Given directly to thumphrup.

MEMORANDUN

CONRAIL

DATE 2-19-86 TO W.C. HUMPHREYS/CSS FROM J. A HAWLEY DAY



- MASONRY WALL SHT. 1 OFZ

CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO INSTAULNG VERTICAL REINFORCING IN THE BLOCK WALL BECAUSE OF THE FLEXIBILITY OF THE STEEL FRAME. THIS PLEXIBILITY ALLOWS LARGE DIFFERENTIAL MOVEMENT BETWEEN ROOF LEVEL AND COLUMN BASE.

AMERICAN BUILDINGS DESIGNS THEIR TYPICAL BUILDINGS FOR AN ALLOWABLE LATERAL DEPLECTION AT THE ROOF LEVEL OF 45. ARMCO ALLOWS 50. FOR A 30' EAVE HEIGHT THIS MEANS A LATERAL PEPLECTION OF 7-8" DUE TO DESIGN WIND. OUR TRACTOR TRAILER BUILDING IS PRUBABLY SOMEWHAT STIFFER SINCE WE INSISTED ON COLUMNS THAT WERE NOT BRACED AGAINST THE BLOCK WALLS.

EVEN SO, A DIFFERENTIAL DEPLECTION, BETWEEN TOP AND BOTTOM OF THE MASONRY WALL, OF THIS MAGNITURE COULD CAUSE SIGNIFICANT CRACKING. SUCH CRACKING WOULD SEVERELY

MEMORANDUM

DATE 2-19-86

CONRAIL

FROM



- MAKONRY WALL SHT. 2 OF 2

WEAKEN A MASONRY WALL WITHOUT VERTICAL REINFORCING.

THE NATIONAL CONCRETE MASONRY ASSOC.,

(NCMA), RECOMMENDS VERTICAL PENFORCING FOR

MASONRY WALLS SUPPORTED LATERALLY BY A

STEEL FRAME. THEY ARE IN THE PROCESS OF

PUTTING TOGETHER A REPORT FOR PUBLICATION.

THEY ARE FURNISHING US A PRELIMINARY COPY.

ARMED HAS BEEN WORKING WITH NCMA ON THIS PROBLEM. AS PER THEIR ENGINEERING OFFICE, THEY RECOMMEND LATERAL DEFLECTION LIMITS FOR "HARDWALL DESIGN" AS THEY CALL MASONRY WALL CONSTRUCTION.

WE CAN PROVIDE REINFORCENG PETAILS.

AMERICAN BUILDINGS SHOVED PROVIDE US

WITH ANTICIPATED ROOF LEVEL DEFLECTIONS.

G-4 R3 12-76 MEMORANDUM PRINTED IN U.S.A February 26, 1986 TO LOCATION H. J. Barnes F-1200 FROM LOCATION W.C. Humphreys F-1100 **SUBJECT** Columbus, Ohio - Intermodal Facility Garage Building's Masonry Walls (File: Location-350:GJ-77/JLJ) American Buildings was informed, at the beginning of the project,

American Buildings was informed, at the beginning of the project, that it was to design their frames to support the masonry walls, not have the masonry walls support the frames. This means that the frames are to have sufficient rigidity to resist any deflections that would create any kind of failure of masonry walls e.g. "significant cracking" as mentioned in the attached memo dated 2/19/86, from JAH/JFM.

Contrary to the attached memo of 2/19/86, this is not a "typical American Buildings building." Which brings up the question, did American Buildings design for their allowable deflection of H/45 as stated in the memo or have they adequately considered the design requirements to provide proper support of masonry walls to prevent any excessive movements?

Before any kind of design changes be considered, American Buildings should provide Conrail with the anticipated roof level deflections as mentioned in the attached memo of 2/19/86.

Attach.

cc: J. A. Hawley - F-1115