-sharks, gambling dens, vice, street crime, kidnapping, turning Israel's wives and daughters into drug-fueled or starvation-prostitutes, and Israel's sons, brothers, and fathers into debt-prisoners, Shanghaied sailors, and the sugar-coated "indentured servants"... the ruling class who fought the "commoners" against one another to reduce their numbers, all to maintain

power over them and their produce? Did you truly believe these two different peoples, as "white" as both were, were of the same tribe... of the same covenants? If so, then somehow Jer 31:33 just skipped right over them, because they certainly have not behaved as though they were the same people as the "commoners" they ruled.

Were you aware that the kings of the three great adversarial powers involved in WW1 (who sent our people to fight and kill one another), were all cousins: Kaiser Wilhelm II of Germany; King George V of England; and Tsar Nicholas II of Russia... and all 3 were descendants of King George II of England. If and when they ever truly did oppose one another and thus arrange battle, it was mere squabbling to increase their own shares, and what did it ever get the people but more dead and broken souls? Their apparent benevolence, when it rears its apparent head, was simply a placation of the people, who they knew could overrun them.

The Jews exploited this obvious divide and lack of kinship between the rulers and commoners in the revolutions of Europe. And though the kings, kaisers, or czars may have lost, it was, again, not the common people who won, but the Jews... the Jackals the nobles had used for so long to oppress the commoners simply decided they wanted a bigger share, and they got tired of being expelled, and the king (not the people) keeping all the wealth they had extracted from the people. Dan 7:7-8 reads:

Dan 7:7 "After this I saw in the night visions, and, behold, there was a fourth animal, awesome and powerful, and exceedingly strong. It had great iron teeth. It devoured and broke in pieces, and stamped the residue with its feet. It was different than all the animals that were before it. It had ten horns.

Dan 7:8 "I considered the horns, and behold, there came up among them another horn, a little one, before which three of the first horns were plucked up by the roots: and behold, in this horn were eyes like the eyes of a man, and a mouth speaking great things.

What is being described here when the little horn plucks up three before it by the roots? Is it the pope crushing the Germanic tribes of a far-gone mystery Europe, or is it the more recent world-changing revolutions prosecuted against the Tudor/Stewart dynasty in England, the Bourbon dynasty in France, and the Romanov dynasty in Russia? Each of these revolutions were executed against the most powerful and wealthy dynasties in Europe. Each was accompanied by a massive shift in wealth and power. Each had an apparent "people's champion" who ran roughshod over the "nobles" and the "commoners" alike. Each "people's champion" was servant to the Jew: Oliver Cromwell in England, Napoleon Bonaparte in France, and Vladimir Lenin in Russia. Each event was followed by wars and actions that decimated large populations of the common people... the Israelites. The wars these regimes waged were terribly effective in consolidating power into the hands of the Jews behind these "people's champions" and killing off untold scores of Celts, Anglos, and Germanics... Israelites and Judahites.

The more you find out about real European history, the more you should ask, "If I were king, or a noble, or a people's champion, would I treat my own people the way they did?" And upon answering that question, you must also ask, "Would these powers ever submit to telling me my true history, especially if I and my ancestors had all been their chattel and victims?" Would they ever admit that they are the fourth kingdom of Daniel 2 and 7 and that you had been a slave in Europe, the Americas, Australia, and abroad: that they traded you, sold your women to Turks, Mogols, and Mohammedans,

and sent your fathers to suffer or die in hostile lands for the expansion of their kingdoms? The likelihood of that happening is as slim as the Jews admitting they are not Israel/Judah.

But then there are secular timelines and Biblical misconceptions to contend with.

The problem with secular history, and especially with any Israelite Christian believing it, is that it doesn't match with what we can observe today or the Biblical record. For example, none of the establishment narratives concerning Rome or Greece can stand up under scrutiny. The greatest portion of source material we have on Rome is from a mystery figure (among so many) named... Titus Livy (a variation of Levi... common Jewish, not Israelite, surname). Also, this city called "Rome" in Italy, is not unlike the one called "Jerusalem" (previously Al Quds or Aliah) in Palestine, in that it is so utterly, bizarrely, pathetically small to have been the seat of such a great empire for so long. London is a Great City... Paris is a Great City... Moscow is a Great City... Rome is ... not.

The foundation of Rome can't be agreed upon, it's history can't be agreed upon, it's life and death can't be agreed upon, the "culture" of Rome is ubiquitous and cannot be pinned down to any certain people: is it Roman or Scythian or Sarmatian or Dacian? And concerning any archaeology that might seem to support these old establishment empires: one really must read Nadia Abu El-Haj' "Facts on the Ground" to understand just how archaeology is used to advance agendas, not facts. The chronology of ancient Rome has also been demonstrated to be a recycling of the chronology of medieval Rome in Anatoly Fomenko's "History: Fiction or Science" series by way of mathematically charting events and characters and showing their obvious overlap. And who really knows how accurate the history of even Medieval Rome was, as demonstrated by Emmet Scott in "A Guide to the Phantom Dark Age", and [newearth You Tube channel](#) as well as a number of others of similar stripe. Chronologies have been duplicated, borrowed, and destroyed concerning a number of European, Asian, and worldwide kingdoms.

Dan 7:24 As for the ten horns, ten kings will arise out of this kingdom. Another will arise after them; and he will be different than the former, and he will put down three kings.

Dan 7:25 He will speak words against the Most High, and will wear out the saints of the Most High. He will plan to change the times and the law;...

Numismatology can and has been proven as a discipline often faked, statues are re-labelled and mutilated, baseless claims are made concerning structures, mosaics, peoples, and events. The truth does not need an army of PHD-toting sophist-o-crats in order to keep it in tact... it just needs light to reveal it, and light is not a thing these people deal in. Records are kept under lock and key, documents are sequestered and guarded, "reveals" are redacted. Why is there even such a need for highly guarded state secrets anymore when it's known that these states are all in collusion anyways? Yes, that's right, the "secrets" are what they've really done to our detriment, and they cannot risk letting that out. Rome is a smokescreen made to hide the truth of what's really gone on in our more recent past than they wish to admit. Rome is the cloak that hides the reality of the true fourth beast/kingdom of Daniel 2 and 7.

But we do clearly see Rome in the Bible... don't we?

In the Bible, Rome (as we perceive it to have been), is found only in the New Testament and book of 1 Maccabees (a Greek interpolation and a far more Jewish than Judahite story). It appears a total of 23 times, as variations of [G4514-16] ῥωμη (Ro-meh) in the New Testament and 12 times in 1 Maccabees. It does not appear in Matthew, Mark, or the letters attributed to Peter, James, John, Jude, nor the Revelation, nor, besides 1 Maccabees, does it appear in the Septuagint as "Rome". Rome, and its variations in the New Testament, appear only in the works attributed to Luke and Paul, and the Gospel of John, and is largely represented in the book of Acts, and whomever the author was, they appear to have pulled from texts attributed to Flavius Josephus (see "The Beginnings of Christianity part 1" by Foakes-Jackson and Lake, among others)... Josephus being another dubious character to say the least. In addition, the Gospel of John (YUN) appears to be a different author than the letters of John and the Revelation, and uses phraseology throughout indicating it was written from a non Israelite perspective

Rome, in the Bible, and the world, has the appearance of being a title, rather than a specific city/nation. In the Old Testament, multiple places were referred to as RME "Rama", or a similar form of the root RM, as well as a number of entries of RME being translated as "deceiver". Also, the OBRV word RUM (room) or RUME (roo-meh) [H7311-17] is typically translated as "exalt; lift; haughty". Not unlike our way of saying "elites; rulers; higher-ups". This suggests the Roma we see, mostly in the Book of Acts and yes, the book of "Romans", could very easily have been a title, "capitol, seat, lords, oppressors" perhaps. And did I mention one of the sons of Cush, the son of Ham, the son of Noah was named ROME (pronounced "ro-meh" in OBRV), but the Masorah changes it to Raamah and the Septuagint as Rhegma? This was the great grandson of Noah, and would have been racially similar to the Israelites, not what we see with establishment Romans and Jews.

Indeed, if one follows through all the appearances of rōm in the Septuagint, not only are most of the simple words it is found within referring to strength: ἀρωμα (arōma) "of scent"; βρωμα (brōma) "of sustenance"; ορωμενον (orōme) "of visibility"; or οχυρωμα (ochurōma) "of structure- castle; prison". It is seen that the μ "Mu" replaces the σ/ς "Sigma" often as a word tense variation: πεπληρωσθαι (peplerōsthi) "to fill" πεπληρωμενος (peplerōmenos) "did fill"; στρωσω (strōsō) "to make; spread" στρωμα (strōma) "did make; spread"; τιτρωσκομενη (titrōskomeneh) "be wounded" τετρωμενη (tetrōmeneh) "was wounded". One could say, with as much as Greek has been fooled with via the Koine manifestation, that the word ῥωμη (rōmeh) is just a variation of a "forgotten" word ρως/ρωσ (rōs/roōs) - "Russ". It's odd that Moscow too has been known as "Rome". Are we truly seeing "Caesars of Rome", in the Bible, or are we seeing "Kaizars of Russ"?

And, according to the establishment's model, Rome's language was Latin was it not? Why, then, was everyone speaking "Greek"? Did Roman coins bear the image of Alexander... or Ptolemy... or did they bear the image of Caesar? Was Rome's great god Zeus or was it Jupiter? Yet, according to "their" story, Greek was the tongue allowed to be spoken in the Roman realm. According to popular belief, this "Rome" was ruling long enough in Judah for an entire generation (or two) to have learned Latin quite well. If this great, heavy-handed empire truly was imposing a language on their subjects why wouldn't it be their language? If they allowed their subjects to speak whatever they pleased why weren't the Judahites speaking their native tongue, OBRV? Well, they actually were, and the authentic New Testament documents were also initially written in OBRV. This is why the large amount of

transliterated words appear, like Sabbaton, Rabbi, Pascha, Satanos, Amen, Raka... you get the point. The Koine Greek transcribers did not know the best ways to translate those words. The bottom line though, is we have no "early" Latin texts or proof the Judahites spoke Latin at all. The only time we see "Latin" referred to is when it is translated from, again, the Greek word *ρομαικοισ* (*rōmaikois*) "Roma language". And that does not demand the tongue of a people specifically called "Romans", nor does it, in any way, indicate "Latin".

And to compound the bizarre idea that the brutal Romans were completely fine with everyone in "Judea" speaking Greek, the works of Luke and Paul suggest that's what everyone in the Latin world was speaking... Greek! Flavius Josephus, as the story goes, was an interpreter and negotiator for the Romans (who's language was Latin), then he was supposedly adopted into the Flavian dynasty and wrote his "histories of ..." not in Latin, the language of the Flavians who are said to have preserved his life and even adopted him, not even in his native, um "Hebrew", but in GREEK! I'm sorry, but if Rome did exist as we are told, they certainly were a weak empire not exerting either respect enough or reverence enough to command most of their subjects to trouble themselves enough to actually speak and write their language... Latin.

The thing is, even if you are hesitant to believe the alternatives to Rome offered by the few looking into this, you should at least be willing to admit that the establishment model is fraught with problems.

And then there's Greece.

The official history of Greece is an even more dismal abyss than Rome, and that's really saying something. The bulk of what is claimed to be known of Greece is by way of a compiler of mostly Athenian literature named Gregorovius. In his work entitled "The History of the City of Athens in the Middle Ages" he writes, "... hardly anything can serve as more surprising proof of the city's complete disappearance from the historical horizon than the very fact that one has to prove the actual existence of what used to be one of the greatest cities in a country that is historical for the most part". That is to say, without saying it, that it is more encumbant on those who claim it to be so to prove it's existence than for one such as myself to say "I don't believe it".

What was the proof again of this ancient Greece? Incomplete temples without foundations? Inconsistent column decay, indicating recent construction? Unmatching components, technologies, and narratives? Even the language called "Greek" does not appear to match the land called "Greece", but appears to have been forced on the population there, and the evidence is how they consistently speak their natural dialects in a "Greek" wrapper. The natural tongue of a people seems to always bleed through. Even the Jews of Greece, the "Romaniotes", spake a dialect called "Yevanic". "Yevanic" like Javan from YUN, not necessarily "Greece". Greek, as well as Germanic, both appear to have come from the East... the far East... India.

The word, in the Old Testament, forced to be "Greece" is YUN, [H3120-21], in Strong's. That is YUN as in "Jon/John", not Javan, (the V, again, is a Masoretic, followed by Koine and English, imposition. We just saw a good example in Yevanic for YUN). Those in what is called "Christian Identity" do not like to talk about how Horodotus, for one, tells us the Greeks were mixing with Arabs hundreds of years BC, nor that many artifacts found there indicate an ever-present race-mixing. Any people who mixes like

that cannot rise to the prominence that the Biblical YUN did organically... as in, without hidden factions guiding them and making them appear greater than they actually are, or making a people who don't qualify as a Biblical nation seem as though they are one, which is exactly what is going on all over the world today, and for some time, in non Adamic nations.

Collier, in one of the oldest extent reference books, which still had the real, factual information bleeding through a bit, tells us the ruling class of Ancient India were known as "Johannes" or "Johns". These people were Adamic, or "white", not the variety of "brown" we see today. In addition, many older sources cannot decide which part of East Asia they want to call India or "Inde", as so much of the land mass seemed to be YN-DE, like on the 1459 Apianus map, wherein nearly all of Asia is labeled as India.

Now, hold on tight, as this is going to get very complex for a minute, and I do apologize, but honestly, I did not do this... I am merely trying to unravel it. Please do review this multiple times if necessary.

The suffix 'de' is an ancient "Greek" suffix meaning "to; by way of" (like Cana-de - by way of Cana) and it's usage is not unlike the Latin prefix "De" being "from; of" such as we find later in the Hessianized term Deutsch, being made up of De-ut-sche "of UDE-os" or "of Judah". Also, the U is the most common letter/glyph to be dropped phonetically from OBRY/"Hebrew" and would become virtually indistinguishable from the Iota in "Greek" phonetics, and Iota is the common replacement for the "yod" or Y in OBRY... so, replacing Y with Iota, drop the U, add "de" and what you have is Inde, or later "India". The "ia" suffix, surprisingly enough, is a common "Aramaic" suffix. "Aramaic" in the "Assyrian" character being the official language of the Rabbis until quite recently.

Back to- Greece, Javan, or YUN. Ali Aliabadi, in his booklet, "On Martial Arts, Zen, and the Blue-Eyed, Red-Bearded Barbarian" tells us that Bohidharma, who was from India, and was the father of Shaolin Martial Arts and Zen Bhuddism, and was a red-haired, blue-eyed "White" man. His heavily cited booklet also tells us of the "White" civilizations preceding the Mongoloid in the Terram Basin and found still preserved in mummified form, though very marginal and hidden by the men invisibly running China.

So called "Greco-Roman" architecture and culture are found in many places that don't agree with "their" history. This so called "Grecian" culture and architecture can be readily found in civilizations paralleling it in popular chronology. As mentioned earlier, there are the Scythians, Sarmatians, and Dacians. The same "ancient" building techniques and decoration found in Greece are found in more recent structures in St Petersburg, Russia. And there is ample evidence of great civilizations all over the Far East, (and the world), populated by so called "Whites" (more properly Adamics/Noatics), and with names far more harmonious with the Bible's names than anything in Greece, Italy, or the whole of the Mediterranean.

Tartaria is like [H8959] TRShYSh (ti-ri-sheesh) "Tarshish; Tharshish; Tarsus".

Kathay (the old name for China) is as [H3794] KTY/KTYM (k-tee/kit-eem) "Kitim; Chittim".

Mogol is as [H4031] MGUG (m-goog).

Sacai/Scyth is as SKY (s-kee... [H5525], and possibly changed to SBA "Sabeans" [H7614, H7615, H5436] by the Masorah/Septuagint per the כ - כ similarity).

And India... including the names Inde, Hindu, Han, Jenghis, Chah Jehan, and the Johannes mentioned are all as YUN (y-oon).

The 17th century author Bernier even called "Sanscrit" Hanscrit. The H heavy names are common when these things are Hellenized. Without the H, it's lanscrit: lan being a phonetic variation of YUN, and the Gaelic form of Johannes or Jon.

What is terrifically ironic is that the only culture in Greece anyone could hope to link to the name YUN, from the Bible, were the "Ionians" whose history, like the rest of the "ancient" Greek tribes, is highly dubious and whose name alone is said to have originated in Proto-Indo European... emphasis on the "Indo", as in Inde... India..... YUN.

YUN was the third great kingdom in the Bible succeeding PRS (Pir-is) and MDY (m-dee). Piris, by that time, being the superior to Midee. The prophecies in Daniel 8 (vs. 21-22) read as follows:

Dan 8:21 The rough male goat is the king of YUN. The great horn that is between his eyes is the first king.

Dan 8:22 As for that which was broken, in the place where four stood up, four kingdoms will stand up out of the nation, but not with his power.

Not only is there evidence of amazing Adamic kingdoms in East Asia, but there is evidence, also, of a primary great king, and his empire being divided up after him.

In the East, or in "India", which constituted a great deal of East Asia, there was a great Adamic king which every source imaginable has gone out of their way to minimize as a fairy tale, or fabricate as non "white" when they do have to discuss him. His name was Prester John. The sparse information on Prester John and the various "horde" kingdoms that followed, was that he and they ruled not only Asia, but also the continent to the East of Inde... that would be America... the "West Indies" as it was known of for some time.

In the Bible, YUN took the realm from the greater [H6539-40] PRS- "Paris" and lesser [H4074, 77] MDY "Midee" as per Daniel 8. The various folklore existing on Prester John rumors that he defeated, for one, Persia (which is the name forced on the Biblical Piris). If the Roman Caesars and generals, according to "their" story, were said to take their titles from people they conquered, would that be unimaginable from the real Biblical conquerors? Thus as Julius Ceasar was dubbed "Germanicus" and Publius Cornelius Scipio became "Africanus", did not Ung Kahn (Prester John's alternate title, being the "priest of YUN"), upon defeating Piris, become PRS TAR YUN- "the YUN who overtook PRS" or "Prester John"?

If the events of the Bible transpired in, of all places, America, it being known as "The West Indies" is perfectly harmonious with what we see in Ancient East Asia, and the rumored exploits of this mysterious Prester John. It also explains why "White", specifically Gaul, Scyth, and Germanic, migrations come from the north and downward, as Azimuthal Equidistant maps illustrate the orientation of America to Europe and Asia... straight across the [Anian sea way](#))... "ANY" being often translated "navy" in the Old Testament, and from Collier circa 1688, "It's thought by some, that at the Streights of Anian it (America) is but 100 Leagues [300 miles] separated from Tartary". He was not referring to Alaska, as he covered that small gap, which is far less than 300 miles, in the same article.

And there are nearly twenty references in the Old Testament to the land and people, in general, being called "AMRY", as in AMRY-ca.

Where we Adamics are, civilization and sailing are. The only thing ever keeping us from the whole world is how many ships we can build and man... and that too never appears to have been a problem for us. Are we to believe America was really an "unknown" land over most of the course of history? Can you honestly believe a land this good escaped the attention of most Adamics for most of the timeline? It didn't escape the attention of whomever extracted an estimated 1.5 Billion pounds of copper from the ancient mines of upper Michigan. That project alone would take enormous time, ingenuity, machines, ships, and organization. But it is an official "mystery", or... a mystery to the slave class, at any rate.

The ancient Yuni, Tartars, and Mogols/Mongols, among the other great "hordes" of Asia, were absolutely "White" according to older sources such as:

- 1- "The Great Historical Geographical Genealogical and Poetical Dictionary" (vol 1&2) - Jeremy Collier AM, 1688, which was sourced from the following reference as well as many others, affirming a number of ancient great peoples as indeed Adamic or "White".
- 2- "History of the Late Revolution of the Empire of the Great Mogol" – F. Bernier, 1676, which among other things, reveals the original Mogols and Mohammedans (thus Islam), were again "White".
- 3- "The Library of Historic Characters and Famous Events" (vol 4) - William Finley press, 1894, showing Tamerlane, the progenitor of the Mogols, and possibly the very same man as Genghis Khan, as an obvious Adamic or "White" man.

And, of course they were "WHITE". None of us do our fair share of reading on ethnology, nor do we pay adequate attention to it today. OF COURSE they were "WHITE"! Yun and Magog were sons of Japheth... son of Noah. The great architecture and civilization that lies either in ruin or as tourist attractions packaged with fantasy stories all over the orient (such as the Great Wall of China) were OBVIOUSLY built by Adamics... or "Whites". The same people who commonly defecate in their streets and rivers most certainly did not build the Taj Mohal. Nor did the people who never had the semblance of an empire without Jesuits, Jews, and then American powers propping them up economically and intellectually, build the Great Wall or Forbidden City. The mere idea that non Adamics even have nations on their own is the greatest betrayal of what common sense we have left.

The evidence of Adamics or "Whites" is everywhere in ancient ruins. The ubiquity of brilliance found the world over is due to a common mind in a genetically common people... The Adamic or "White" man.

The "White" man built Sigiriya, Mesa Verde, Hampi, Giza, the Ellora Caves, Chichen Itza, Bagan, Tikal, Karnak, Borobudur, Teotihuacan, Petra, Machu Picchu, Angkor, the Mexico City Metropolitan Cathedral, All Saints Cathedral, Amiens Cathedral, Washington National Cathedral, the Cologne Cathedral, St. Paul's, Our Lady of Antwerp, Saint Sava, Basilica Cathedral of Our Lady of the Pillar, the Milan Cathedral, Norte Dame de Chartres, Cathedral of Saint John the Divine, the Lincoln Cathedral, the Citadel of Carcassonne, Alden Biesen Castle, Pena Palace, Chateau de Langeais, Windsor Castle, Bamburgh Castle, Lichtenstein Castle, the Castle of Menthon-Saint-Bernard, every capitol, every city, every great earthwork, every canal, every aquaduct, proper sanitation, roads, heating, cooling, fine art, sterilization, precise surgery, cameras, infrared, telescopes, airplanes, jets, submarines, tanning,

emroidery, cuisine, proper fermantation, gardening, Algebra, Trigonometry, Symbolism, the Trivium..... civilization IS decidedly WHITE.

The chief contradictions to what I am proposing come directly from the European power establishment (the ten horns minus 3 of Daniel 7) and the Jews (the little horn of the same). All publishing, media, and educational resources are owned by a combination of old world European elites and Jews, (the feet of iron and clay, Daniel 2), and their power over our perceptions was illustrated by the earlier cited portions of the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion. If we follow reason and evidence, we see the Adamic/"white" man in the ruins and echoes of great empires in East Asia, in the Americas, in the World.

And, if we follow the Bible's narrative, understanding who ADM/"Adam" is, who and what we are, and all the well-hidden evidence, we end up, inevitably, in Ancient America and afterward, (as captives) in East Asia and Europe. If we follow establishment history, "their" history, which imposes itself (sloppily, I might add), onto the Bible, we end up going in endless circles, and wind up in a backwater gravel-pit in the blistering sun of the Mediteranean... a place where Adamics do not thrive without much innovation, which was not necessary in the Bible, as the land was so good and so large.

So, on the one hand, we have the establishment history, full of holes and contradictions, written by the oppressive landlords and tyrants: the Black Nobility and Jews; the Consortium; the Cabal; the Elites, or whatever else you wish to call them. On the other, we have the more obvious and more Biblical history. Find the right history, based on Biblical descriptions, language, and prophecy, and you will find the right people, right places, right events, and it will all finally make sense. Many passages from the Bible will finally make sense, such as Daniel 8:23-25...

Dan 8:23 "In the latter time of their kingdom, when the transgressors have come to the full, a king of fierce face, and understanding dark sentences, will stand up.

Dan 8:24 His power will be mighty, but not by his own power. He will destroy awesomely, and will prosper in what he does. He will destroy the mighty ones and the holy people.

Dan 8:25 Through his policy he will cause deceit to prosper in his hand. He will magnify himself in his heart, and he will destroy many in their security. He will also stand up against the prince of princes; but he will be broken without hand.

4. What say the Law and Prophets?

Many people speculate endlessly about current and future events by reading the Biblical prophets. The prophets were speaking in their own time period, chiefly showing Israel/Judah their errors and admonishing them to turn back to the Law given at Mt. Horeb. Some of what they said was to be made manifest immediately, some after a time, some at the end. All of them, without exception, made it clear that Israel's blessings and perpetuity in the land were dependant on them following His Law.

The Law is the centerpiece of an agreement between YEUE "God" and all the fathers of the tribes of Israel after being delivered from bondage in Mitsrym (not Egypt). When that agreement was made, it was established forever.

The word OULM [H5769] is translated as “forever; everlasting; perpetual”. It appears 400+ times in the Old Testament. Hundreds of those times it is relating to laws, statutes, and judgments of Israel’s forefathers.

YEUE agreed to hold the descendants of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob/Israel to the agreement made at Horeb "OULM"-forever. This is the same word used in passages such as:

Gen 13:15 for I will give all the land which you see to you and to your offspring forever.

Gen 17:7 I will establish my covenant between me and you and your offspring after you throughout their generations for an everlasting covenant, to be a God to you and to your offspring after you.

Exo 31:16 Therefore the children of Israel shall keep the Sabbath, to observe the Sabbath throughout their generations, for a perpetual covenant

Deu 5:29 Oh that there were such a heart in them, that they would fear me, and keep all my commandments always, that it might be well with them, and with their children forever!

Deu 28:45 All these curses will come on you, and will pursue you, and overtake you, until you are destroyed; because you didn’t listen to YEUE your God’s voice, to keep his commandments and his statutes which he commanded you.

Deu 28:46 They will be for a sign and for a wonder to you and to your offspring forever.

And Jesus said:

Mat 5:17 Think not that I am come to destroy the law, or the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfil.

Mat 5:18 For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass from the law, till all be fulfilled.

Deuteronomy chapter 28 contains blessing and cursings promised for either the keeping of the Law or breaking of and forgetting the Law. Among the cursings are being ejected from the land, being enslaved, hated, maligned, and subjected to strange lands and customs, disease, famine, oppression, and far more.

The prophets continually warned Israel and Judah to turn from their lawlessness. They reiterated what is promised in Deu 28. Prophets were sent to the House of Israel before they were banished from the land, just as “Jesus” (YEUSHO) was sent to the House of Judah prior to Daniel 9 being fulfilled.

The Law is between Israel/Judah and YEUE forever, or as “Jesus” put it, “til all be fulfilled”.

Last I looked, there are still things that haven’t been fulfilled: “All” being, at least, everything spoken of by the prophets. The existence of the book of Revelation and Eze 35-39 alone illustrate this. So I’ll have to believe the Law, with its blessings and cursings, is still in effect between Israel/Judah and YEUE.

After the resurrection, John wrote, "Everyone who sins also commits lawlessness. Sin is lawlessness." (1 Jn 3:4). It doesn't sound like YEUSHO-"Jesus" came to abolish the Law, as he clearly, unmistakably said, or else there wouldn't still be sin.

Those who erroneously believe the Jews are Israel/Judah understand that Israel/Judah are still in covenant with YEUE... because it's forever. Yet, they think a people so lawless, anti-YEUE, and anti-Christ could still somehow be the covenant people spoken of in Jer 31. They tend to think this because they aren't studying or paying attention. They are trusting their preachers and teachers who are most often either lazy, well-paid, and compacent or terribly misguided by their own desires... or both. They are trusting the word of the establishment, who will do anything and tell them anything to retain their power and control. And though the Jews seem to have a semblance of Israel/Judah, upon closer examination this illusion fades.

The modern Jews have been banished from many countries, which one might interpret as "God's hardships", but we covered why they were brought in earlier, and they were typically kicked out because the monarchs just couldn't keep them there any longer without his/her subjects deposing him/her: it was either the monarch or the Jews, and the monarch tended to side with themselves. And though it's true they do have various maladies, (so one might think those as part of the curses promised), most are actually genetic, them being: Tay-Sachs Disease, Canavan, Niemann-Pick, Gaucher, Familial Dysautonomia, Bloom Syndrome, Fanconi anemia, Cystic Fibrosis and Mucopolysaccharidosis IV. And it's not out of the question that their practices of race mixing and long-term inbreeding could account for those. Yet they still have enjoyed privileged status in many countries, most specifically Western European and American, for a very long time, especially since the revolutions cited earlier. A people so lawless, if they were indeed the Israel/Judah of the Bible, would not be able to enjoy the financial success they have. Especially considering their financial gains have come from lawless activity.

They have not been enslaved, exploited, killed in mass numbers, oppressed, racked with sickness and famine, cursed with infertility, etc. All they have is spurious claims of such. The evidence doesn't support their claims of victimhood. The power of media and publishing control (along with the ADL and SPLC) works around the clock to stop those who expose their lies. Problems with believing Jewish stories of persecution arise due to the large amount of times they've been caught red-handed faking their own victimhood. Laird Wilcox' book "Crying Wolf" amply documents their actions.

Israel/Judah are so plainly obvious that it's painful to see them not understanding who they are and what their duties, under the Law, are. We still have covenants with our God. No matter how ancient, we still have valid agreements with YEUE our God.

The Law is still in effect, along with the promises of blessing and cursing. This is why we experience blessings the more lawful we are and cursings the more unlawful we are. The Euro monarchs and Jews have remained in power for ages, no matter how unlawful. But with us, it is a consistent pattern we have all experienced, in our communities and personal lives, and if more of us took the time to take stock of where we are and what our lives have become, compared to what they once were even 30 years ago, we'd recognize how bad it has truly become for us. Imagine how it will be for our children if not reversed.

Many preachers have preached many sermons about "God's" promises, but they have no agreement among them because they never are able to say two things: 1) these things apply to Israel, and 2) who Israel is. The promises contained in Deu 28, and echoed throughout the Bible, are concrete, are to Israel/Judah, and ARE FOREVER.

If I were the enemy of Israel/Judah, and I knew what the Bible said, specifically concerning the covenant of the Law, I would do everything in my power to teach all of them that the Law was done away with, while simultaneously encouraging them on the TV, the Internet, magazines, pop-culture books and so called "art", to be lawless. I would buy up seminaries and "Christian" publishers and teach them that "sinning is unfortunate, but don't worry... that's why there is grace", and I would definitely teach them that they are NOT the people of the covenants... just in case they should read and pay attention to the Bible enough to see that the covenants and consequential blessings and cursings do, indeed, still apply to Israel and Judah.

So, we could go on believing the non Biblical idea that the Law was done away with, or that it's for someone else, while we and our children suffer the penalties for Lawlessness, or we could commit ourselves to believing what the Bible actually says to the best of our ability, and do our best to learn what the Law says with the view of keeping it. We are indeed being cursed and we are indeed lawless. It only makes sense that the reverse would hold true.

Whatever anyone believes, or wants to believe, concerning the New Testament, Grace, Salvation, and the person and work of YEUSHO- "Jesus", none of it nullifies the specifics to the agreements made concerning the keeping of the Law. "Jesus" himself said as much. And, no matter what we currently know or don't know due to textual manipulation, YEUE said, through Hosea, "Because you have rejected knowledge, I will also reject you, that you may be no priest to me. Because you have forgotten your God's law, I will also forget your children." That passage began with, " Because you have rejected knowledge" not "Because you do not have knowledge". There is a difference. We don't have the perfect form of the Law before us as our fathers did, but we have a lot of evidence that, if sincerely followed, can lead us back to that understanding once again, and this time, with a heart to keep it.

Part 5. How it Comes Together

So, I would imagine everything you've heard herein is radically different than anything you've heard from anyone else on any of these topics, except for maybe the behavior of the Jews. You may be wondering how one peices all this together into a clear picture. Well, it isn't easy nor is it quick. This information can only be gotten with a good understanding of language, reality, peoples, time and place, what history they will give us, and most definately the books of the Bible. Sacrifice any of these things and you're at a disadvantage.

Unless we are willing to apply ourselves to understanding and critical thinking, none of these things will offer up their secrets. In saying that I am, by no means, minimizing spiritual revelation, but instead I am saying Noah was building and preparing for a century, Abraham had to wait 15 years for his promised son, Joseph was given his revelation of power as a teen and it was far past a decade, (with betrayals, years of slavery, and years of prison in between), before he saw it fulfilled, Sampson's parents did not see the fulfilment of his gifts until he grew, and after years of difficulties with him. The

point is, we have to work, sometimes very very long at something before we begin to get anywhere. I didn't have the first notion about any of this 7 years ago. I was as blank as anyone could be. These things come with time, work, application... and repetition.

But, how does this all come together? Like this:

The time and place you live in is not where and when you may think, and the Bible backs this up. There is the important part: The Bible backs this up. If you want to see a miracle... no problem... just go grab a copy of the Bible. All the time that has passed, all the men who'd like to see the Bible destroyed, all the other history they did destroy, and yet they couldn't destroy that. This is why they've gone to such lengths to destroy our understanding of what it contains, because they can't destroy it.

How, again, does the Bible confirm everything I've been saying? Besides everything I've quoted so far, let me run you through a quick synopsis:

The record of the Bible follows a very distinct creature: the Adam found in Genesis 2, and his descendants. His descendants are the only people given special attention to. This doesn't mean nothing else matters. It means there is a good and specific purpose for them, and the Bible is this record. The Bible reveals much about the Creator, YEUE, and his relationship to ADM (the Adamites), and then specifically the children of Jacob/Israel. They have a purpose to serve and are subject to the covenants. They will be the people YEUE uses to bless this world, but the process of refining them is quite long and eventful.

Because of Israel and Judah's disobedience, they have been subjected to enslavement, and that enslavement will only end some time after they are brought back from their distant captivity. It is their return that signals the beginning of the last cleansing process. Eze 36:25-28 reads:

Eze 36:25 I will sprinkle clean water on you, and you will be clean. I will cleanse you from all your filthiness, and from all your idols.

Eze 36:26 I will also give you a new heart, and I will put a new spirit within you. I will take away the stony heart out of your flesh, and I will give you a heart of flesh.

Eze 36:27 I will put my Spirit within you, and cause you to walk in my statutes. You will keep my ordinances and do them.

Eze 36:28 You will dwell in the land that I gave to your fathers. You will be my people, and I will be your God.

And 33-35:

Eze 36:33 Thus says the Lord YEUE: "In the day that I cleanse you from all your iniquities, I will cause the cities to be inhabited and the waste places will be built.

Eze 36:34 The land that was desolate will be tilled instead of being a desolation in the sight of all who passed by.

Eze 36:35 They will say, 'This land that was desolate has become like the garden of Eden. The waste, desolate, and ruined cities are fortified and inhabited.'

Then, after witnessing the "Valley of dry bones" coming back to life, in the following chapter, Ezekiel then writes, in chapter 37, verses 21-26...

Eze 37:21 ...Behold, I will take the children of Israel from among the nations, where they have gone, and will gather them on every side, and bring them into their own land.

Eze 37:22 I will make them one nation in the land, on the mountains of Israel. One king will be king to them all. They will no longer be two nations. They won't be divided into two kingdoms any more at all.

Eze 37:23 They won't defile themselves any more with their idols, nor with their detestable things, nor with any of their transgressions; but I will save them out of all their dwelling places, in which they have sinned, and will cleanse them. So they will be my people, and I will be their God.

Eze 37:24 My servant David will be king over them. They all will have one shepherd. They will also walk in my ordinances, and observe my statutes, and do them.

Eze 37:25 They will dwell in the land that I have given to Jacob my servant, in which your fathers lived. They will dwell therein, they, and their children, and their children's children, forever. David my servant will be their prince forever.

Eze 37:26 Moreover I will make a covenant of peace with them. It will be an everlasting covenant with them. I will place them, multiply them, and will set my sanctuary among them forever more.

The greatest emigration on record has been the peoples mentioned in this presentation coming from Europe to America: often as slaves or fleeing the dictators they lived under. Many peoples of the Western European nations came to America and made of it one nation. How many tribes were there? 13, because Joseph got 2 portions. How many colonies were there? 13. It's a little known fact that a great many of the cities we dwell in, to this day, on the East coast, were already there, but in ruins. We rebuilt them and inhabited them. We became one nation with our king David (being YEUSHO- "Jesus") over us. We are being removed from the pagan Catholicism of Europe and the misguided Judeo-Evangelicalism. We are now back in the land given to Jacob, where our fathers dwelt.

At this point, your two biggest problems are likely geographical questions and the nagging establishment history and chronology. This is why I spent the time on Greece and Rome vs YUN... and the last beast, which is not named in the Bible. The geography part is difficult, and I've already written and made presentations on that, with more to come, but know that Palestine is just the worst fit imaginable, in every way. It is neither a "good" nor a "broad" land as described in the Bible. It can't sustain large populations of itself as did the Canaan of the Bible. It does not have the large amount of waters and resources as described in the Bible. It doesn't have the amounts and diversities of trees, flora, and fauna as described in the Bible. It does not have the correct orientation to other nations as described in the Bible.

But what of the Great Sea to the West as mentioned in the Bible? Isn't that the Mediteranean? What of the Jordan, the Sea of Galilee, or the Dead Sea? What about the cities and artifacts, and archaeology? These certainly must be strong evidence for Palestine, are they not? There is no time here to go into so many details, but I can tell you a few things:

Two things that hold this Palestine model together so well are assumptions and lack of detail. For instance, the Mediterranean Sea is never mentioned. Nor is the Dead Sea. Nor is the Sea of Galilee... certainly not in the Old Testament, and KNRUT (kin-root) called "Chinnereth" (the place where that sea is) is a different region than the GLYL or "Galilee". If there were a sea in or near the GLYL or "Galilee" it may well have been a different body of water. The YRDN, called "Jordan", has not one verse connecting it to YM KNRUT, which is claimed to be the Sea of Galilee. There are also what appears to be inland bodies of water mistranslated as "west" since YM is both "sea" and "west". YM EMLH, which is translated as "Salt Sea" and is supposed as The Dead Sea, has, as its root LH, meaning "fresh", and is likely the word we get "loch" and "lake" from. There is no country with more proven phony artifacts than Palestine, and "Biblical" archaeology in Palestine is entirely based on assumptions and circular reasoning.

However, America has a great sea on both sides, as we see, for instance in the book of Joel. Palestine does not. America has the resources Palestine does not. America has the size Palestine does not. America has the waters and good soil Palestine does not. America has the topography required, Palestine only has some. America has the ruined cities that sat desolate until rebuilt. Palestine has ever been populated at the same basic size until the great con of '48. Palestine has only a few cities that appear to be maybe Biblical cities, whereas the tribe of Judah alone, in Joshua's day had over 100 cities listed in their territory... that's cities, often not counting suburbs and villages, which were often unnamed. The location we are told was Judah, in Palestine, is THE DESSERT. And, worst of all, for Palestine, the Great Sea wasn't to the West... as I've pointed out, it was towards the MBUA "coming" ShMSh "sun"... it was East. This would make the fact that we most heavily populated and planted America on the east coast of this continent fit all the prophecies just perfectly.

But, what about that great horrible empire to rise after YUN? If it wasn't Rome, who was it? Wasn't that fourth beast/nation occupying Canaan in YEUSHO's "Jesus" time? No. In Daniel's description of the little horn to rise up within the kingdoms coming from YUN, the third kingdom, he writes this in Chapter 8 verses 24-25...

Dan 8:24 His power will be mighty, but not by his own power. He will destroy awesomely, and will prosper in what he does. He will destroy the mighty ones and the holy people.

Dan 8:25 Through his policy he will cause deceit to prosper in his hand. He will magnify himself in his heart, and he will destroy many in their security. He will also stand up against the prince of princes; but he will be broken without hand.

Do you have a concept of someone else being the "Prince of Princes" other than YEUSHO? The Antiochus IV Epiphanes story, used to explain this passage, is as dubious and without substance as the idea of Greece and Rome. As with all "their" faked history, they had to use a phantom repeat for this to work. The chief reference to this establishment approved figure is the book of Macabees, a very Jewish, not Israelite, set of books, filled with a lot of highly questionable claims and not bearing the harmonious voice of YEUE found throughout the Law and Prophets. And, written in Koine Greek.

The phantom part is where they had to make up Antiochus doing to the "Jews" what this little horn out of YUN would do to Judah, as per Daniel 8. YEUSHO warned of what was coming in Mat 24-25. What he was talking about, which hadn't yet occurred, was the great event and destruction described in Daniel: the abomination that makes desolate was Judah's sin added up and coming up for

payment... it did not happen twice, but once, after YEUSHO's prophecy of Mat 24-25. The kingdom in power at that time, in YEUSHO's time, was YUN, and it was likely one of the kingdoms that came out of the greater YUN that was in control of the area of Judea. This was the kingdom who destroyed what was left of Judah and carried who and what was left away.

And after they carried us away... maybe centuries after, the power between Europe and Asia arose... the great beast with ten horns (Dan 7) and two legs (Dan 2). This was the kingdom who destroyed what was left of all we knew of ourselves and our land. And then, as per the prophecies already stated, and Rev 13:11-18, a final beast arose from the "land".

When "the land" is used, unspecifically, in the Old Testament, it means the land of our inheritance. After our time of enslavement to the fourth kingdom was finished, we were brought back to our father Jacob's land, America... the East coast specifically. Here is where we will be cleansed and given nationhood again. But not without struggle. Rev 13:11 reads:

Rev 13:11 I saw another beast coming up out of the earth (the land). He had two horns like a lamb, and he spoke like a dragon.

A great nation once again rose in this land peopled by Israel and Judah "two horns like a lamb", but not presided over, legislated by, or spoken for by Israel or Judah... "it spake as a dragon". Rev 13 goes on to describe the conditions herein and events to follow. It also reads, "He exercises all the authority of the first beast in his presence." in verse 12. In the interest of time, I'll cite just this from Dan 7:23-27, some of which was earlier cited, but here it is in context so there is no mistaking that the fourth beast described is the Eur-Asian power, and to offer hope as well:

Dan 7:23 "Thus he said, 'The fourth beast will be a fourth kingdom on earth, which will be different than all the kingdoms, and will devour the whole earth, and will tread it down, and break it in pieces.

Dan 7:24 As for the ten horns, ten kings will arise out of this kingdom. Another will arise after them; and he will be different than the former, and he will put down three kings.

Dan 7:25 He will speak words against the Most High, and will wear out the saints of the Most High. He will plan to change the times and the law; and they will be given into his hand until a time and times and half a time.

Dan 7:26 "But the judgment will be set, and they will take away his dominion, to consume and to destroy it to the end.

Dan 7:27 The kingdom and the dominion, and the greatness of the kingdoms under the whole sky, will be given to the people of the saints of the Most High. His kingdom is an everlasting kingdom, and all dominions will serve and obey him.'

The saints, in that passage, are Israel/Judah. The little horn, who is not so much a tribe but a gathering of tribes, destroyed three non Israelite tribes in Europe, via the revolutions they've incited. They make war on Israel/Judah and overcome them. These same peoples changed times and Laws. But their dominion will be taken away by the saints. Careful examination of the Bible and establishment history, and all the things that do not add up, will prove this description is what we've seen happen in just the last few hundred years, and is going on today... not something in the long distant, unattainable past.

That last beast is an abomination to truth. It exists right now, comprised of non Israelite Adamics and that little horn... who's identity I hope is now apparent. It stamps the residue (what's left of what we had and knew) with its feet. But the truth still exists: there is still evidence of the real history of the creation and its people. It takes much work to attain, but is worth all the effort. It will take asking the right questions and accepting the answers. Or, we can just keep going in those same old stale, establishment approved, dead-end circles. There is a choice.

I believe there is ample evidence herein to cause you to question all the traditions of language, history, geography, peoples, and chronology we've been force-fed in both the schools for the profane and the church buildings. I truly hope to see more bright minds and pure-hearted children of Jacob/Israel putting all the paradigms not just to the test, but to the right tests, and through diligence, hard work, and faith, attaining the right answers.

On the one hand is the often difficult truth, holding answers that can appear to be so far off... the demanding truth, that sometimes seems to only light a very short way... the magnificent truth, which shows the world for what it really is... or, on the other hand, this present darkness, wherein we can be comforted, entertained, well-spoken of, consoled, and lulled continually back to sleep? The way of truth is not easy... not in any way, and I know this intimately. But truth is its own reward, and it comes with empowerment. The people at the top know this, and that's why it's kept from us. We need the truth, and we need its application... we need this nightmare to stop. We all need to be doers and knowers and doers. I hope everything herein will help to light your way.

For the OBRY Projekt,
I'm Jon Machtemes
bidding you:
M'ER YEUE - "God speed"