- 1		
1	LAW OFFICE OF	
2	Attorneys at Law	
3	ESQ. SBN	
4	Hesperia, CA 923545	
5	Telephone: Facsimile:	
6	Attorney for Plaintiff:	
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	an Individual	CASE NO.:
11	Plaintiff,	) JUDGE: ) DEP. No.:
12		
13	VS.	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION COMPLAINT FOR:
14	, a California Corporation;	1. SEXUAL HARASSMENT 2. RETALIATION
15	an individual and DOES 1-25	3. NEGLIGENT HIRING AND/OR
16	inclusive Defendant(s).	RETENTION
17		
18		
19	Plaintiff hereby	complains and alleges as follows:
20	GENERAL AND PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS	
21	1. Plaintiff	("Plaintiff" or " ") is, and at all
22	times mentioned was, an Individual entitled to protection under the Fair Employment and	
23	Housing Act, Government Code, Section §12940(j) and a resident of the County of Sar	
24	Bernardino, State of California.	
25	2. Defendant	("Defendant" or
26	i i	s, a California corporation, doing business in the
27	County of San Bernardino, State of California. Further, at all times relevant to this action, this	
28	Defendant was a Private Employer and subject to suit under the Fair Employment and Housing	

COMPLAINT

W

27

28

10.

Only a few days after, namely on August 3, 2015, Defendant

conduct indeed escalated, and he took the liberty to ask Plaintiff out for dinner and drinks.

exceed \$25,000.00 for costs of the suit, legal fees as provided under Government Code, for punitive damages as provided by law and for any other damages as the Court deems it appropriate under the facts of this case.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Retaliation, against Defendant

- 20. Plaintiff hereby refers to and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 5 of the General and Preliminary allegations and Paragraphs 6 through 19 of the First Cause of Action as though fully set forth herein.
- 21. Commencing on or about July 28, 2015, Plaintiff was subjected to inappropriate sexual harassment at the hands of Defendant, as plead in the preceding cause of action. Plaintiff refused to participate in such sexual exchange as a condition for a better job, better pay and benefits and as a result of her refusal to participate in such sexual conduct, she was denied employment for which otherwise she was qualified and she was promised she would be hired for.
- 22. As a result of her position and refusal to participate in sexual exchange, Plaintiff was not hired resulting in the loss of income and benefits to exceed \$25,000 and she has also suffered humiliation, embarrassment and self-stem resulting from the inappropriate actions requested as quid pro quo.
- 23. As such, Plaintiff prays for a Judgment against these defendants in an amount to exceed \$25,000.00 for costs of the suit, legal fees as provided under Government Code, for punitive damages as provided by law and for any other damages as the Court deems it appropriate under the facts of this case.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Hiring and/or Retention, against Defendant,

)

24. Plaintiff hereby refers to and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 5 of the General and Preliminary allegations and Paragraphs 6 through 23 of the First and Second Causes of Action as though fully set forth herein.

1	25. While it is unclear now long Defendant, had worked for Defendant,
2	at the time in which he came in contact with Plaintiff
3	but Defendant, told Plaintiff that he was the Agent in-charged of the hiring for his
4	employer, Co-Defendant, leading her to believe that
5	he had been employed for Defendant, for a long
6	period of time. Defendant, either failed to investigate
7	Defendant, before it hired him to be in-charged of the hiring of female employees or
8	it failed to supervise him while he performed his duties for, Defendant,
9	resulting in his inappropriate conduct towards Plaintiff as plead in the
10	preceding causes of action.
11	26. Defendant, is unfit to do the job which Defendant, R
12	has delegated on him in that, said position enables Defendant,
13	to harm and cause several types of injuries in the form of requests and demands for
14	sexual favors from prospective female employees similarly situated as Plaintiff. Since this
15	Defendant was probably employed by Defendant, and
16	they should have known or had a reason to know that Defendant, was incompetent
17	or unfit to do his job in a safe manner as to not to cause injury to any prospective employee.
18	27. Defendant, either condoned Defendant,
19	conduct or failed to use reasonable care to discover his ability to cause injury as that
20	which has been described in this lawsuit and as such, Defendant,
21	is and should be found liable to Plaintiff for its failure to protect her from actions as
22	those complained herein.
23	28. As such, Plaintiff prays for a Judgment against these defendants in an amount to
24	exceed \$25,000.00 for general and special damages as they may be proven at the time of costs of
25	the suit, legal fees as may be provided by law, and for any other relief as the Court deems it
26	appropriate under the facts of this case
27	
28	
- 1	

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays for judgment as follows:	
2	AS TO THE FIRST AND SECOND CAUSES OF ACTION:	
3	For general damages according to proof at the time of trial;	
4	2. For special damages according to proof at the time of trial;	
5	3. For costs of the suit;	
6	4. For punitive and exemplary damages in the amount of no less than \$150,000.00;	
7	5. For legal fees as provide under Government Code, and	
8	6. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.	
9		
10	AS TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION	
11	1. For a Judgment in the amount to exceed \$25,000.00 in the form of general a	
12	special damages suffered by Plaintiff;	
13	2. For costs of the suit;	
14	3. For reasonable legal fees;	
15	4. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.	
16	DATED: January 26, 2016  LAW OFFICE OF	
17		
18	By:	
19	Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff,	
20	Automey for Frankin,	
21		
22		
23	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.	
24	DATED: January 26, 2016 LAW OFFICE OF	
25		
26	By:	
27	Attorney for Plaintiff,	
28		