Transcranial magnetic stimulation(TMS)

Motor evoked potantials (MEP)

Dr.sc. Maja Rogić Vidaković Department of Neuroscience Laboratory for Human and Experimental Neurophysiology (LAHEN) School of Medicine, University of Split

Stimulation techniques – invasive Deep brain stimulation (DBS)

PARKINSON – DISEASE PATIENT- BEFORE IMPLANTATION



PARKINSON – DISEASE PATIENT- AFTER IMPLANTATION



Stimulation techniques – invasive Intraoperative neurophysiologic techniques in neurosurgery

TES (transcranial electric stimulation) i DCS (direct cortical stimulation)





Stimulation techniques – invasive Intraoperative neurophysiologic techniques in neurosurgery

DCS (direct cortical stimulation)



[Deletis V, Rogić M, Fernandez-Conejero I, Gabarros A, Jerončić. A Clinical Neurophysiology 2014]

Short historical overview...



1. st. b.n.e. Galen i Scribonius Largus u Rimu

Earliest stimulation "devices" were animals. Electric stingray and eel to treat headaches and other ailments.

1791. Luigi Galvani

The discovery of electricity - electric pulses drives the nervous system



Figure 2.6 Copper plate from Giovanni Aldini (1804) showing electrical stimulation experiments performed on human bodies following decapitation.

1804. Giovanni Aldini

Experiments with electric

- "therapy" for the treatment of
- psychosis and melancholy, as
- well as "electrical
- resuscitation"



1831. Michael Faraday

The discovery of electromagnetic induction principle on which are based all the neurophysiological effects of magnetic

stimulation



1850. Guillaume

Duchenne de Boulogne

First used an electric current for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes, "faradizing" - an

early form of tDCS



1874.

Robert Bartholow

The first recorded simulation of the human brain to the patient

Short historical overview...



1896. Arsene d'Arsonval

The first noticed inducing magnet phosphene using magnetic fields



1910. Silvanus Philips Thompson

AC replaced DC

- source. The device for
- inducing a
- magnetic
- phosphene.



1911. Magnusson i Stevens

Coils to treat headaches

50 ms



1980.

current

Merton & Morton

depolarization of

Demonstrated ability of

neurons (MEP - Motor

Evoked Potentials)

with Transcranial DC

1985. Anthony Barker, University of Sheffield, UK

The first magnetic stimulation of the brain - the birth of modern transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS)



2016. – Moderni uređaj za transkranijalnu magnetsku stimulaciju

SOMATIC MOTOR AND SENSORY REPRESENTATION IN THE CEREBRAL CORTEX OF MAN AS STUDIED BY ELECTRICAL STIMULATION.¹

BY WILDER PENFIELD AND EDWIN BOLDREY (MONTREAL).

TOPICAL HEADINGS

FIGURE OF REFERENCE

(1)	Introduction		••			
(2)	Historical note			•••		1, 2, 3
(3)	Clinical material		••			_
(4)	Method of analysis .		••	••	••	_
(5)	Illustrative case			••		4
(6)	Topographical analysis .		••			
(7)	Movements of the tongue					5
(8)	Sensation in the tongue .		••		••	6
(9)	Movement and sensation i	in the m	outh			7
(10)	Jaw movement and sensat	tion with	in the n	nouth		8
(11)	Movement and sensation	of the fa	ce			9
(12)	Swallowing and throat set	osation		••		10
(13)	Vocalization					11
(14)	Head sensation					
(15)	Movement of fingers .		••			12
(16)	Sensation in fingers .					13
(17)	Movement of hand, arm a	nd shou	lder			14
(18)	Sensation of hand, arm a	nd shou	lder			15
(19)	Movement of trunk and le	gs		••	••	16
(20)	Sensation in trunk .					17
(21)	Sensation in leg and foot .			••	••	18
(22)	Adversive movements of h	ead and	eyes	••		19
(23)	Sense of movement and d	esire to	move	••		20
(24)	Ipsilateral and bilateral m	novemen	t	••	••	
(25)	Autonomic responses .					
(26)	Discussion of illustrative	cases		••	• •	21, 22, 23, 24
(27)	Localization summary .					25, 26, 27, 28
(28)	Quality of cortical sensati	on		•• •		_
(29)	Cause of atypical response	8	••			_
(30)	Discussion		••			

(1) INTRODUCTION.

RECENT experimental work on the localization of motor function in the cerebral cortex has spurred us to make a complete analysis of the records of our patients (163 in all) upon each of whom we have carried out electrical exploration of the cortex under local anæsthesia.

¹ From the Montreal Neurological Institute and the Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery of McGill University, Montreal, Read before the American Neurological



FIG. 30—Sensory cortex. Black dots represent actual sensory responses in some part of the body. \odot = sensation in the eyes.



FIG. 29.—Motor cortex. Each black dot represents an actual motor response. The number 4 indicates where Area 4 extends from the anterior wall of the fissure on to the surface, according to Vogt. The remainder of the outer surface of the precentral gyrus is made up of Area 6a alpha. \bigcirc = conjugate movements of eyes to the opposite side or upward.

SINGLE- AND MULTIPLE-UNIT ANALYSIS OF CORTICAL STAGE OF PYRAMIDAL TRACT ACTIVATION*

HARRY D. PATTON AND VAHÉ E. AMASSIAN †

Department of Physiology and Biophysics, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle 5, Washington

(Received for publication October 26, 1953)







5.0

60

1 M.SEC



Stimulation of the cerebral cortex in the intact human subject

P. A. Merton & H. B. Morton

The National Hospital, Queen Square, London WC1N 3BG, UK



Motor evoked potantials recorded from hand muscle - MEP -

Fig. 1 Stimulation of the arm area of the motor cortex. The records shown are of action potentials from the contracting muscles in the forearm. Stimulation is at the start of the sweep. Four records are superimposed. The latency of responses was 16 ms. (Subject P.A.M.)

Nature Vol. 285 22 May 1980



Principles of MEP Interpretation

D-wave	Muscle MEP	Motor Status
unchanged	preserved	unchanged
unchanged	lost uni-or bilaterally	temporary motor deficit
30-50 % decrease	preserved	unchanged
30-50 % decrease	lost uni-or bilaterally	temporary motor deficit
>50 % decrease	lost bilaterally	long term motor deficit

THE LANCET

Volume 325, Issue 8437, 11 May 1985, Pages 1106–1107 Originally published as Volume 1, Issue 8437



Letters to the Editor

NON-INVASIVE MAGNETIC STIMULATION OF HUMAN MOTOR CORTEX

A.T. Barker^a, R. Jalinous^a, I.L. Freeston^b



Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS)









RJ Ilmoniemi, J Ruohonen. *Crit. Rev. Biomed. Eng.,* 1999 fppt.com

SAFETY of TMS – Contraindications

- Pacemakers and other electronic implants are contraindication to TMS
- Patients with a history of epilectic seizures have higher risk of TMS-induced seizures
- For complete list, consult the Operator's guide
- Physical force on metal objects within 20 cm of the coil
- It is not possible to directly affect the human heart (limited discharge energy, distance, fast pulse rise time of the magnetic field waveform)

CRITICAL IN NEUROSURGERY

- Avoiding areas that are crucial in everyday life
 - Motor areas
 - Sensory areas
 - Language areas
- Getting results fast
 - Part of clinical workflow



 Getting the same views prior to operation and during surgery

Responses to TMS

- Behavioral
- EMG, electromyography
- EEG, electroencephalography





MEP – motor evoked potential





MEP – motor evoked potential





MEP – motor evoked potential



Standard in preoperative neurosurgical mapping of patients





1973., left handed

AVM reg. frontalis lat. dex

location of AVM near precentral gyrus which controls the hand

fMRI + paradigme of contraction of the left hand to detect relation of AVM and primary motor cortex for hand representation







the tract of the right corticospinal tract close to the edges of vascular malformation - medioposterior edge of the AVM





Clinical Neurophysiology 123 (2012) 2205-2211



Representation of cricothyroid muscles at the primary motor cortex (M1) in healthy subjects, mapped by navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation (nTMS)

Josep Espadaler^{a,1}, Maja Rogić^{b,1}, Vedran Deletis^{b,*,1}, Alba Leon^{a,1}, Cesar Quijada^a, Gerardo Conesa^c

* Department of Clinical Neurophysiology, Hospital Del Mar, Barcelona, Spain

^b Laboratory for Human and Experimental Neurophysiology (LAHEN), School of Medicine, University of Split, Split, Croatia

^cDepartment of Neurosurgery, Hospital Del Mar, Barcelona, Spain







Inducing transient language disruptions by mapping of Broca's area with modified patterned repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation protocol

Clinical article

MAJA ROGIĆ, M.Sc., PH.D.,¹ VEDRAN DELETIS, M.D., PH.D.,^{1,2} and Isabel Fernández-Conejero, M.D.³

¹Laboratory for Human and Experimental Neurophysiology, School of Medicine, University of Split, Croatia; ²Department for Intraoperative Neurophysiology, Roosevelt Hospital, New York, New York; and ³Intraoperative Neurophysiology Unit, University Hospital Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain

Object. Until now there has been no reliable stimulation protocol for inducing transient language disruptions while mapping Broca's area. Despite the promising data of only a few studies in which speech arrest and language disturbances have been induced, certain concerns have been raised. The purpose of this study was to map Broca's area by using event-related navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation (nTMS) to generate a modified patterned nTMS protocol.

Methods. Eleven right-handed subjects underwent nTMS to Broca's area while engaged in a visual object-naming task. Navigated TMS was triggered 300 msec after picture presentation. The modified patterned nTMS protocol consists of 4 stimuli with an interstimulus interval of 6 msec; 8 or 16 of those bursts were repeated with a burst repetition rate of 12 Hz. Prior to mapping of Broca's area, the primary motor cortices (M1) for hand and laryngeal muscles were mapped. The Euclidian distance on MRI was measured between cortical points eliciting transient language disruptions and M1 for the laryngeal muscle.

Results. On stimulating Broca's area, transient language disruptions were induced in all subjects. The mean Euclidian distance between cortical spots inducing transient language disruptions and M1 for the laryngeal muscle was 17.23 ± 4.73 mm.

Conclusions. The stimulation paradigm with the modified patterned nTMS protocol was shown to be promising and might gain more widespread use in speech localization in clinical and research applications.



TMS terapijski učinci (depresija, bol)

Patient with Brachial plexus lesion: fMRI-guided and NBStargeted rTMS evoked phantom sensations and pain relief.



Courtesy of Prof. J-P Lefaucheur. Hôpital Henri Mondor, Creteil, France