

Age of Truth TV – Mark Steele and Lucas Alexander

Criminal 5G Enterprise & Deadly Spiritual War

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8auuR8ffXng> and

<http://ageoftruth.tv/mark-steele-criminal-5g-enterprise-deadly-spiritual-war/>

22 December 2019, 1 hour 22 mins.

HIGHLIGHTS

5G is a conspiracy intended to depopulate the world. 5G is a weapon that will kill all biological life on this planet.

5G is a global agenda that overrides all city mayors and politicians in each country where 5G is deployed. Why are so many politicians around the world totally disregarding the concerns of the citizens? We have lost our democracy for something that is absolutely lethal.

5G is a spiritual war.

5G is a weapon. The proofs that 5G is a weapons system are (1) all its technical parameters, and (2) the compartmentalisation of its development and rollout and (3) the 5G antenna design that allows you to direct, focus and target the energy, and (4) the super-capacitors with massive capacity in the smart meters and the boards in the streetlights, which enable the use of an EMP weapon. 5G has nothing to do with telecommunications. 5G is long-range radar – a battlefield interrogation scanner and a weapon. The more 5G antennas, the more you can direct the energy – that's why 5G is a directed energy weapon (DEW). 5G is a collimated signal and breaches the ICNIRP guidelines, which is why it was never tested. It is a large basket of technologies, including autonomous vehicles, smart meters, smart cities, LED streetlights. 9/11 was a typical example of the use of an EMP weapon.

Antenna design for 5G. The micro-cells in the streetlights have two different designs: a flat-plate dielectric lens and a printed-on dielectric lens. Their purpose is to focus a signal at a target. Technologists have been told it's to focus a signal on an autonomous vehicle, but this is a smokescreen. With a dielectric lens antenna system you can see, scan and shoot people.

Children – protection of. Children should never be allowed to use screens until at least the age of 18 because their eye has a clear lens so they take in far more radiation and it is far more toxic to them. From about 18 to 20 years old, the cornea reduces the optical radiation hazard. Gaming headsets are lethal so virtual reality should be banned for children.

Climate change. The argument about CO2 reduction is a scam and has nothing to do with climate change. The whole gamut of 5G technologies, including LED streetlights, smart meters, etc. is being pushed by Extinction Rebellion, etc. as part of the UN "resilient cities" agenda. If you put more CO2 in the atmosphere, the trees will grow faster and you'll get more greenery, cooling the planet.

Contact address. Save Us Now at www.saveusnow.org.uk.

Crime against humanity. Testing technologies on human beings and in cityscapes is genocide, a crime against humanity in breach of the Nuremberg Code.

Electromagnetic pulse weapons (EMPs). Smart meters and the boards in the streetlights have super-capacitors with massive capacity. When the desired densification of smart devices in people's homes has been reached, the plan is to fire an EMP weapon to destroy towns, cities and/or people.

Energy requirements. A massive increase in energy would be needed to run the equipment and the data collection centres. Since neither exist, why are governments deploying 5G as fast as they possibly can?

Eyes – protection of. Protective eyewear is a must because electromagnetic radiation is now coming from many sources that put our eyes at great risk, including facial recognition, LED streetlights, computers, screens, tablets, in public places, on public transport, etc.

Facial Recognition is 5G and is basically a facial scanner, scanning your face with a class 1 cancer-causing radiation. You should wear protective eyewear. The police have it on top of their vehicles. Supermarkets have it. Some of the large shopping centres have been trialling them.

First documented use of 5G was in Germany. The technology was transferred to the US under Operation Paperclip, which secretly brought Nazi scientists into the US.

Frequencies used. 5G is operating in the sub gigahertz range of frequencies, which means it is battlefield radar. It is not using the millimetre waves that we are told it would use.

Health impacts. The Gateshead area has seen a 23% increase in stroke since the introduction of 5G on the streetlights. The cumulative damaging effect of LED streetlights will result in massive increases in death rates, cancer rates, and chronic diseases. If a sub gigahertz radar signal goes directly through your head to a 5G phone, you may have brain injuries, stroke, depending on the energy waveform that I'm putting through the phone.

Illegality. 5G is untested, unproven, and uninsurable. There has been no environmental impact analysis, no health impact analysis, and no public consultation. It's an illegal war on the people.

LED streetlights. The LED streetlights are part of a weapons programme. A weapon is something that's designed to do bodily harm. All artificial light should have a diffuser, but these LED streetlights do not. That is a blatant weapons deployment. The radiation they emit is toxic. LEDs all produce a larger proportion of the 450/460 nanometres. This is the blue portion of the spectrum, which is retinal cell toxic. They are all producing blue light, but have been doped with a phosphor-doped material to give the impression that they are white, and some with other chemicals to give the impression that they are cream-coloured. But this doping wears off over time so those light systems will become more toxic over the lifetime of the lights because they will emit more blue light radiation. The scanner in the streetlight has a 3D mapping capability, using beam waves to sweep your home at 800+ million pulses a second. It scans all of the technologies in your home, watching you and listening to you, and "backhauls" all the data.

Nuclear power stations. If the workers at even two or three nuclear power stations were killed, the reactors would overheat and drop down into the water table. If they did so, there would be tons of ionising radiation particulates in the atmosphere that would kill everything for several hundred thousand years.

Product standards. All products are built to a standard so there's something very nefarious about the many 5G products that have been shown to breach the standards by 500%.

Propaganda. The mainstream media are part of the government's propaganda tools designed to keep people asleep. Anybody who believes anything government says today must have something mentally wrong with them.

S.M.A.R.T stands for Secret Militarised Armaments in Residential Technology.

Safety. Everything that can possibly be hardwired should be hardwired. WiFi should be available only on-demand. Why is your mobile phone emitting radiation when it's not on?

Science. The results of the Ramazzini Institute study (10 years, the largest research project in Italy) showed in animal studies that non-ionising radiation causes significant DNA damage, leading to cancer. The results were confirmed by the US National Toxicology Program study in 2018.

Smart meters. Smart meters are experimental and illegal and a fire hazard. The design is compromised because, unlike analogue meters, the smart digital meters

have no surge arrester to take an energy surge to ground in order to prevent electrical appliances from bursting into flames. They have caused a large number of fires across the world. In the UK, the Grenfell Tower fire was a 5G smart fire. The proof of this is that so few bodies were recovered – they were vaporized. Smart meters use a lot of power to send communications about your consumption up to 160 thousand times a day.

Temperature increase. Microwave radiation in air increases the temperature – that is basic physics.

War on humanity. The idea that the millimetre waves of 5G antenna beams cannot travel a long distance is a deception by the telcos. They do travel quite a distance, but they don't travel very well through brickwork and concrete. The frequencies that are actually going to be used are in the sub gigahertz range, which have massive capacity to travel in the built-up urban environment and through brick and concrete. The real reason for them bringing so many into your cityscape is that their plan is to murder you. This is a spiritual war. Why would anybody want to kill all biological life? God's law states quite clearly: *you cannot be harmed.*

Weapons. HAARP is a weather modification weapon system. You can also use it to gather data at great distances by using what's called "over-the-horizon" weaponry.

WiFi at 2.5 GHz actually causes diabetes. WiFi in schools causes significant neurological damage. WiFi should be available only on-demand.



INTERVIEW

LA: Hello and welcome to this edition of Age of Truth TV. I'm Lucas Alexander in Copenhagen, Denmark. It's the 24 of November 2019 and our guest today is a controversial British gentleman who's been challenging the system, his local community and is now speaking out internationally about the dangers and health effects of rolling out 5G and electromagnetic radiation. He's a weapons expert and chief technical officer. Mark Steele.

LA: Mark Steele, it's wonderful to have you on the show and welcome to Denmark.

MS: Thanks for having me. It's been a nice trip here. A little bit cooler than what it is in the United Kingdom, but we've got it really wet at the moment, so the nice – the fresh cool air is a nice change.

LA: Yeah, and I hope we can still continue to have some really nice air here in Denmark. Because a lot of things are happening and you're talking about polluting the air. At least the frequencies flying, beaming through the air with 5G. And that's why you're here because there's a big demonstration today. And you're also doing a lecture here in Copenhagen. First of all, before we go into the questions, please tell the audience – you're sitting here looking a little bit like, let's just say, a white Ray Charles with your sunglasses on. Please just address that for a moment.

MS: I always wear protective eyewear. I wear it for a reason. The same as if you see anybody in forward-operating bases or Special Forces. They normally wear protective eyewear. And what they wear – because lots of people ask me about this. They wear these, right. You wear wraparound – these are mirror-coated, protective eyewear. Obviously, from the sun – the rays, the rays from the sun. The reason why you wear these – they actually repel a lot of the radiation that enters the eyes. The eyes are pretty sensitive; consequently I wear protective eyewear all the time, on screens, off screen. In fact, yesterday I was travelling through Schiphol Airport, and a number of the airports – Newcastle Airport, a lot of the airports actually have Facial Recognition now. Well, **Facial Recognition is actually 5G**. These are offset signals that beam with – **it's radar, it's scanning your face**. So anybody working in an airport where, you know, I mean, obviously, frequent fliers – they are significantly at risk. So a lot of people – because obviously this is getting into the winter now. They were looking at me pretty strange as I'm walking through the airport with these on. And I had one security guy actually said to me, "Why have you got those glasses on?" And I said, "Well, if you knew ..." Because I know all about the radar they have just put in in the airport. "And consequently, if you knew how dangerous that was, you'd have protective eyewear on as well".

LA: Well, you look a little bit like a secret agent, huh?

MS: Well, it's like somebody out the Matrix, isn't it? And let's be honest, this is the Matrix. You know, the whole sort of quanta, geometric system, you know. We are actually in the Matrix and this is part of a – quite another interesting conversation. But let's just stick to 5G today because that's complicated enough without getting people into the other information.

4.22

LA: So now we're just talking about your image and your protective glasses, there. Or whatever it is. Is it some kind of UV filter or what?

MS: These are – all the ABS – any sort of plastic lens. Not glass lens, plastic lenses. They reduce the optical radiation and the radiation impact. You see it's – it reflects a lot of the radiation back out and actually reduces the amount of radiation entering the eye. Some of the microwave radiation signals from the phased – the radar on the facial scanning systems, which are now ubiquitous. **You've got police got them in – you know – on the top of their vehicles. You've got – supermarkets have them – you know – some of the large shopping centres have been trialling them. Facial Recognition. Well, that's basically a scanner. They've actually got facial scanners, so they're scanning your face with a class 1 cancer-causing radiation. So why wouldn't you want to wear protective eyewear?** I wear protective eyewear all the time. It seems pretty obvious to me, but obviously I understand the technology.

LA: But they can still use that technology. Facial Recognition, even though you're wearing glasses, right?

MS: Oh, yeah.

LA: They can still see it's you.

MS: Yeah, yeah. But I'm wearing these glasses to protect myself. Obviously, you can't protect yourself totally, but you can protect yourself – at least you can protect your eyesight.

LA: How is 5G connected to Facial Recognition?

MS: Well, 5G, one of its technical parameters ... You've got to remember, **5G's a large basket of technologies, from autonomous vehicles, smart meters, smart cities.** That's all 5G. And how you define 5G ... The focus – the antenna design, where the antenna allows ... instead of, let's say, a 4G antenna, where the radiation travels away from the antenna. It's called “inverse square law”. Depending on the antenna's design, 5G is the complete opposite. **5G focuses the radiation. And that's why 5G, it's got nothing to do with telecommunications. It's a weapon. 5G, in all its technical parameters, it's a weapon.** It has nothing to do – I mean, people talk about 5G, these new 5G phones that are supposed to be coming. Well, unfortunately they've not arriving, are they? This is a smartphone, a normal phone. If I was to fire a 5G signal ... So, let's say, this has got a 5G antenna ... You've got a 5G antenna up here and you're gonna fire a collimated ... so this is a focused beam wave. If the antenna's over here, then obviously it hits the phone; it doesn't damage your head. **If I fire a sub gigahertz radar signal directly through your head to that phone. Believe me – brain injury would probably be the least of your concerns.** You might have a stroke. **I would damage – definitely damage your brain in some way, shape or form, depending obviously on the energy waveform that I'm putting through the phone.** But the higher data packet, the more energy it has to carry. So therefore you're pushing that through somebody's head. And the reason – the same technology that's being used on the battlefield. These offset phased signals – they're basically urban radar or they're battlefield radar. So it's battlefield radar signal systems – they're on the front of the tank. And that's the reason why a lot of troops now, where they've been in a battle zone, are coming home and committing suicide. They've actually got a physical brain injury. They've got a brain injury from the radiation, from the transmitters. Now ...

LA: Is this what they call “phased arrays”?

MS: Phased arrays, yeah.

LA: What are phased arrays? A lot of people who are listening to this will certainly not know what we're talking about.

MS: If I have a bank of elements. Now, I can have a bank of elements. You can actually have them packed very closely in a radiator, or you can actually have them quite a distance apart. Because, obviously, they can all calibrate each other. They can calibrate with each other so I can have them quite a distance apart. The ones that are further apart are potentially more lethal. **Because, obviously, I can bring that focus, the further it is apart, the more focus I can get, the more antennas I can use to actually create this focality. That's more lethal.** The units that you see where they're close together, they'll have a pack of small antennas. In fact, you'll see them, the flat packs, this is what a 5G antenna looks like. You'll see them up on the buildings. You'll see, like, a little flat base. And what they do, they're an offset signal. And what it's actually doing, it's causing what's called a beam wave. They're punched out beams. And it sweeps its environment. But it's actually sweeping with this pulsed beam wave. Now, they are extremely toxic, because you've got a beam wave that carries a lot more energy than a 4G transmitter ever did. You see, the difference with a 4G transmitter, you only have to move 10, 20, 30 metres away from it, obviously depending on how powerful it is. You only have to get a certain distance away from it, the radiation drops off significantly. Well, **5G is the opposite.** Depending on the antenna design, I can focus that signal up distance, and that's why **the sub gigahertz range was actually used for long-range radar.** Right? So long-range radar. Now, when I came across the fact that 5G's operating at the sub gigahertz range – and not the millimetre waves that we were being told about – that's when I became very, very suspicious because I knew it to be radar — **battlefield radar.**

9.54

LA: So just before we go into that. So phased arrays is a beam where you can actually steer the frequency ...?

MS: Steer the beam. They're beam waves.

LA: ... in a certain direction ...

MS: Yes.

LA: ... however you want it?

MS: Hm, mm.

LA: And what do you want to hit?

MS: It's a scanner. **It's a battlefield interrogation scanner, but it also doubles up as a weapon.** All right? I can subdue at certain signal frequency and pulse rates. I can subdue an enemy. I can pulse rate them and psychologically cause them to have some sort of issues. So there's lots of ways you can use these, not just as battlefield interrogation. They're weapons systems.

LA: But a lot of people – I mean most people – the official narrative, of course, tells us that it's just for a faster-running Internet connection. It's just the fifth generation of telecommunications and mobile frequencies and all of that. But you say it's a weapon. How can you actually explain that?

MS: It is *only* a weapon. The 1G, 2G, 3G and 4G, were telecommunication systems that are pretty toxic. And obviously we've seen massive increases in health collapse and the flying bird population, collapses in the flying pollinator population. Because anything that gets near those transmitters are at risk, alright? So if you've got these large, industrial WiFi units in schools ... I mean, I can tell you about WiFi. **WiFi 2.5 GHz actually causes diabetes.** So you've got a diabetic – the diabetes epidemic. The medical community haven't worked out that it's the WiFi in people's homes that's causing it. And **WiFi in schools, in particular, that's causing significant neurological damage.** However ...

LA: Do we know that WiFi, as well, causes health effects?

MS: Absolutely. There are tens of thousands of published, peer-reviewed papers, uncontested. What I mean by that – any paper that's written and published has to be peer-reviewed – they're peer-reviewed by other scientists in the field. So before they get published, they have to be peer-reviewed. They're published because they're scientific fact – as well as we know. Now, obviously, if somebody comes along and wants to contest that, then obviously there's a contest to see whether that paper's correct or not. These papers are uncontested. What's happened – you've got a number of papers that were – a number of scientific research documents that were produced by the industry that basically say that this stuff's not that dangerous. But then you've got all of this independent, published, peer-reviewed and uncontested work that says that it is. So the two main – there's three main papers that have just been recently published. There was the **Ramazzini Institute. 10 years, the largest research project in Italy, where they actually should in that research that non-ionizing radiation causes cancer in animal studies. The NTP [National Toxicology Program] study, 2016, it fully published in 2018, absolutely confirms the Ramazzini ...** Now this is an American, scientific research project paid for by the American government. So these are real, bona fide, top-of-the-range scientists in their field. **They also proved that non-ionizing radiation causes cancer in all of the studies.** What they also showed was **significant DNA damage.** So there was damage to the DNA in the rats and then where they went on to develop cancers. So this was shown that **the non-ionizing radiation at the non-thermal levels was significantly dangerous.** So much so that there's been a lot of calls by a number of scientists to actually have certain levels, the 1.6 watts per kilo, this is the FCC [US Federal Communications Commission] current level of radiation that can be emitted from a mobile device, to have it reduced. We've got the ICNIRP [International Commission on Non-ionising Radiation Protection] guidelines. Now these are guidelines only, I call them the "the pirates' code". Because a guideline is a guideline – it's a code. It's not a standard. Consequently, you've got all these governments across Europe all relying on the ICNIRP guidelines. Why? Why are they relying on something that doesn't actually have any validity, either to 5G – it most certainly has no validity to 5G because **5G is a collimated signal and actually breaches the**

guideline. That's why it was never tested. Because if you tested a 5G antenna, it would breach the ICNIRP guidelines. So it wasn't tested because they know it breaches it because it's a weapon system! They know, I know, it's a weapon system. And that's why they didn't even test it. The industry have admitted they haven't tested that – to the 5G antenna systems. And that is the reason why. Because they know, as I know. It's a weapon. It's not for your mobile communications at all. That is just a masquerade. It's the same masquerade as the LED streetlights that they are deploying right across the whole of Europe. They are part of a weapons programme.

15.08

LA: Let's get to the LED street lights in just a moment. We have seen an increase in cancer. I mean, a lot of people are getting cancer and it's increasingly getting worse and worse in the past 10 years. But it's very difficult to pinpoint, isn't it? That electromagnetic radiation is the *cause* of cancer.

15.31

MS: Everybody knows radiation causes cancer. We all know that. And obviously, this radiation, it's all about the cumulative – it's a cumulative, damaging effect, right. So the more you get, the more potentially you have to get cancer. That's just a given. Anybody who says otherwise either doesn't understand the science or are they just a little bit silly. A bit dim. But governments are pretty dim. So you've got governments and the problem with governments is they're in total denial. But the reason they're in denial is because somebody, somewhere has actually plotted and schemed to bring a technology to their people that is extremely dangerous. And this is what we're talking about with 5G. Now, for instance, there's recently, there's a court case in America. This is a Samsung – and Apple is the same. Now, obviously I've been in product development for 30-plus years. The devices, the smart devices, under independent tests, have been shown to emit 500% increase in the 1.6 watts per kilo. Now, the 1.6 watts per kilo, it's an FCC standard. What I'm gonna say to everybody: products are built to a *standard*. Like the windscreen in your vehicle. If I built – I'll just go and I'll get a glass factory, and I'll make some nice windscreens but they will not fit to the standard. You go out, somebody throws a stone or a pebble hits it and the whole thing shatters. You'd get lumps of glass stuff in your face. It would be an absolute disaster. Or the temperature changes slightly and the glass cracks because I haven't adhered to the standard in building that windscreen. It's the same as your petrol. It's the same as the tyres on your vehicle. **All products are built to a standard for a reason.** Because you've got a historical set of values to make sure that products are safe. So – really interesting: there's a current case going on in America, a class action case against Samsung and Apple that shows that the mobile devices, the smart devices, these devices actually are actually emitting 500% increase on the radiation outside of the standard, more than the FCC 1.6 watts per kilo, which is a thermal value, when you know that's not safe either. But this is 500% more. Now, how do you do that? How could I build a product ...? **Because you build a product to the standard. You don't build it outside it. So there's something very, very nefarious about these.**

18.04

LA: But you still have one though.

MS: I've got to have one. The problem with ...

LA: We've become addicted to the mobile phones, right? It's very convenient for our communication with people.

MS: Believe it or not. I've got a Nokia 6210, which was a fantastic phone. And obviously does emit quite a lot of radiation so it's not really safe. However, **these are lethal.** These are potentially – can be lethal.

LA: Why are they doing that? If they – if there's like, somebody orchestrating this from behind the scenes? Why are they actually implementing this addiction all over the world? Nobody can live without a mobile phone any more. Very few people, at least.

MS: Well, I can't. I can't operate without one. And the reason for that, I've tried put my chips into older phones and they don't work. So they only work in these smart phones. So it's basically not just addiction, but it's a necessity for me to communicate with people and get the information out. So I do have to have one.

19.09

LA: It's smart -- to make you addicted.

MS: Well, **smart – Secret Militarised Armaments in Residential Technology – that's what it means.** And “SMART” – the whole smart agenda is to bring more of this equipment into people's homes so you've got the capacity to harm. If I fill your home full of smart devices ... Smart meter, smart phone, smart fridge, smart cooker. SMART: this is the plan – all SMART. All with over-capacity, massive over-capacity, I can then remotely come in and I can turn ...because all these have got remote access points – all smart equipment has. I can come in and I can turn all of that equipment on all at the same time, remotely. Turn it all up, and I can then fire what's called an EMP, electromagnetic pulse. And if I fire an electromagnetic pulse and your building's full of this microwave radiation ... Well, you know what happened at Grenfell Tower? **Grenfell Tower burst into flames. That was a smart fire. That was a 5G fire.**

LA: Really?

MS: Grenfell Tower was a 5G fire. And **you know it was a 5G fire because there was no bodies. The bodies just weren't there.** Everybody was expecting to find hundreds of bodies. Wasn't very many remains left. Because **I can vaporize you with the same technology.** The same microwave radiation. You're a hydrocarbon built-based product. And if I fill you full of microwave radiation from all the smart devices ... smart meters, smart TVs ... everything smart. Bounce your molecular structure and then I fire an EMP, you vaporize. The same as, you know, a lot of the other structures that we see. There's other ways that EMP weapons can be used to bring down buildings ...

LA: Are you talking about what people talked about what happened on 9/11?

MS: 9/11. **9/11 was a typical example of an EMP weapon ...**

LA: So you're talking from a weapons expert point of view?

MS: Yeah.

LA: And what you're really getting into is DEW, right?

21.11

MS: Directed Energy Weapons.

LA: Directed Energy Weapons.

MS: Yeah. Directed energy weapons. I mean, the programme of directed energy weapons went on for years. Why people are in denial of it. I mean, anybody can go and look and dig out the Brunderman weapons programme. There's a – you know – there's a number of well-documented pieces of evidence that are actually in the – you can find them on the Internet. You may not be able to find them on Google, obviously, but you can find them on the Internet.

LA: So are you saying that directed energy weapons are connected to 5G?

MS: Directed energy weapons ... **5G IS a directed energy weapon!**

LA: How can we substantiate ...? How can you prove or give us some kind of evidence that this is actually true. Because, I think, a lot of people would like to know that.

MS: Well it ... the antenna *design* ... **The antenna design allows you to direct the energy.** Unlike the 4G transmitters, you direct the energy. Now, the more 5G antennas I have, the more I can do the directional – that's a directed energy weapon. That's 5G!

LA: That's incredible to hear that DEW is actually the same as 5G! So is that also, well, connected to HAARP? [High-Frequency Active Auroral Project]

22.30

MS: **HAARP is a weather modification weapon system.** Now obviously weather modification – if I come over a country and pour a lot of snow on it for about six months. And you can see how quickly you could degrade a country's ability to fight back. So obviously, **all weather modification – all been weaponized.** These are all very sophisticated weapons programmes. It's a bit like the aluminium in air. Now, a lot of people say that – you know – the chemtrailing's not real. Well, I can tell you chemtrails are real. It's how you actually use an over-the-horizon weapon systems. So if I have a ground-based radar and bounce it off the ionosphere. If I've got a lot of chemical in the air, a lot of aluminium in the air, then that allows me to actually be able to see better and get a better visualization of what's actually on the ground over the horizon. So the whole – putting chemicals in the air has been going on since – well, since probably the Sixties when – you know – when you were trying to track ICBMs [Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles] into areas or see what the other what your opposition's got on the ground. Because, obviously, the Russians don't want people to be able to spy on them or fly spy planes over. So there are other **ways of gathering data at great distances by using what's called “over-the-horizon” weaponry.**

23.42

LA: So it is connected to HAARP. It's connected to DEW and you also talk about chemtrails. But people who are flying these planes, they don't know that they're spraying chemtrails. They think it's contrails.

MS: They'll be told – well, the military planes, they will know what they are carrying. But it will be ... they'll think it's part of some data-gathering piece of kit. Obviously, they've signed up to the Official Secrets Act in their country so they can't really tell anybody.

LA: Why is all this happening? We talk about someone or something is behind all of this. Also this weapon that you call 5G and these electromagnetic frequencies coming from 5G. Who's behind?

MS: Well, I always like to call them “They”. Those who have enslaved you. Because that word fits. It's a lot deeper, you know. To understand what's really going on. **We're in a spiritual war.** There's a spiritual – it's not, you know, it's like I said, I always stick to the science and the technicalities, but what I can be basically positive about: **5G will kill all biological life on this planet.**

24.53

LA: But why would anybody want to do that? If it will affect them as well?

MS: Absolutely. Well, that's the issue that we have. It *will* affect them so that's why I'm saying, it's a spiritual war. It would have to be because you'd either have to be very, very stupid ... I mean, I was talking to a US ex-military contractor. And he was telling me about these sub – you know, these, you know, systems that they're building within the – you know – within the infrastructure in the US. And they're building underground, sort of, villages and towns and things that are for a certain number to survive whatever's coming. Now, what I said to him, I said, “Well, that's quite interesting. Would anybody go underground with an ideology that's trying to murder six billion of the world's population?” And he said, “Yeah, I see that point”. I said, “So really, you know, if you take people underground who have got wealth, you'd kill them first, obviously. It's a bit like when they were corralling people out of Europe to the camps. Well, tell them something like they're going on holiday, you know, they will probably be – I'm not sure that if anybody was being told that they were being taken out of the battle zone to save them ... but this could be the same plot.

LA: Some people speculate or think – because they have researched the Illuminati structure and New World Order agenda – saying – they're saying that the elite – this top faction of the military and the Cabal – they have underground cities in underground bases. Is that what you are referring to? Them hiding there if something like that is happening to – on the surface?

26.34

MS: Absolutely. Well, they've definitely got underground areas where they could possibly go and stay. But they could only stay for a certain length of time. And what I was saying to this particular chap: **if I take out all the nuclear power stations, so one or two nuclear power stations across the world, you only have to take two or three out. Where, you know, if you kill all the workers who maintain them, then you've got this issue with the reactors overheating and then reactor actually dropping down into the water table. And then, if that reactor hits a water table, you've got tons of, you know, ionising radiation particulates in the atmosphere. It'll kill absolutely everything for a few hundred thousand years.** So nobody's coming out of any camps. Those nuclear reactors are highly toxic. If that material gets into the atmosphere, it's really dangerous. Consequently, how do you survive that? So let's say you go underground in your little camp for a couple of years, when do you come out? You would die underground without getting natural sunlight so any – let's say – human biological structure, it's not gonna survive below ground for very long.

LA: It's like a doomsday, like an Armageddon prophecy here. And a lot of people will call you, or anybody talking about this a "conspiracy theorist". I'm sure you've heard that before. But why do you think that politicians are voting yes to this? This is happening all over the world and people – also the telecommunications industry – have implemented all of this. If they know that that these frequencies from 5G are so dangerous?

28.16

MS: The really interesting part – you've hit on a very, very important question and I want to cover that because it's really important. **How can you get a global agenda? A total global agenda that overrides all city mayors -- you know – politicians in each particular country, where you've got a deployment of equipment?** This rush: we are going to be the first, we're gonna ... How can you do that anywhere in the world when there's so much concern about 5G and about its potential to do harm? **How can you get so many politicians in all of the areas around the world, from New Zealand to Europe, Denmark, the United Kingdom, where they're shouting from the rooftops and totally, totally disregarding the concerns of the citizen?** The subjects and citizens around the world. They're totally disregarding their concerns -- and *real* concerns. The 160 thousand signatories to the 5G Space Appeal totally ignored. The 180 scientists, specialists in their field, by EHS – Devra Davis group who wrote to the United Nations and all the governments around the world about the existential threat from this type of radiation. And this science is proven. It is uncontested. So how do you do that? How can you get control of all these politicians right across the world? There has to be something pretty, pretty amazing and it's something a lot of the people don't understand. But the control of the political process, the democratic process that we've all fought for – our forefathers fought for. You've lost it. **You've lost your democracy and you've lost your democracy for something that is absolutely lethal.** 5G – I'm not a conspiracy theorist. They can call me a conspiracy theorist as much as they want. But what I'm gonna say: **there is a conspiracy to kill and harm the citizens of the West and the East. To depopulate the world.** There's a conspiracy. **I can prove it's a conspiracy because 5G is a weapons system in all its technical parameters. It is not – NOT – telecommunications.** It's communication from machine to machine. What I'm gonna say to people: this isn't about robots. Ionising radiation. I've seen robots in reactors that break down after 6 hours where the pneumatic systems break – the hardware breaks because the ionizing radiation is that toxic, it destroys the robot. So the robots don't live on this planet. You break down a couple of those nuclear power stations – believe me, they don't survive either. So the whole thing is a scam. Let's just prove that. Let's get away from the conspiracy and let's just prove this.

31.13

LA: And the politicians are not aware of the dangers, right? They don't even know what it is?

MS: The politicians, for whatever reason, they've either been compromised, got some very nasty imagery on their computers, very distasteful things that they've been up to. I'm not really sure what's happening, but they've totally lost their minds. The autonomous vehicle and the autonomous – this 3D mapping. If I was to 3D map the cityscape ... so they've built all this equipment—there's radar in the cityscape, mainly in the street lighting. It maps its environment. That data has to be taken somewhere and analysed. Because obviously, what would be the point of doing it, right? Then what's the financial point? I can tell you what the financial point? The financial point is your Council wants to look into your bin because they want to see what you're throwing out. That's what they've been told. However, to capture all our data to analyse all that data, that's gonna cost money. Not only is it gonna cost money, you need the data capacity centres. You need the energy to go into the data capacity centre, you've got all the autonomous vehicles. It is a scam. That data capacity. They're already up to here with data capacity. And that's just from a normal system. **So you've got this massive increase in energy that you need to run the equipment, but also the energy to run the data collection centres.** And the data collection centres ... **and they are not there.** So you've got your governments deploying as fast as they possibly can, 5G. Why?

32.46

LA: Why are they do ... and why are they rolling it out so fast.

MS: It's a weapon system. And they know we've caught them. We've caught them mid-flow, deploying a weapon on top of the people! That's why it wasn't tested. I mean, **how can you get a technology that's been untested, unproven, in breach of the Nuremberg Code and uninsurable?** It is uninsurable for harm. So consequently, we know it does harm because, obviously, it's a pretty sophisticated bit of weaponry on the battlefield. And that's why the West's and the East's military use this equipment on the battlefield as a weapon. So you've got that type of equipment coming into a cityscape near you and your politicians are going "Yeah, we want it. We want this as quickly as possible. We want to be the test bed". Well, **testing technologies on human beings and in cityscapes is in breach of the Nuremberg Code. It's a crime against humanity** because I can show you that that technology will do harm.

LA: Who gave the orders to the politicians to roll it out so fast? If the politicians are on a need-to-know basis or compartmentalized? And they actually don't know what it really is?

33.52

MS: Compartmentalized. Very, very interesting point you've just made there. So we'll cover that. **The compartmentalization of any technology tells me it's a weapon.** Because it's one of the weapons deployment systems ... When you build out a weapon ... I was given a project once. I got a bit of wood – circular bit of wood. And they said. "We need to build a rig; it needs to do this, this, and this". And I said "All right, fantastic. What's the bit of wood?" "You just ... need-to-know. Just build that round there". So anyway, we built this rig. And eventually what actually happened: a couple of trucks came in, offloaded this torpedo head with a laser-guided system and it was a BA/Marconi's project and obviously – but I was there because I had to put the torpedo into the rig. So I knew what it was. So the bit of wood really didn't work. But if somebody hadn't seen the torpedo, they wouldn't have known what that part of the project was. If you know what I mean – they would have just – it would have been a bit of wood. So you compartmentalize *all* weapons deployments so that the people who are building into it don't actually understand. So, for instance, **the antenna design for 5G. These are the small micro-cells in the streetlights.** They've got a couple of different designs. **They've got a flat-plate**

dielectric lens or a printed-on dielectric lens. And that's to focus a signal at a target. It's actually to focus – the technologists believe it's to focus a signal on an autonomous vehicle. But as we've already discussed, that whole thing's just a smokescreen. It's a smokescreen to deploy a weapon. Because that — **what I can do with those type of antennas is actually see you, scan you and shoot you. I can shoot a person with a dielectric lens antenna system.**

LA: From the LED streetlights, the lamp posts?

MS: From the lampposts.

35.49

LA: People think, well, it's very nice to have, you know, some lights on the street and now it's ...

MS: Well, it's really funny. I mean, obviously, when you tell anybody that your streetlight's a weapon, I mean, it is unbelievable. So I always laugh about that because it is quite – you know – I mean, I say to people – you know – don't believe what I say, just do your own research. **The LED streetlights are part of a weapons programme.** And let me explain what a weapon is. **A weapon is something that's designed to do bodily harm.** Now, if, let's say, you give me a bit of timber, a small bit of timber and – you know – you give it to a joiner. A joiner looks at it and thinks, “Oh yeah, I can carve a little car or I could make a little table or I could do something with that”. You give me a bit of wood. Give me a bit of two by four and I'll see a weapon. I'll see something I can fashion into a weapon. I can put some nails in it and put a handle on it. I can create a weapon system with it. So obviously, I look at things from a totally different perspective. And if you look at the LED streetlights – I know the people who designed these. And these have been designed as a weapon. I can prove that. First and foremost, the elements, **the LED streetlight element, the radiation they emit is toxic. It's 450/460 nanometres, and that's retinal cell toxic.** And where the danger – because it's pulse-modulated, it damages these cells. And the photo-receptive cells in the skin and also in the eyes. And that, then, reduces your ability to produce melatonin. Melatonin-suppression increases your cancer risk massively. Because, obviously, melatonin production allows your body to repair itself of a nighttime and any of the dodgy cells that could be possibly pre-cancerous, it kills them, gets rid of them. So melatonin suppression: massive issue, massive health issue. It also disrupts your vitamin A production, vitamin D production. It's basically a stressor. So those LED lights, those LED lights – now if I saw a lot of them in a diffuser – where you've actually got a diffuser that reduces the optical radiation hazard, I would look and say, “Well, yes, it's not a very good light, but that's not ... I wouldn't see that as a weapon. But the fact that these designed lights are actually cocked up like this, so that the elements are here so they catch your eye, they catch your skin. **There's no diffuser. That is a blatant weapons deployment. Because all artificial light should have a diffuser.** Now, LEDs are extremely toxic. Blue LEDs are a lot more toxic than the RGB – that's the red, green and blue LEDs that are ..

38.38

LA: How do you know the difference?

MS: Your screen is a red, green and blue. It's obviously so that you can get the full colour range. But it's spectrally more balanced. So spectral *imbalance* is a problem. It's an issue. Most incandescent light – spectrally balanced, fluorescent lights. There's a – there's a lot of different emissions. But where LEDs – **LEDs all produce a larger proportion of the 450/460 nanometres. This is the blue portion of the spectrum, which is retinal cell toxic.**

LA: How do you know if it's a blue streetlight in your neighbourhood? Or round the city?

39.15

MS: They're all blue. They're all blue. And what they've done, they've doped them. They've actually doped them with a phosphor, with a phosphor-doped material.

And what that does, that gives the impression that it's white. So it gives you this bluey-whitey – and they're also doping with other chemicals to give them a creamy – however **that doping actually wears off over time**. So those light systems, which are expected to be in for many, many years. **As every year goes by, they become more toxic because they emit more of the blue light radiation**. And it is not so much the LEDs – it *is* the LEDs, but it's not so much that. It's the fact that **they were designed as a weapon because they took the diffuser off**. By having the light all cocked up like that it actually causes glare, catches your eye and your face and your skin.

40.16

LA: So these streets lights are connected in some kind of way? Technologically, to each other? Or somewhere else? Or are they just beaming that frequency by itself?

40.16

MS: No, no. The 5G ... 5G-LED are inextricably linked. Because obviously 5G's coming into your street as an urban radar.

LA: Through a cord or through just some kind of WiFi system?

MS: It's through a WiFi – well, no, no. They do do power line ... If you wanted to, you can take the signal to ground through the cables, but you can actually also put them in air. So they do actually have a larger radar-capturing node somewhere in the area. So you'll have – you know – maybe a thousand micro-cells all talking back to a larger node. And then that larger node takes that information back: it's called backhaul. So the scanner – **the scanner that's in the streetlight scans all of the technologies in your home and backhauls. It can spy on everything in your home. It can backhaul all the data out of that. So everything that they want to see or hear, they can do that**. But that's not what 5G is. You see, 5G is primarily – that's what it's technically supposed to be able to do. But, like I said before, they don't have the data capacity. So that tells me that it's something else. It's not just about spying, which is obviously illegal anyway. It's more about it being a weapon and if you look at the hardware. You look at the capacity of the equipment on the streetlight, on the antenna board. The antenna boards have got a massive amount of capacity. **Super capacitors, all for [claps] a charge, where they can actually fire what's called an EMP**. Your smart meter has got them in. Your smart meter's got super-capacitors in. The boards in the lights have got super-capacitors in. The antenna boards have got capacity – massive capacity. So the capacity – **they're building up the capacity in the weapon, ready for when they want to fire what's called an electromagnetic pulse weapon**. So, the more people put smart devices into their near locality ... And **as soon as they get to the correct type of densification, they can take your town down. They can take your city down. They can take you down. — That's what the plan is**.

LA: That's shocking and will be for a lot of people to hear that. What about the wireless smart meters? In Denmark here, it is mandatory to have a smart meter rigged up in our homes. And, you know, a lot of people would like to say “No” to that. Most people don't know what it is and they think it's very convenient. Is it better to get a wired smart meter? Cabled?

42.58

MS: All meters should be unlaunched — all meters— there should be no – you know ... You need to have meters that work, first and foremost. If I can actually travel into a meter. If I can hack into it, so first ... You know, anybody who's got a smart meter and haven't had it hacked, so they're not paying the bill, you're pretty dim. Because you can hack into these systems. You shouldn't really be paying them. Because really **that smart meter, like you've just fitted into your home, is gonna try and kill you**. So it's ...

LA: Even the cabled ones?

MS: Well, the cabled ones, I'd have to actually look at the technicalities. You'd have to show me the equipment because, obviously, a lot of this equipment's been built to do harm.

LA: And can they still use that for surveillance?

MS: Depending on the equipment that's built in it, you know, I'd actually have to see the hardware. If I had a look at a cabled smart meter, I'd be able to tell you what it's actually there for.

LA: And you know about the hardware? You know about the technicality behind all of these devices, right?

43.56

MS: Well, I know about weapons systems. So obviously, like I said, when I look at the capacity in these smart meters, it's absolutely massive. You don't need that capacity for somebody to monitor how much energy you're using. I mean, for instance, P&G, which is Pacific Eastern Gas, which is a Rothschild-owned business. The meters that they were mandated to put on people's homes in California. Now, they were saying that – you know – the meter only reported back to the central hub once a day. It was found that it was reporting 60 thousand to 120 thousand times a day, popping off this radiation emission. Now, why would an energy company want to know what sort of power usage you were using? In fact, *that* takes power. **That meter uses a lot of power to actually send that communication 160 thousand times a day. So what's its real purpose?** It isn't what – you know – we're being sold this deception by these organizations and it's a total and absolute deception ...

45.06

LA: So the energy company must be linked to something else? Because I'm sure that somebody working at an energy company is not interested in what we're doing in our homes. If they can actually listen in on what is happening there.

45.20

MS: Well, P&G ... P&G, all the equipment in the smart meters is made in China. So if you look at the hardware, the hardware that's built in to those ... and, importantly, software programs, it's all Chinese. So if I wanted to come into your home and spy on you, that data could be going back to China.

45.34

LA: Wow! And what would they use it for? China?

MS: Well, surveillance of an individual, to check up, you know, who's in your home. I can listen to you. If I fill a home full of microwave radiation and I see a disturbance in it ... So it's your voice – you know – this is ultrasound, so you've got sounds coming out your voice, right. That causes disturbance in the microwave radiation blanket. And obviously, I can pick up that disturbance and I can send that back down the meter so I can listen to what you're saying. **They can hear you. They can see you. I mean, these 3D mapping capability from the streetlights, it's phenomenal.** I mean, it's – you're talking about 800+ million pulses a second. So **it's beam waves sweeping with this scanner. I can see you and I can hear you.**

LA: But do you think it's China listening to us? Wanting to ...

MS: They have the potential. They have the massive potential to do that or to target individuals who have information that they require. However, that's not what 5G's about. 5G is totally and only a weapon ...

LA: But what about our own governments? In our own countries or in the Western world? Why China?

46.51

MS: I think the Western governments look to me as if they've been hacked. All the governments have been hacked. And the reason why they've being hacked. There's been no public consultation in this technology deployment. There's been no safety checks in the deployment. There's been absolutely nothing. And this comes from somewhere else and it's happening right across the West. So I suggest to everybody, **there's not a single politician in that – you know – in your parliaments, etc. who**

will represent the people. They're representing something else and that something else is extremely terrifying and illegal.

MS: But not China, though?

MS: It must be China. Why wouldn't it be China?

LA: But what about the New World Order agenda? All the presidents and the prime ministers and movers and shakers and heads of states talk at some point publicly about wanting to implement a New World Order.

47.40

MS: Well, a New World Order – whoever or whatever it is, it's pretty dastardly and pretty illegal.

LA: So that's not China, though, even though ... That is something else in itself, isn't it?

MS: Well, China ... you know, one of the things about this technology were first documented ... **The first documented use of 5G was actually Germany. That technology then went under Operation Paperclip to California.** That technology was then developed. [They] spent billions of pounds development chipsets, etc. and then that technology was then taken to China because, obviously, China is a very good place to manufacture things pretty cheaply because they work six days a week. And very low sort of base cost. So that equipment, now, would have run into the tens of thousands of pounds to put equipment into people's homes. You ...

LA: But some people suggest -- many researchers into this field of -- well -- conspiracy and truth research suggest that the New World Order structure is connected all throughout the world and China is part of that as well, if you go really high up in the pyramid.

48.55

MS: Well, you only have to look at how **they destroyed the manufacturing bases across the West and took all of that technical capability and manufacturing capability to Asia. Now, who funded that?** What was all that about? And you had this totally disrupted economic system where the US, the West, we lost all of this. And, obviously, you can see the resistance that this President Trump has against trying to bring the technologies back to the United States. I mean, he's having an awful lot of resistance to that plot – his plan – to try and add a little bit of rebalance into the whole system and it's, it's ...

49.38

LA: But politics, it's just a theatre game, isn't it?

MS: Yeah, well, it's totally theatre, but you know where we've got to get really serious is 5G. If we don't stop the theatre and the party, this 5G is gonna kill you!

LA: So somebody must be behind it and not necessarily a specific place like China, but part of an agenda. Is that a New World Order agenda?

50.00

MS: Well, the UN "resilient cities" agenda, all your Councils, all your governments have all signed up to this ... this is this fake CO2 reduction issue. **CO2 doesn't actually have anything to do with climate change. It's a total scam.** I worked on a CO2 project when I was at one of the large oil and gas industry's projects. We looked at the whole thing. The whole thing's a scam. In fact, energy companies love it.

LA: So is that part of UN Agenda 21, which they now also call UN Agenda 2030?

50.31

MS: It's definitely a UN agenda. It's the "resilient cities" agenda. The whole LED streetlights, smart meters, any of these – you know – the XR, **Extinction Rebellion. They're pushing smart meters, pushing LED, pushing the whole CO2 thing. The whole thing's a total scam, in fact.** I don't know where they came from, but obviously they've got some fantastic financial backers. And they're in the media all the time so you can tell it's... you know – it's not a groundswell. In fact, I heard that

they did a demonstration in London and all the people who were demonstrating were being paid. So that tells you all you need to know.

LA: So climate change, in your opinion, is not happening. It's not real, the CO2 scare. It's not really a problem? How is it connected to 5G?

51.19

MS: Well, climate change. Climate change, to be fair, there's always been climate change. It goes back – you know – thousands of years. You only have to look at the models to show that climate changes. I mean, that's just as a matter of fact. You'd be stupid to think that it doesn't. The impact man has on that is probably minuscule compared to either volcanoes, the Sun. The Sun itself actually does regulate quite a lot of what goes on on the earth. For people to think that man has some major detrimental effect – I'm not sure that they don't – you know – they will have some effect, but whether they'll have the major effect that's been trying to be purported by these groups. It's fake. It's absolutely fake. It's a fake – you know – the Earth's got this fantastic way of balancing itself. I mean, if I pour more CO2 into the atmosphere, the plants ... It's the green gas ... **so if you put more CO2 in, then the trees will grow faster, you'll get more greenery. And then that will then cool the planet.** So – and also – you know – the temperature rise ... These temperature rise readings that they're taking from airports. Normally, when you take temperature rise of these temperature- and weather-gauged areas. They're airports, ex-military bases ... Now, all those military bases and airports, etc. where they're taking these measurements. You've got far more radar, far more 5G-type equipment, far more planes coming in. If a plane's coming in to land at an airport and it's got radar on it, all that equipment where you're taking the measurements from, it's gonna increase. Because, obviously, there's microwave radiation in air. What's coming out the front of that plane is microwave radiation in air. So if you've got antenna systems on the ground and you're measuring the temperature, it's going to increase. I would expect ... In fact, I'm surprised that the temperature hasn't increased significantly more because of where they're taking the measurements from. The measurements are mainly taken from military bases or airports where you need to understand what's going on with the weather in a particular day, in its locality. And then all that information is collated at a central point. This happened during the war. All of those areas have been polluted with a lot more microwave radiation. **That microwave radiation in air increases the temperature. That is basic physics.** So if I fill a cityscape with lots of these transmitters and antennas – and that's the plan for 5G: billions more antennas, billions more transmitters, I'll increase the temperature. I will increase the temperature – it's basic physics.

53.52

LA: So why is the mainstream media massively promoting climate change and the CO2 scare? And say practically that the world is going under? And people are protesting and are afraid, but they don't really talk about the dangers and health effects of electromagnetic radiation and 5G?

MS: Absolutely. They're totally ... once again I think your government's ... your media's being hacked. So all the mainstream media has been hacked. It's only the alternative media that are actually covering the realities of what's going on now. Anybody who gets their information and news from the mainstream media. Believe me, they're just brainwashing. They're brainwashing – they're basically propaganda tools. We are in a very, very sophisticated war. And, obviously, they've declared war on the people. I'm pretty sure **they've declared an illegal war on the people** because of this technology – this weapons deployment in our streets. And, obviously, **the mainstream media are part of the propaganda tool on the government side to make sure people are asleep.** So they don't wake up to what's going on. And believe me, you need to wake up now. If they don't wake up in the next two or three years, believe me, there's a lot of them gonna be dead. **We've already seen in the Gateshead area, 23% increase in stroke from the introduction of 5G.** This is the

5G on the streetlights. So they haven't turned it fully up yet. It's not fully operational, but we've already seen a 23% increase in stroke. We've seen a massive increase in cancer deaths, heart attacks. All can be shown in the science that this type of radiation causes that harm to the human. Like I said, the definition of a weapons system is something that's designed to do harm. And these products are designed to do harm. I can prove they do harm. Science says they do harm. We've got the epidemiological data showing that it *has* caused harm. That is an attack on the people. These are illegal fitments. **There's been no environmental impact analysis done. No health impact analysis done. No public consultation.** We've got these technologies coming into our streets. That, in itself, is illegal. So they're illegally deploying equipment that they don't want to actually assess to say how safe it is. I'll see if it is and all are doing is, they're telling you it's safe. **Anybody who believes anything government says today, there's something wrong with you. You've got a mental incapacity.** There's something wrong, whether it's cognitive dissonance or other ... [if] you believe what your government tells you today, believe me, there's something not – you're not well.

LA: They are planning on putting up – was it 53 thousand satellites around Earth? To create these arrays from the 5G smart grid around Earth? Is that, was that what it's ...

56.50

MS: Well, that's what they're purporting that and I think the reason why they're doing that, they're trying to get people to put their heads down. What I'm going to say to people: satellites in the sky are basically mirrors. Not all of them, but most of them, because you have a mirror ...

LA: Mirrors?

MS: They're just a mirror in the sky. It's the same as that glass, right? It's a reflection. So what you do, you've got a ground-based weapon. It fires a signal up to the satellite; the satellite then focuses it back down to a target on the ground. So people have to remember: the only way those satellites will work, they need ground-based stations to drive them. So don't get too worried about these bits of ... mirror systems in the sky. Because they really can't affect you as long as you take a ..

57.35

LA: They cannot affect you? So we are not being irradiated all over the planet?

MS: Yeah, yeah. You'll be irradiated all over the planet, but what you've got to remember, the equipment's on the ground. The equipment's on the ground. So people don't ... because I know a lot of people, I've heard them say, "Oh, it's terrible. If they put them up, that's it, we're finished". No, you're not. **The main driver of that satellite equipment, the weapon system, is actually on the ground.**

LA: And the 5G antennas, their beams cannot travel a long distance so they put it up just outside of people's homes, right?

MS: No, **they travel a long distance.** This is another smokescreen by the industry. These are not millimetre waves. **Millimetre waves** don't travel – they do travel quite a distance, but they **don't travel very well through brickwork and concrete.** Whereas sub gigahertz travels well through brick and concrete. And that's why the antennas that they're bringing into your cityscape are sub gigahertz. So it's a lie. It is a fabrication, another deception by the industry to say that they don't travel very well so we're having to put them up all over. No, **they're putting them up all over because they're gonna kill you. Their plan is to murder you.** So they're putting them up all over, right? These are sub gigahertz transmitters. They have massive ... **they're long-range radar. They've got massive capacity to travel in the built-up urban environment. That's the lie.** The industry – it's a total fabrication. It's a deception.

LA: So you're saying that climate change and the fact that we're hearing about that every day ... we're now in 2019 in November. And that is really the big story all the time. It's a diversion, away from 5G?

59.21

MS: Total diversion. The whole climate change is a total diversion. And I've been listening to the climate change argument since the sixties. It went on and on and on and on. It's an emergency. We've got ... there were emergencies in the eighties. We've got this climate emergency, the world's gonna end. It's ending in 10 years. We'll be all dead by the next millennium. It didn't happen.

LA: There was a book or a manifesto made by the Club of Rome talking about – if you want to implement a problem that could be the danger of ...

MS: Well, what you do, you frighten people. See, I frighten people by telling them the truth. I tell them the truth. I tell them 5G's gonna kill them. They try to get me locked up and sent to prison.

LA: Yeah, please talk about your story because you had a big problem with your local Council in Gateshead. And I think if you can just briefly tell people your story of what happened there. And there was actually a judge who said that you were, in fact, an expert. So that's also very interesting. Please tell us your story there. What happened?

1.00.31

MS: What happened ... I actually wrote to the to the local authority with my concerns about the technology. Because I'd measured radiation in people's bedrooms. We had a lot of people getting nosebleeds. The radiation levels were illegal. I was measuring 2 and 3 thousand millivolts, average about 12 hundred in the bedrooms in the Gateshead area.

LA: And you did that because ...?

1.00.54

MS: I had a couple of neighbours who knocked on my door and were asking me why they were developing nosebleeds after the installation of these LED streetlights. So when I started investigating, I measured the radiation in their bedrooms and obviously it was pretty toxic so I realized what was going on. I wrote to the Council. I thought they'd made a mistake. So I wrote to the Council and I said, "Look, I need to find out about this technology". They sent me all the information under a Freedom of Information request. But the technology – the company behind it were lying in that, in the technical output that they'd sent to the Council, there were a few serious anomalies. It didn't make any sense. And, obviously, from a technical perspective, it wasn't making any sense at all. So consequently, I pushed the Council. I went in to the Council. I had a meeting with the Councillors and I actually did a full talk at a full Council meeting. There were 60 Councillors there. The mayor, the whole ... they were all there. And I went through the technicalities and asked a couple of questions. They thought it was all a little bit funny. And I then turned around and I said to the Chief Executive, "**When this comes out, you're gonna spend the rest of your life in prison. This is genocide. This is a crime against humanity and in breach of the Nuremberg Code**". And I walked out. I then – because obviously they just weren't listening, yeah. Whether it was stupidity, ignorance, greed or complicity to the crime, the genocidal plot, the planned crime that they were committing on my country, men, women and children. And then what happened – I then set up a Facebook campaign. We got a few people, started making a bit of a noise. The next minute, I was served with an injunction from the Council to gag me. And I was gagged for over three months. I couldn't speak about 5G. I couldn't do anything because, obviously, the court injunction – it was to stop me from posting anything on social media.

LA: Because they wanted to jail you if you did?

1.02.51

MS: Jail is it. They'll jail you straightaway. You're in breach of the injunction. So that was an interim injunction. Anyway, when we got to court. When we eventually got to court, which took three months. I had all the documentary evidence to show that this was genocide and to show that the technical equipment wasn't what the

Council was saying it was. But it was, in fact, part of a weapons programme. So anyway, we get into court – the Chief Executive got up, perjured herself. She said I went to attack her in the chamber, in the full Council meeting. The mayor said I went to attack her in the full Council meeting. And the judge – we actually ... fortunately, there was a chap, a guy called Steven. He was actually in the public gallery and he videotaped the whole thing. The Council didn't know that. And obviously we presented it to the court, that recording of me not attacking the Councillors, not attacking the mayor. And the judge was like – well, I can't ... *He's standing there and obviously I see him wagging his finger at you at the end, and then he walks away. He doesn't go to attack you at all. Can you explain to me why you are saying that he went to attack you?* But they didn't know what to say. They'd just perjured themselves. So what they did, they fabricated this whole evidence to the judge. Well, he obviously could see through it. You know, he'd seen it before. They had 11 officers from the Council all fabricated evidence against me in that court case. However, the judge saw through the whole thing and said, "I'm going to read his skeleton argument after lunch". Well, my skeleton argument in that case ... Because they thought they had a slam dunk. They were just gonna get me gagged and I wouldn't be able to speak about 5G ever again. But after the lunch – we broke for lunch about two o'clock, came back after lunch and, obviously, in that time period, the judge had read my skeleton argument. And in that skeleton argument, what it stated was, "No authority has the right to harm man. This is in breach of the law. This is in breach of God's law". This technology is dangerous and I went on to break down all the technology. All the exhibits were there. Where I showed what the technology was, how dangerous it was, and how it had harmed these children. We also had a number of witness statements, people who were ill, who had been to the doctor's, where they'd all been on occasion. There was one chap, David, David's wife had died of a brain cancer that came on very quickly. She died within a couple of months. Very strange brain cancer. Unfortunately, he couldn't actually come to the court case because he'd died. He died of a heart attack in his own home prior to the court case. And – you know – one of the things that we've seen in Gateshead, like I said, we saw this 23% increase in stroke in the area since the deployment. This 5G is a killer. There's nothing more. It's a killer. The judge then ...

LA: Had they already implemented 5G in Gateshead?

MS: Yes.

LA: Where you live? Really?

1.05.47

MS: 5G – they started deploying 5G as early as 2011. 2011 it started coming on streetlight systems across the country, in 2011. That was the earliest we have of it. The judge in the case turned round and said, "This man is very, very expert". Then he said, "I'm telling Gateshead Council now: you debate the 5G risk. The public have a right to know", he said. "This is democracy in action." Well, the whole court just rose up and cheered because the court was absolutely rammed [full] to the ceiling. And so we got a result. However, the Council *haven't* debated it. They *can't* debate it because they would go to prison! They've committed a crime on the people that we've discovered! And obviously, that court case, we got the coverage all over the world, which was fantastic. And that's what set off a lot of people starting to question: *hang on a minute, if this chap's going to court over 5G, what is 5G?* Well, unfortunately, if you listen to any of the talks that I have been involved with, I'll tell you: it's a weapon system.

LA: And you have a lot of slides and you're really going in-depth in your lectures so that people really can hear what it really is. And you are very thorough in your description, of course.

1.07.08

MS: One of the things that's – you know – technically it's pretty demanding. I try to keep it as layperson terms as possible so that people can understand the existential

threat of 5G to them. You know, the good thing is – you know – well, not a good thing, but – you know – the really interesting thing, the fact that they've put 5G on every single streetlight and the streetlight itself is not a streetlight. It is masquerading as street-lighting it's part of a weapons programme called “Soft kill” and what you'll see is, **because of the cumulative damaging effect that the LED street light and these blue phosphor-coated LEDs, you'll start to see massive increases in death rates, cancer rates, chronic issues.** You know, the whole thing's gonna go like that [points hand upwards indicating almost vertical trend]. You're gonna see that hockey stick. But fortunately, we are too early. Don't let anybody be despondent and think, *Oh well, we'll just give up and die.* No. We start to fight back. We start to be the resistance. We start to get together and fight against this crime. Because we're too early. The plan has been discovered. Their plan to kill everybody is in 2025. Well, we've got years left. We just need to wake people up. People just need to wake up. We need to take down these criminals and their criminal enterprise. They've got their weapon signatures on this equipment! They have allowed a technology into your street that is illegal, unlawful, uninsurable, untested, and breaches the Nuremberg Code. That is a crime. This is a massive crime against humanity. And we can prove it – we have the technicalities and the science that substantiates everything that we're saying. We need to get them in a court. We need to get these criminals into court. We need to see them behind bars for the rest of their lives for the crime they've committed against us.

LA: So what about the LED light bulbs that people have in their homes? Are they dangerous as well?

1.09.05

MS: It's a totally different – well, an LED light bulb in your ceiling in the home. It's got a diffuser on it. So obviously, the light is reflected so – if the light hits that table, it reflects in my eye. That's reduced the optical radiation hazard significantly. All right? So don't worry about those. Don't – I wouldn't worry too much about your screen. I would say, don't use screen time too much. Children: No, no!

1.09.28

LA: When you say screen, you mean being in front of the computer?

MS: Yeah. Computer screens. Children shouldn't be on computer screens. **Children shouldn't be on small devices. And the reason for that is they've got a clear lens.** So they take far more radiation and it's far more toxic to them. Children should absolutely – it should be like smoking. **Children shouldn't be allowed to be on these screens or these laptops until at least, like, 18.** Where the eye – the protection of the eye – the cornea actually reduces the optical radiation hazard to people when they get to about 18 or 20 years old. **But children should never, ever be anywhere near them.** And if they are going to be playing on them. Because, I mean, some people are gonna just carry on. Make sure they're at a distance. And if you can see that light radiation anywhere near their skin ... You know, I see kids where they've got them – you know – right up against their eyes. They're lethal. **The gaming headsets: lethal.** That's basically a device in a box with a set of magnifying glasses. **So virtual reality – that's a complete no, no.** A lot of the technologies that they are trying to test on you are all illegal, all pretty toxic, you know ...

LA: Some people say that 5G is connected to AI, artificial intelligence. What are your thoughts on that?

1.10.48

MS: Well, it *is* connected to AI. They're developing AI computers. What I'm going to tell you about an AI system: if I put a weapon in your street and you connect it to an AI system, the AI is gonna see pretty quickly that the only threat to its own survivability is mankind. They're gonna kill you.

MS: Smart meters as well? And what they call “Internet of Things”?

1.11.09

MS: The Internet of Things, the kill grid of things. The more these transmitters you put ... I mean, **everything that can possibly be hardwired, should be hardwired** – everything. Your computer in the room should be hardwired. If you've got WiFi, it should be on-demand. Only – very simple to put it on-demand. Only WiFi system on everything. Your mobile phone – **your mobile phone is emitting radiation when it's not on. Why?** Why is it emitting radiation when it's not on? It's absolutely ridiculous. It should only be in use when it's on. So we can have these technologies, we can have all these technologies. We can have them and they can be a lot safer. All technology carries with it a risk. But there should be a risk/benefit analysis before any technology should be brought to the market. And these technologies, 5G technologies, smart meters ... I mean, **smart meters are a fire hazard.** They're illegal. They're experimental. I mean, **the amount of fires that they've caused across the world is unbelievable!** And what's happened, you've got the energy companies covering it up. They're blaming boilers, or something else, or the fitters – the fitter didn't fit it correctly. Did he not? No, what happened – the design of the smart meter ... **The design, because it doesn't have a surge arrester, it's compromised. All meters have a surge arrester for a reason: it's so they can take an energy surge to ground.** It's to save your appliances from bursting into flames. Or the meter – well, **the smart meter doesn't have one. It's consequently it's a fire risk.**

1.12.40

LA: So some people are actually lucky enough or even able to refuse having a smart meter rigged up in their homes. Then, if they live in a flat or an apartment or just anywhere, they will be irradiated from *other people's* wireless smart meters, right? What do they do? What can people do to protect themselves, also from 5G and even the street lamps that you talk about?

1.13.06

MS: **Protective eyewear is a must.** But also speak to your neighbours. Tell your neighbours how dangerous this technology is. It will give them diabetes. It'll reduce their life expectancy.

LA: But they probably don't know *anything* about all this. You have to start from scratch, right?

MS: You need to educate them.

LA: But what if they refuse to listen to it and just think that you are a nutter?

1.13.24

MS: Sue them! Sue them! They're trespassing on your home with a noxious substance. They're trespassing on your home. If I was to blow smoke through your letterbox all the time, then that's a noxious substance. Well, microwave radiation is a noxious substance. Sue them! They know – if they don't listen, then take their flat off them. Because you have a case. You actually have a case. They are emitting radiation into your home and that is in itself an offence. It's trespass.

LA: Do you think you *can* say “No” to the electricity company to have a smart meter?

MS: You *must* say “No!”

LA: But what if they just turn off the electricity?

1.14.01

MS: Well, then you should get them into court. Sue them! Get them into court. They're illegal! Smart meters should be illegal. It's only because your government have allowed it.

LA: Yes, but if it is by law mandatory ...

1.14.12

MS: Can't be any law. There's no law ... I think it was Sir William ... No law can be drafted that is in breach of God's law. And **God's law states quite clearly: you cannot be harmed.** You cannot be bodily harmed. If I bring any technology – their laws don't stand. We need to take them into court. We need to test this criminal

enterprise, whatever they call them in law to put a smart meter in. It's absolutely ridiculous. So they can put a piece of equipment in that harms the citizen and think they can draft the law around it. Well, no, they can't because it's God's law. And they'll lose their authority on trying to harm you!

1.14.52

LA: So what can we do? If you have any advice for people listening to this and actually thinking that it's very, very frightening to hear all of this information. What can people do from now on?

MS: Well, anybody in Denmark, across the world, get involved locally with groups. You always have to be mindful, there's a number of groups that have been already compromised. Obviously, there are groups that are – you know – pretty solid. Be the resistance. Whether you do it yourself, whether you get involved with other numbers of people, be the resistance. Get involved with a number of different groups. Anybody wants any advice on who can be trusted or not, just ask me. I'm the person to go to if you want to know what groups can be trusted and what can't be trusted because I can smell them out pretty quickly. I know exactly what they're about. Anybody that calls me, for instance – because obviously, I brought this whole bit of information to the wider group in the world about the dangers of 5G.

1.15.53

LA: What was the result of the court case?

MS: The court case, the judge wouldn't gag me. What he said was, this is in the massive public interest. This is democracy in action. He actually encouraged me to carry on with the campaign. So the Council was pretty upset, obviously. They went off with their tail between their legs. But that's just, you know, this is just part of – they have to understand that they're part of this agenda they've signed up to, this UN “resilient cities” agenda. They all have – all your Councils have signed up to this agenda. It's not just the – you know – it's not just the individual Councillors, but they're *all* acting illegally. This technology is illegal.

LA: Do you think that we can stop it? Do you think people can actually stop 5G from being rolled out?

1.16.40

MS: Absolutely. I wouldn't be here. I wouldn't be fighting it if I thought – I'd only take a fight on if I thought I could win it. And I'm pretty sure that we'll win this because in all battles – whether they be spiritual battles or other – God always wins. So this is God's fight against evil. And believe me, we win this. Absolutely. I mean, think about the serendipitous event that I was put into an environment where they were testing this equipment. So we've got all of the statistics, we've got all of the data, the scientific data to show the significant harm to the person. You know, the diabetes increases, the heart attack increases. The cancer increases. All that data is there and available for anybody who wants to fight against this. We have all the technical evidence. We've got the legal documents ready. If anybody wants to see them ... If they want any help technically to take a court action, just come and see me. It's all there. I'll help anybody to try and stop this crime.

LA: So you believe in God and you talk about a spiritual war. That this is actually a spiritual war, as well. But if there is a God, in whatever shape or form, why would He allow 5G to be rolled out?

1.17.54

MS: Well, if you – from a spiritual perspective, it's quite an interesting conversation. We'd probably go another hour or so to have a talk about it. **This is a spiritual war without doubt. Why would anybody want to kill all biological life?** That's just as a matter of fact. So the spiritual war's on. I've never been a religious person, but I'm gonna tell you now: the contracts that the Cabal have, they are with God. So you'll find that the contractual laws, right, your legal process – you know – the laws that are laid down by a court, they're all God's law. It's God's law for a reason because God's real. Anybody who has said that he's not, I mean, doesn't

actually – you know – doesn't understand contract law. Because all of the laws that have been written are actually predicated on God's law. So why? If God's not real, why are they all predicated on God's law? It's not some mythical ... And if you look at – if you understand quantum mechanics, simulation theory and this whole maths, the geometrician of the universe, let's call God. Geometrician of the universe. Of course it's manmade. Anybody – well, God made ... Anybody that says that this just came out ... I mean, the most ridiculous thing I've ever heard in my life is this Big Bang Theory. I mean, how *any* scientist can actually hold their head up and talk about the Big Bang Theory, where it all came out of nothing! I mean, come on! That's about as ridiculous a proposition as ... Well, how do you get something from nothing? From a scientific perspective, it's ridiculous.

LA: We could actually talk for hours and I think we should continue some time in the future. And there are many topics to discuss. Also, well, connected to 5G and the whole problem here. But if people want to get in contact with you and want to learn more about what you're saying or even having you to come to their place for a lecture, how can they find you?

1.19.58

MS: They can go to Save Us Now. It's www.saveusnow.org.uk. You can get contact there and they'll pass the details over to me. So that's probably one of the best ways. If that's compromised, there are other Facebook, YouTube channels, you can make contact there. Because unfortunately, the communications are compromised. You know, that's just a given. The Cabal don't want me speaking to people across the world. If you find it difficult to make contact, which a lot of people do, just remember this: you're obviously important in the fight. Because, you know, the people that they do, like, they get to contact me may not be as instrumental. So if you do get blocked or challenged and you don't get a reply, remember, you're being blocked. That's the most important thing.

LA: It's been absolutely informative, fascinating and intriguing to have you on the show. I want to thank you very much, Mark Steele, for doing this interview with Age of Truth.

MS: Thanks. Cheers. Thank you.