# OPEC at 50: Oil Market Modeling and Projections

**Dermot Gately** 

Professor of Economics, New York University and Visiting Professor, King Saud University

March 30, 2011

Dermot.Gately@NYU.edu

# Overview

- Provide a quick retrospective of the world oil market for the past 50 years, reviewing the main aggregates: world oil demand, Non-OPEC supply, OPEC output and export levels, and crude oil price – disaggregating Non-OPEC into the OECD, the FSU, and the Rest of the World. We analyze how well OPEC did in achieving its own interests.
- Summarize and compare long-term projections to 2030, from Exxon-Mobil, BP, DOE, IEA, and OPEC: slowly growing demand, even slower growth in Non-OPEC supply, and a growing need for OPEC exports. We argue that projections of Non-OECD demand are too low, especially for the OPEC countries, and that higher prices (and/or slower income growth) will be needed in order to constrain demand growth to equal the slower growth of Non-OPEC supply and OPEC exports.

## 1. Retrospective: 1960-2010

#### OPEC and Non-OPEC, 1965-2009



## **OPEC and Non-OPEC**

Ignore OPEC's own oil consumption, which grew rapidly, from 1 mbd in 1965 to 8 mbd in 2009.

#### **Quick summary:**

- 1960-80: spectacular success
- 1981-85: kept price too high for too long, leading to disastrous loss of market share
- 1986-2004: two decades for OPEC to recover
- 2004-2011: big price increases needed to slow demand growth to equal slowly growing Non-OPEC & OPEC supply



The OECD and FSU consumed 86% of world oil in 1971, compared with only 61% today. Most of the demand reductions since 1973-74 were due to fuel-switching away from fuel oil (in electricity generation and space heating), especially in the OECD. In addition, the economic collapse of the Former Soviet Union (FSU) reduced their oil consumption substantially. <u>Neither of these can be repeated.</u>

## World oil demand, per-capita (liters/day)



## Two oil-price quintuplings: <u>much</u> smaller price-responsiveness of oil demand in 1998-2008 than in 1973-84

Dargay & Gately, "World oil demand's shift toward faster growing and less price-responsive products and regions ", *Energy Policy*, 2010.

		1973	1984	% change 1973-1984	1998	2008	% change 1998-2008
	Crude Oil Price (2007 \$/b)	\$16.01	\$96.62 (1980)	504%	\$17.32	\$97.26	461%
	OECD						
	Real Income per capita (Th.\$)	\$14.3	\$17.0	20%	\$22.7	\$27.3	20%
	Total Oil per capita (liters/day)	7.27	5.98	-19%	6.66	6.33	-3%
	Fuel Oil per capita (liters/day) (a)	3.28	1.89	-42%	1.52	1.06	-30%
	Fuel Oil share of Total OECD Oil	45%	32%		23%	17%	
	Transport Oil per capita	2.60	2.71	4%	3.45	3.71	8%
	product share of Total Oil, OECD	36%	45%		52%	59%	
	OECD share of Total World Oil	73%	63%		63%	56%	
	OECD Fuel Oil share of Total World Oil	33%	20%		14%	9%	
	Non-OECD						
	Real Income per capita (Th.\$)	\$2.3	\$2.9	27%	\$3.5	\$5.8	66%
	Total Oil per capita (liters/day)	0.78	0.94	20%	0.92	1.14	23%
	Residual Oil per capita (liters/day) *	0.27	0.30	12%	0.21	0.18	-17%
	Residual Oil share of Total Non-OECD Oil	32%	31%		23%	16%	
	Non-OECD share of Total World Oil	27%	37%		37%	44%	
	Non-OECD Residual Oil share of Total World Oil	9%	12%		8%	7%	
	World						
	Real Income per capita (Th.\$)	\$5.1	\$5.9	16%	\$7.2	\$9.8	35%
	Total Oil per capita (liters/day)	2.37	2.05	-13%	2.05	2.11	3%
К	Residual Oil per capita (liters/day) (b)	0.66	0.45	-32%	0.31	0.23	-24%
К	Residual Oil share of Total World Oil	28%	22%		15%	11%	

# 2. Long-term projections to 2030

Latest projections of prices and quantities published by the major institutions:

Exxon-Mobil, BP, DOE, IEA, and OPEC

## Exxon-Mobil(2009) Long-term Projections of Global Liquids Supply



King Saud University and KAPSARC

Dermot.Gately@NYU.edu

**Differences & Similarities in Projections:** 

- **1.** Demand projections are very similar for all.
- 2. IEA projects lower Non-OPEC supply than others, especially DOE. Hence the demand for OPEC exports is highest for IEA and lowest for DOE.
- **3.** OPEC projects lower prices than others.

	His	tory	Projections for year 2030					
	1973	2008	BP Outlook (2010)	Exxon- Mobil Outlook (2009)	OPEC Outlook (2010)	IEA (2010) Current Policies Scenario ("Ref.Case")	DOE Reference Case (2010)	
Demand (mbd): World	56.0	85.2	103	104	106	104	104	
OPEC	1.6	7.4	11	11	11	11	11	
Non-OPEC	54.4	77.8	89	90	95	93	93	
Production (mbd): World	58.5	82.0	103	104	106	104	104	
OPEC	29.9	35.6	49	47	48	54	44	
Non-OPEC	28.5	46.4	54	57	58	50	60	
OPEC Exports (mbd)	28.3	28.2	38	36	37	41	33	
as % of Non-OPEC Demand	0.5	0.4	43%	40%	39%	44%	36%	
Price (2009\$/b)	15.9	96.9	?	?	\$71	\$130	\$124	

#### DOE has consistently under-predicted Middle East oil consumption



2a. Why these long-term projections of demand (& thus of price) may be too low:



2a. Why these long-term projections of demand (& thus of price) may be too low:

## Saudi Arabia's Domestic Oil Demand

# Total Oil demand is growing faster than income.

# Non-Residual Oil demand is growing <u>twice</u> as fast as income.



King Saud University and KAPSARC

2a. Why these long-term projections of demand (& thus of price) may be too low: DOE projects OPEC oil consumption to grow to 10.8 mbd in 2030, less than half as fast as income – much more slowly than in the past



2a. Why these long-term projections of demand (& thus of price) may be too low: Projections of per-capita oil liquids demand to 2030 using DOE Ref. Case assumptions for crude oil prices & income growth: DOE projections (104 mbd in 2030)



King Saud University and KAPSARC

2a. Why these long-term projections of demand (& thus of price) may be too low: Projections of per-capita oil liquids demand to 2030 using DOE Ref. Case assumptions for crude oil prices & income growth: DOE projections (104 mbd in 2030) and Dargay-Gately projections (134 mbd)



King Saud University and KAPSARC

# 2b. How well have consensus long-term projections done in the past – say projections to 2020 from 2001 – how well did they do?

#### How well have consensus long-term projections done in the past – say projections to 2020 from 2001 – how well did they do? Projections as summarized in DOE *International Energy Outlook 2001*

1500001		2008	5	2010	201	5	2020
IEO2001							
Reference Case		20.83	3	21.37	21.8	39	22.41
High Price Case		26.04	4	26.66	28.2	23	28.42
Low Price Case		15.1	0	15.10	15.10		15.10
S&P (October 2000)		19.4	7	18.65	19.87		21.16
IEA (November 2000)		19.83	3	19.83	_		27.04
PEL (February 2000)		15.63	3	13.77	11.	75	-
PIRA (October 2000)		22.5	22.56	23.58	_	-	
WEFA (February 2000)		18.3	9	18.48	19.4	42	20.41
GRI (January 2000)		18.1	7	18.17	18.1	17	-
NRCan (April 1997)		21.24	4	21.24	21.2	24	21.24
DBAB (January 2001)		17.0	3	16.98	17.3	34	17.68
ble 15. Comparison of World (	Dil Product	tion Foreca	sts				
	Percent of World Total						
			Rest of			Rest of	
Forecast	OPEC	EE/FSU	World	OPEC	EE/FSU	World	Total
2020	50	12	20	50.2	45.4	44.0	440

US Dept. of Energy, International Energy Outlook 2001

King Saud University and KAPSARC

S&P<sup>2</sup>

DRAF

44

29

36

54.1

61.8

56.0

7.6

12.3

15.3

51.3

33.8

42.5

116.6

114.7

116.5

6

13

11

46

54

48

#### Projections to 2020 from 2001: how did they do?



Projections to 2020 from 2001: DOE Reference Case

In the words of a fellow New Yorker, John McEnroe, who has had his own issues with authority figures:

In the words of a fellow New Yorker, John McEnroe, who has had his own issues with authority figures:

"You can <u>not</u> be serious."

In the words of a fellow New Yorker, John McEnroe, who has had his own issues with authority figures:

#### "You can <u>not</u> be serious." Wimbledon, 1981

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ekQ\_Ja02gTY

Gately, "How Plausible is the Consensus Projection of Oil below \$25 and Gulf Output Doubling by 2020?", *Energy Journal*, 2001.

King Saud University and KAPSARC

Dermot.Gately@NYU.edu

What was wrong with the long-term projections from 2001 – in which OPEC would double its output to 60 mbd by 2020?

These institutions did <u>NO</u> analysis of whether the projected doubling of OPEC output levels made sense for OPEC.

- What incentive did OPEC have to expand its output as rapidly as projected? It was NEGATIVE!
- Might OPEC be better off if instead it did not expand its output so rapidly and just let price increase? Yes, definitely.

### See articles by Gately in *Energy Journal*:

(2001): "How Plausible is the Consensus Projection of Oil below \$25 and Gulf Output Doubling by 2020?"
(2004): "OPEC's Incentives for Faster Output Growth"
(2007): "What oil export levels should we expect from OPEC"

## **Conclusions about OPEC exports**

- We should not rely upon OPEC's export-share of non-OPEC demand increasing, or even remaining constant.
- We might not even be able to count upon OPEC being able to maintain its *level* of oil exports.
- OPEC's own oil consumption is likely to grow much faster than is projected by DOE and IEA, perhaps as fast as OPEC income. Now at 8 mbd (nearly 25% of OPEC output), it could grow to 18 mbd by 2030 if it grows as fast as OPEC income, as it has since 1987. That would be 40% to 50% of OPEC production by 2030, greatly constraining OPEC's ability to increase oil exports.

## **Conclusions about OPEC exports**

- We could see a future in which OPEC investment in capacity expansion is too slow and the world's need for OPEC oil goes unmet.
- Sharply higher prices that overshoot a long-term equilibrium path could be the short-term result, together with lower economic welfare worldwide.
- We could witness low-cost oil reserves in OPEC remaining underutilized while high-cost substitutes are over-utilized elsewhere – in economically wasteful cycles.

# Thank you